## 1 Discussion Outline

Other studies investigated impact of winds on Southern Ocean biology [Lovenduski and Gruber, 2005, Hauck et al., 2013].

[Lovenduski and Gruber, 2005]: observational satellite study with Chl-a

- 1. our MLD responds differently than fig.2 schematic illustration
- 2. increase in SAM increase in chlorophyll south of PF/45S because of iron supply from below (we have phy-decrease)
- 3. increase in SAM reduction in chlorophyll north of PF/45S because of increased ZMLD (we have a slight phy-increase)
- 4. BUT we don't have iron/nutrient limitation in SO

[Hauck et al., 2013]: stronger winds, increased upwelling, more iron supply, higher primary production, overlap of deepening zmld and iron increase areas

[Wang and Moore, 2012]:

- 1. forced model, 25yr trends, so rather climate change impact than decadal variability
- 2. some regions: more upwelling, more iron, more primary production
- 3. I don't get the clear storyline of the whole paper, too many aspects

Limitations of HAMOCC/MPIESM vs obs/other models:

- 1. too early and enhanced Southern Ocean seasonal cycle [Nevison et al., 2016]
- 2. not eddy resolving, has impacts [Stssel et al., 2015]
- 3. basically no nutrient limitation in SO? other models and observations disagree; no iron hypothesis in HAMOCC
- 4. observation studies use sparse data for pCO2 [Landschützer et al., 2015]
- 5. MPIOM performance on circulation patterns and water masses in SO [Salle et al., 2013]
- 6. MPI-ESM zmld performance in SO [Sallée et al., 2013]

## References and Notes

- J. Hauck, C. Völker, T. Wang, M. Hoppema, M. Losch, and D. A. Wolf-Gladrow. Seasonally different carbon flux changes in the southern ocean in response to the southern annular mode. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 27(4):1236–1245, dec 2013. doi: 10.1002/2013gb004600. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2013GB004600.
- P. Landschützer, N. Gruber, F. A. Haumann, C. Rödenbeck, D. C. E. Bakker, S. van Heuven, M. Hoppema, N. Metzl, C. Sweeney, T. Takahashi, B. Tilbrook, and R. Wanninkhof. The reinvigoration of the southern ocean carbon sink. *Science*, 349(6253): 1221–1224, 2015. ISSN 0036-8075. doi: 10.1126/science.aab2620. URL http://science.sciencemag.org/content/349/6253/1221.
- N. S. Lovenduski and N. Gruber. Impact of the southern annular mode on southern ocean circulation and biology. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32(L11603), 2005. doi: 10.1029/2005GL022727. URL http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2005GL022727/pdf.
- C. D. Nevison, M. Manizza, R. F. Keeling, B. B. Stephens, J. D. Bent, J. Dunne, T. Ilyina, M. Long, L. Resplandy, J. Tjiputra, and S. Yukimoto. Evaluating CMIP5 ocean biogeochemistry and southern ocean carbon uptake using atmospheric potential oxygen: Present-day performance and future projection. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43 (5):2077–2085, mar 2016. doi: 10.1002/2015gl067584. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2015GL067584.
- J.-B. Sallée, E. Shuckburgh, N. Bruneau, A. J. S. Meijers, T. J. Bracegirdle, and Z. Wang. Assessment of southern ocean mixed-layer depths in CMIP5 models: Historical bias and forcing response. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 118(4):1845–1862, apr 2013. doi: 10.1002/jgrc.20157. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20157.
- J.-B. Salle, E. Shuckburgh, N. Bruneau, A. J. S. Meijers, T. J. Bracegirdle, Z. Wang, and T. Roy. Assessment of southern ocean water mass circulation and characteristics in cmip5 models: Historical bias and forcing response. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 118(4):1830–1844, 2013. ISSN 2169-9291. doi: 10.1002/jgrc.20135. URL http: //dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20135.

- A. Stssel, D. Notz, F. A. Haumann, H. Haak, J. Jungclaus, and U. Mikolajewicz. Controlling high-latitude southern ocean convection in climate models. *Ocean Modelling*, 86: 58 75, 2015. ISSN 1463-5003. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2014.11.008. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1463500314001760.
- S. Wang and J. K. Moore. Variability of primary production and air-sea CO2 flux in the Southern Ocean. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 26(1):n/an/a, 2012. ISSN 1944-9224. doi: 10.1029/2010GB003981. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010GB003981. GB1008.