

# OctoSTARK

## Cryptographic Engineering & Mainnet Deployment Roadmap

Aaron M. Schutza

February 20, 2026

### Abstract

The OctoSTARK Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) has successfully passed simulated formal algebraic cryptanalysis and empirical validation. By porting the non-associative Octonionic execution trace to the STARK-friendly Goldilocks prime field ( $\mathbb{F}_p$ ) and securing the traversal against Artin's Theorem via a dynamic algebraic hash oracle, the primitive achieves strict sequential hardness. Furthermore, the integration of a Fast Reed-Solomon Interactive Oracle Proof of Proximity (FRI) closes the verifiability gap, yielding an asymmetric speedup of  $\mathcal{O}(\log^2 T)$ . This document outlines the comprehensive engineering roadmap required to transition the OctoSTARK mathematical reference implementation into a production-ready, hardware-bounded network primitive for decentralized consensus.

---

## 1 Phase I: Production STARK Framework Integration

The current implementation utilizes a simulated Algebraic Intermediate Representation (AIR) to validate the  $\mathcal{O}(\log^2 T)$  asymmetric verification gap. To generate cryptographically binding, zero-knowledge proofs on mainnet, the protocol must be embedded into a production-grade STARK proving system.

### 1.1 Plonky2 / Plonky3 Arithmetization

Because OctoSTARK is natively designed over the Goldilocks prime field ( $p = 2^{64} - 2^{32} + 1$ ), it is perfectly positioned for integration with Polygon's **Plonky2** or the highly modular **Plonky3** framework.

- **Circuit Translation:** The multivariate quadratic step function  $Z_{n+1} = Z_n^2 + C + [Z_n, C, \mathcal{H}(Z_n)]$  must be mapped directly into the `CircuitBuilder`.
- **Custom Gate Formulation:** To optimize prover time, developers must write a custom Plonky2/3 gate specifically for Octonionic non-associative multiplication and the Associator multilinear map. This will significantly reduce the trace width and polynomial degree compared to composing standard arithmetic gates.
- **Recursive Verification:** Implement proof recursion to shrink the final payload size. A secondary circuit will verify the initial STARK proof, compressing the final payload to a succinct footprint ( $\approx 2$  KB) suitable for block inclusion.

## 2 Phase II: Formal Hash Oracle Parameterization

The dynamic bypass of Artin’s Theorem requires injecting a third independent generator at every step. The reference code utilizes a simulated algebraic oracle (an  $x^7$  S-box with a naive linear diffusion layer). For production, this must be replaced with a formally audited algebraic hash function to prevent sophisticated algebraic attacks, such as Gröbner basis interpolation.

### 2.1 Poseidon or Rescue-Prime Instance

- **Width Parameterization:** The state width is exactly  $t = 8$  (one for each coefficient of the Octonion).
- **Round Constants & MDS Matrix:** Generate provably secure Maximum Distance Separable (MDS) matrices and round constants specifically for  $t = 8$  over the Goldilocks field using a rigid Nothing-Up-My-Sleeve (NUMS) derivation process.
- **Security Margin:** Configure the number of full and partial rounds ( $R_f, R_p$ ) to guarantee a minimum of 128-bits of security against statistical and algebraic cryptanalysis.
- **AIR Compatibility:** Poseidon and Rescue are designed to minimize multiplicative depth, ensuring that injecting  $\mathcal{H}(Z_n)$  into the VDF step does not exponentially blow up the STARK prover constraints.

## 3 Phase III: Hardware-Bound Benchmarking (ASIC/FPGA Limits)

The fundamental economic security of any VDF relies on bounding the maximum possible evaluation speed available to a well-funded adversary, denoted as  $A_{\max}$ . A secure VDF must assume the adversary possesses highly optimized, application-specific hardware.

### 3.1 RTL Implementation & Gate-Level Analysis

- **VHDL/Verilog Porting:** The  $O_p$  multivariate quadratic step function and the Poseidon hash round function must be implemented in Register-Transfer Level (RTL) code targeting modern sub-5nm ASIC standard cell libraries.
- **Critical Path Analysis:** Determine the maximum clock frequency and the minimum physical latency (in nanoseconds) required to evaluate a single step of the recurrence. Because field multiplication modulo  $2^{64} - 2^{32} + 1$  requires specific carry-save adders and Barrett/Montgomery reduction logic, the propagation delay of this circuit dictates  $f_{\max}$ . Because the associator term depends on the output of the hash oracle, pipelining across iterations is physically impossible.
- **Tuning the Delay Parameter ( $T$ ):** Once the physical limit  $A_{\max}$  is established (e.g., 10 ns per step), the protocol can safely tune the iteration count  $T$  to represent an exact amount of real-world wall-clock time (e.g.,  $T = 10^8$  for a guaranteed 1-second delay).

## 4 Phase IV: Network Integration & Smart Contract Verification

The final phase involves integrating the asymmetric verification mechanics into a decentralized consensus layer, enabling applications such as unbiased randomness beacons or fair leader election.

## 4.1 On-Chain Verification & Consensus

- **Smart Contract Verifier:** Compile the Plonky2/3 STARK Verifier into an EVM-compatible Solidity smart contract or a highly optimized WebAssembly (Wasm) binary for networks like Polkadot or Solana. Because the verification complexity is strictly  $\mathcal{O}(\log^2 T)$ , checking a VDF proof of  $T = 1,000,000,000$  iterations will cost only a fraction of block gas limits.
- **Protocol Integration:** OctoSTARK will be formatted as a plug-and-play module for:
  - *Decentralized Randomness Beacons (DRBs):* Purifying multi-party RANDAO inputs, completely eliminating front-running and last-actor manipulation.
  - *Leader Election:* Providing unpredictable, verifiable delays for fair block proposer selection in Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanisms.