



Constant Specific Thrust Solution



Constant Specific Thrust Concept

While, **constant** burn rate **design** is the **simplest** to implement, it **results** in increasing forward **acceleration**, causing large compressive **loads** on the rocket.

A way to **avoid** such a **situation** is to **reduce** the thrust as mass **reduces** so that net **forward** acceleration remains within acceptable **bounds**.

Specific thrust, defined as (T/m) , is the amount of **acceleration** that propulsion **generates** and by keeping it **constant**, the above objective is **broadly** met.



Constant (T/m) Formulation

Applicable **equations** are as given below.

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= \frac{T}{m} - \tilde{g} \cos \theta = n_0 \tilde{g} - \tilde{g} \cos \theta \\ \dot{\theta} &= \frac{\tilde{g} \sin \theta}{V}; \quad \frac{dV}{V} = (-\cot \theta + n_0 \operatorname{cosec} \theta) d\theta \\ \frac{d\theta}{dt} &= \frac{\tilde{g} \sin \theta}{V} \rightarrow dt = \frac{V}{\tilde{g} \sin \theta} d\theta \\ n_0 \tilde{g} &= -\frac{\dot{m} g_0 I_{sp}}{m} \rightarrow \frac{dm}{m} = -\frac{n_0 \tilde{g}}{g_0 I_{sp}} dt\end{aligned}$$



‘V’ Solution

The **solution** for velocity can be **obtained** as follows.

$$\int \frac{dV}{V} = -\int \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} d\theta + n_0 \int \frac{1}{\sin \theta} d\theta = -\int \frac{d(\sin \theta)}{\sin \theta} + n_0 \int \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sec^2 \theta/2}{\tan \theta/2} d\theta$$

$$\ln V = \ln \operatorname{cosec} \theta + n_0 \ln \tan(\theta/2) + C$$

$$V = k \frac{(\tan(\theta/2))^{n_0}}{\sin \theta} = k' \left[\tan^{n_0-1}(\theta/2) + \tan^{n_0+1}(\theta/2) \right]$$

$$k' = \frac{V_0}{\left[\left(\tan \left\{ \frac{\theta_0}{2} \right\} \right)^{(n_0-1)} + \left(\tan \left\{ \frac{\theta_0}{2} \right\} \right)^{(n_0+1)} \right]}$$



' t_b ' Solution

Burn time solution can be **obtained** as follows.

$$\int dt = \int \frac{V d\theta}{\tilde{g} \sin \theta} \rightarrow t = \int \frac{k' \left[\tan^{n_0-1} \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) + \tan^{n_0+1} \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right]}{\tilde{g} \sin \theta} d\theta$$

$$t = \frac{k'}{2\tilde{g}} \int \left[\tan^{n_0-2} \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) + \tan^{n_0} \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right] \times \sec^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) d\theta$$

$$\Delta t = \frac{k'}{\tilde{g}} \left[\frac{\left(\tan \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{\{n_0-1\}}}{\{n_0-1\}} + \frac{\left(\tan \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{\{n_0+1\}}}{\{n_0+1\}} \right]_{\theta_0}^{\theta_b}$$



‘m’ Solution

Burn profile $m(t)$, to ensure constant \mathbf{n}_0 , is obtained as the **direct result** of assumption of $(T/m) = n_0 g$.

$$\int \frac{dm}{m} = -\frac{n_0 \tilde{g}}{g_0 I_{sp}} \int dt \rightarrow \ln m = -\frac{n_0 \tilde{g}}{g_0 I_{sp}} t + C$$
$$\ln \left(\frac{m_0}{m} \right) = \left(\frac{n_0 \tilde{g}}{g_0 I_{sp}} \right) \Delta t, \quad \frac{m_0}{m} = e^{\left(\frac{n_0 \tilde{g}}{g_0 I_{sp}} \right) \Delta t}$$



‘h’ & ‘x’ Formulations

Altitude and horizontal distance **solutions** are obtained from the following **equations**.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dh}{dt} &= V \cos \theta; & \frac{dh}{d\theta} &= \frac{V \cos \theta}{\dot{\theta}}; & \dot{\theta} &= \frac{\tilde{g} \sin \theta}{V} \\ h &= \int dh = \int \frac{V \cos \theta}{\dot{\theta}} d\theta + C = \frac{1}{\tilde{g}} \int \frac{V^2 \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} d\theta + C \\ \frac{dx}{dt} &= V \sin \theta; & \frac{dx}{d\theta} &= \frac{V \sin \theta}{\dot{\theta}} \\ x &= \int dx = \int \frac{V \sin \theta}{\dot{\theta}} d\theta + C = \frac{1}{\tilde{g}} \int V^2 d\theta + C\end{aligned}$$



‘h’ & ‘x’ Solutions

Following are the **applicable** ‘h’ and ‘x’ **solutions**.

$$h = \frac{k'^2}{2g} \left[\frac{\left(\tan \left\{ \frac{\theta}{2} \right\} \right)^{2(n_0-1)}}{(n_0-1)} - \frac{\left(\tan \left\{ \frac{\theta}{2} \right\} \right)^{2(n_0+2)}}{(n_0+2)} \right]_{\theta_0}^{\theta} + h_0$$
$$x = \frac{2k'^2}{g} \left[\frac{\left(\tan \left\{ \frac{\theta}{2} \right\} \right)^{(2n_0-1)}}{(2n_0-1)} + \frac{\left(\tan \left\{ \frac{\theta}{2} \right\} \right)^{(2n_0+1)}}{(2n_0+1)} \right]_{\theta_0}^{\theta} + x_0$$



Implication of n_0 Value

Conceptually, for a **boosting rocket**, ' n_0 ' can be any **positive** real number.

However, if we intend the **velocity** to increase continuously, the net **forward** acceleration must also be **positive** at all times.



Implication of n_0 Value

This is possible if ' n_0 ' is greater than ' $\cos \theta$ ' at all times.

As **maximum** value of $\cos \theta$ is **1**, it logically follows that ' n_0 ' must also be greater than **1** at all times.



n_0 Degenerate Case

$n_0 = 1$ represents a **singularity** in the given time **solution**.
This can be **handled** in the following manner.

$$\begin{aligned} V &= k' \left[\tan^{n_0-1} \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) + \tan^{n_0+1} \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right] \\ &= k' \left[1 + \tan^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right] = k' \sec^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$



n_0 Degenerate Case

We can now obtain the time **solution**, as follows.

$$t = \int \frac{k' \sec^2(\theta/2)}{\tilde{g} \sin \theta} d\theta + C$$

$$t = \frac{k'}{2\tilde{g}} \int \left[\frac{\sec^2(\theta/2)}{\tan(\theta/2)} + \sec^2(\theta/2) \tan(\theta/2) \right] d\theta + C$$

$$\Delta t = \frac{k'}{2\tilde{g}} \left[2 \ln \left(\tan \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right) + \sec^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right]_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1}$$

Generation of ‘**h**’ and ‘**x**’ profiles for the **degenerate** case is left as an **exercise** for the students.



Constant (T/m) Solution Features

Constant ' n_0 ' based **solutions** show that for **larger** ' n_0 ', it takes **more** time & **propellant** to achieve same ' θ_b '.

However, it also **results** in larger terminal **velocity**.

Typically, ' n_0 ' is a design solution for achieving specific terminal **parameters**, under the overall **constraint** of the vehicle **structure**. Typical values of n_0 are **$\sim 1.0-1.6$** .



Summary

Therefore, to **summarize**, constant specific thrust **case** is complex from point of **view** of both solution and **implementation**.

Also, we **note** that it is **non-intuitive** from a design perspective and **requires** rigorous analysis.

However, from a **practical** view-point, it is an extremely **useful** trajectory design option.