Iterative Learning Control with Nonlinear Measurements

Aaron Trowbridge

Setup

Given a nominal state trajectory $\hat{x}(t)$ and control trajectory u(t), we apply the controls to the experimental system and retrieve a set of measurements (abusing notation) y(t) from the (possibly hidden) experimental trajectory $\bar{x}(t)$. Schematically we have:

$$u(t) \longrightarrow \bar{x}(u(t), t) \longrightarrow g(\bar{x}(t), t) = \bar{y}(t)$$

which coincides with the simplified model situation:

$$u(t) \longrightarrow \hat{x}(u(t), t) \longrightarrow g(\hat{x}(t), t) = \hat{y}(t)$$

We now have two sets of measurements:

• $\hat{y}(t)$: the nominal measurement

• $\bar{y}(t)$: the experimental measurement

Problem Formulation

Let us write

$$\bar{x}(t) = \hat{x}(t) + e(t)$$

where e(t) is the error in the experimental trajectory. To correct for this error, we can find a correction term $\Delta x(t)$ s.t.

$$g(\bar{x} + \Delta x) = g(\hat{x} + e + \Delta x) = \hat{y}$$

For example, if g(x) = x is the identity function, i.e. we are trying to track the trajectory:

$$\Delta x = -e$$

The real problem involves finding the corresponding correction to the controls: $\Delta u(t)$. This involves setting up a quadratic optimization problem.

Quadratic Correction Problem

The goal is now to go from the measurement error Δy to a state correction Δx and a control correction Δu by simultaneously solving two linear systems. Schematically:

$$\Delta y \xrightarrow{M \cdot \Delta x = \Delta y} \Delta x \xrightarrow{D \cdot \Delta z = 0} \Delta u$$

Measurement Correction to State Correction

With $\Delta y \equiv \bar{y} - \hat{y}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{y} &= g(\bar{x}) \\ &= g(\hat{x} + e) \\ &\approx g(\hat{x}) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \hat{x}} \cdot e \\ &= \hat{y} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \hat{x}} \cdot e \end{split}$$

which, with writing $\hat{M} = \partial g/\partial \hat{x}$ yields

$$\Delta y \approx \hat{M} \cdot e$$

and since $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ where $m \leq n$, $\hat{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is not necessarily invertible, but we can use the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse here to get a guess for e:

$$e \approx \hat{M}^+ \cdot \Delta y \equiv \hat{e}$$

To tie the experimental measurements to the model measurements we require

$$\begin{split} \hat{y} &= g(\bar{x} + \Delta x) \\ &\approx \bar{y} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{x}} \cdot \Delta x \\ &= \bar{y} + \bar{M} \cdot \Delta x \end{split}$$

which yields the condition

$$\boxed{\bar{M} \cdot \Delta x = -\Delta y} \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \bar{M}_i^j &= \partial g(\hat{x} + e)_i^j \\ &\approx \partial g(\hat{x} + \hat{e})_i^j \\ &\approx \partial g(\hat{x})_i^j + \sum_k \left(\partial^2 g(\hat{x})\right)_i^{jk} \, \hat{e}_k \\ &= \hat{M}_i^j + \sum_{kl} \left(\partial^2 g(\hat{x})\right)_i^{jk} \, \left(\hat{M}^+\right)_k^l \Delta y_l \end{split}$$

where

$$\partial g(\cdot) = \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \right|_{x=\cdot}$$

State Correction to Control Correction

To propagate the state correction to the control correction, we utilize the dynamics constraint, $f(z_t, z_{t+1}) = 0$, where we define the *knot point*

$$z_t = \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ u_t \end{pmatrix}$$

Let's write $\mathbf{z}_t = \begin{pmatrix} z_t \\ z_{t+1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then we have

$$0 = f(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_t + \Delta \mathbf{z}_t)$$

$$\approx f(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_t) + \partial f(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_t) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{z}_t$$

which yields, with $\hat{D} = \partial f(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_t)$

$$\hat{D} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{z}_t = 0 \tag{2}$$

Putting it all together

We seek to find the solution to

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\Delta x_{1:T}, \ \Delta u_{1:T}}{\text{minimize}} & & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t} \Delta x_{t}^{\top} Q \Delta x_{t} + \Delta u_{t}^{\top} R \Delta u_{t} \\ & \text{subject to} & & \bar{M} \cdot \Delta x_{\tau} = -\Delta y_{\tau} \quad \forall \tau \\ & & & \hat{D} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{z}_{t} = 0 \quad \forall t \end{aligned}$$

where the τ s are the measurement times.

Building the KKT matrix from this problem, we can solve the system and extract $\Delta u(t)$ and repeat the procedure until convergence.

This problem, which returns ΔZ is referred to as

$$\Delta Z = \mathsf{QuadraticProblem}(\hat{Z}, \Delta Y)$$

KKT Matrix (for just single quantum state and controls)

Below we use:

- $n = \dim z_t = \dim x_t + \dim u_t$
- $d = \dim x_t = \dim f(z_t, z_{t+1})$
- $c = \dim u_t$
- $m = \dim y_t$
- M = # of measurements

For a trajectory $Z = \text{vec}(z_{1:T})$, we need to construct the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} H & A^{\top} \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where H is the Hessian of the cost function:

$$H = \bigoplus_{t=1}^{T} (Q \oplus R) = I^{T \times T} \otimes (Q \oplus R)$$

and A is the constraint Jacobian:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \partial F \\ \partial G \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\partial F = \begin{pmatrix} \partial f(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \partial f(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{T-1}) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d(T-1) \times nT}$$

and

$$\partial G = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & \\ & \partial g(\bar{x}_{\tau}) \ \mathbf{0}^{m \times (a+c)} & & \\ & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{mM \times nT}$$

where $\tau = t_1, \dots, t_M$ are the measurement times.

For the constraints we then have

$$\partial F \cdot \Delta Z = 0$$
 and $\partial G \cdot \Delta Z = -\Delta Y$

where again

$$\Delta Y = \bar{Y} - \hat{Y}$$

An Alternative Quadratic Problem

In the regime of noisy measurements, satisfying both the dynamics constraints and the measurement constraints becomes infeasible. To overcome this we we can relax the measurement into a maximum likelihood problem by assuming additive gaussian noise $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$. To see this let's write

$$\bar{y} = \hat{y} - M \cdot \Delta x + w \implies \bar{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{y} - M \cdot \Delta x, \Sigma)$$
$$\implies \Delta y \sim \mathcal{N}(-M \cdot \Delta x, \Sigma)$$

where Σ is the covariance matrix of the measurement noise, which we can get from the experiment. To make the following clearer, let's define the parameterized distribution over Δy s.t.

$$\Delta y \sim p(\Delta x) = \mathcal{N}(-M \cdot \Delta x, \Sigma)$$

then, given an observation \bar{y} , we can find the MLE for the parameter Δx as the solution to the following optimization problem:

$$\max_{\Delta x} \log p(\Delta x) \implies \min_{\Delta x} \frac{1}{2} (\Delta y + M \cdot \Delta x)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (\Delta y + M \cdot \Delta x)$$

$$\implies \min_{\Delta x} \frac{1}{2} \Delta x^{\top} (M^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} M) \Delta x + (\Delta y^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} M) \Delta x$$

We can then augment our initial problem with this objective term and remove the measurement constraint. This yields the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\Delta x_{1:T}, \ \Delta u_{1:T}}{\text{minimize}} & \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t} \Delta x_{t}^{\top} Q \Delta x_{t} + \Delta u_{t}^{\top} R \Delta u_{t} \\ & \quad + \sum_{\tau} \frac{1}{2} \Delta x_{\tau}^{\top} \big(M_{\tau}^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} M_{\tau} \big) \Delta x_{\tau} + \big(\Delta y_{\tau}^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} M_{\tau} \big) \Delta x_{\tau} \\ & \text{subject to} & \quad \hat{D} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{z}_{t} = 0 \quad \forall t \\ & \quad - u_{\text{max}} - \hat{u}_{t} < \Delta u_{t} < u_{\text{max}} - \hat{u}_{t} \\ & \quad \Delta u_{1} = \Delta u_{T} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

ILC Algorithm

Tying everything together, *iterative learning control* (ILC) solves the aforementioned quadratic problem and updates the trajectory iteratively until convergence. The following algorithm codifies this:

Algorithm 1: Iterative Control Learning

```
Data: \hat{Z}^{\text{goal}}, tol > 0, \alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0.1,
Result: U
Y^{\text{goal}} \leftarrow \mathsf{measure}(\hat{Z}^{\text{goal}}) = \mathrm{vec}(y_{\tau_1:\tau_M})
\hat{Z} \leftarrow \hat{Z}^{\mathrm{goal}}
U \leftarrow \mathsf{controls}(\hat{Z}) = \mathsf{vec}(u_{1:T})
\bar{Y} \leftarrow \mathsf{experiment}(U) = \mathrm{vec}(\bar{y}_{\tau_1:\tau_M})
\Delta Y \leftarrow \bar{Y} - Y^{\mathrm{goal}}
k \leftarrow 1
while |\Delta Y| > tol \ \mathbf{do}
        \Delta Z \leftarrow \beta \cdot \mathsf{QuadraticProblem}(\hat{Z}, \Delta Y)
        \hat{Z}_{\text{next}} \leftarrow \hat{Z} + \Delta Z
        \bar{y}_{T, \text{next}} \leftarrow \text{measure\_final\_state}(\hat{Z}_{\text{next}})
        \Delta y_{T,\text{next}} \leftarrow \bar{y}_{T,\text{next}} - \bar{y}_{T,\text{goal}}
        while \|\Delta y_{T,next}\|_p > \|\Delta y_T\|;
                                                                                           // Backtracking line search
                \Delta Z \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \Delta Z
                \hat{Z}_{\text{next}} \leftarrow \hat{Z} + \Delta Z
                \bar{y}_{T, \text{next}} \leftarrow \text{measure\_final\_state}(\hat{Z}_{\text{next}})
                \Delta y_{T,\text{next}} \leftarrow \bar{y}_{T,\text{next}} - \bar{y}_{T,\text{goal}}
        \mathbf{end}
        \hat{Z} \leftarrow \hat{Z}_{\text{next}}
        U \leftarrow \mathsf{controls}(\hat{Z})
        \bar{Y} \leftarrow \mathsf{experiment}(U)
        \Delta Y \leftarrow \dot{\bar{Y}} - Y^{\text{goal}}
       k \leftarrow k+1
end
{\bf return}\ U
```