

Unconditionals and Free Choice Unified

Anna Szabolcsi, NYU

Who(ever) called?

Whoever called, *I was happy.*

Anyone may call.

I don't think anyone called.

Ki hívott?

Akárki hívott, örültem.

Akárki hívhat.

Nem hiszem, h. *akárki* hívott.

- English unconditional, analysis based on question semantics (Rawlins 2013).
- Hungarian unconditional, analysis based on a universal free choice semantics that's related to negative polarity semantics (this poster, extending Chierchia 2013, Dayal 2013).
- *Same overall meanings from different uses of alternatives.*

Unconditionals as a special case of \forall free choice: alternatives, \exists , fluctuation, strengthening

- Vanilla \forall -FC item, \exists scopes above a possibility modal \Diamond .
Unconditional adjunct, \exists scopes above “if”.
- From the **fluctuation** presupposition:
 - (i) Each alternative in the antecedent is true somewhere;
 - (ii) Episodic (single-event) antecedent iff speaker ignorance.
- From **strengthening** \exists to \forall :
Every <antecedent, consequent> pair is true.
- Mutual exclusivity of alternatives? Not in all unconditionals.
Depends on identificational focus with an exclusive semantics in the adjunct (reflected in word order in Hungarian).