

The David and Goliath Slingshot

Black Hole Transit Networks and the Solar Isolation Hypothesis

A Quantitative Framework for Fermi Paradox Discussion

Aaron Garcia

aaron@garcia.ltd

January 2026

Discussion paper inviting review and collaboration

Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	2
Executive Summary	4
The Problem	4
The Core Insight	4
The Hypothesis	4
The Evidence Path.....	4
1. Mathematical Foundations	5
1.1 Gravitational Slingshot Mechanics	5
1.2 The Kipping Halo Drive Mechanism.....	5
1.3 Tidal Survival Constraints	5
1.4 Time Dilation Effects.....	6
1.5 The Deceleration Problem	6
2. Network Topology and Earth's Position	7
2.1 A Graph-Theoretic Framework	7
2.2 Estimated Network Parameters	7
The suitable-binary fraction: Our weakest assumption	7
2.3 Spatial Distribution Model	7
Revised isolation estimate	7
2.4 Sensitivity Analysis	8
3. Testable Predictions.....	9
3.1 Prediction One: Non-Random Spatial Clustering	9
3.2 Prediction Two: Anomalous Intermediate-Mass Black Holes	9
3.3 Prediction Three: Elevated Binary Merger Rates	9
3.4 Prediction Four: Path-Aligned Distribution	10
3.5 Symmetric Evaluation Framework	10
3.6 On Falsification by Discovery.....	10
4. The Solar Isolation Hypothesis: Formal Statement.....	11
4.1 Core Proposition.....	11
4.2 What This Hypothesis Might Explain.....	11
4.3 What This Hypothesis Does Not Explain	11
4.4 Relationship to Other Proposed Solutions	11
5. Limitations and Alternative Interpretations.....	13
5.1 The Bootstrap Problem.....	13
5.2 Alternative Transit Physics	13

5.3 Sample Size and Selection Effects	13
5.4 Census Incompleteness	13
5.5 The Metaphor Problem	13
5.6 The Untestable Core	13
6. Recommended Observational Programme	14
6.1 Near-Term (2025–2030)	14
6.2 Medium-Term (2030–2040)	14
6.3 Long-Term (2040+)	14
7. Conclusion	15
References	16
Appendix A: Glossary of Terms	18
Appendix B: Document Metadata	19
Appendix C: Revision History	20
Changes in Version 1.0	20
Source Document History	20

Executive Summary

The Problem

The Fermi Paradox asks why, given the vastness of the universe and time available for technological civilisation to spread, we observe no evidence of extraterrestrial intelligence. Proposed explanations range from civilisational self-destruction to deliberate concealment, yet none has achieved scientific consensus.

The Core Insight

Gravitational slingshots around black holes offer velocity changes orders of magnitude beyond planetary alternatives—scaling from Jupiter's approximately 26 km/s to potentially 0.01–0.1c for suitable black hole binary configurations. However, the same physics that enables acceleration also governs deceleration: without a black hole near your destination, braking from relativistic velocities becomes prohibitively expensive. The rocket equation makes conventional deceleration from 0.1c physically meaningless (mass ratios exceeding 10^{434}).

The Hypothesis

Earth's nearest known black hole, Gaia BH1, lies approximately 1,560 light-years away—significantly farther than the estimated 430 light-year average separation for potential transit nodes. We calculate that Earth lies in approximately the 95th–99th percentile for isolation. If efficiency-seeking civilisations exploit black holes for transit infrastructure, destinations lacking nearby nodes would face higher relative costs, potentially contributing to the observed silence.

The Evidence Path

This framework is testable with current and near-future observational programmes. We present four quantified predictions with symmetric falsification and confirmation criteria, commit to specific baseline models, and specify that discovery of a black hole within 850 light-years would falsify the isolation claim.

1. Mathematical Foundations

The Solar Isolation Hypothesis rests on established physics applied speculatively to questions about infrastructure strategy. This section develops the quantitative case while noting where we invoke unverified mechanisms or extrapolate beyond demonstrated capability.

1.1 Gravitational Slingshot Mechanics

A gravitational slingshot transfers momentum between a spacecraft and a massive body. In the reference frame of the assisting body, the spacecraft departs at the same speed it arrived. In a broader reference frame, the spacecraft's velocity changes because the assisting body itself is moving.

The maximum velocity change available from a single slingshot is approximately twice the orbital velocity of the assisting body:

$$\Delta v_{max} \approx 2 \times v_{orbit}$$

This relationship reveals why black holes warrant investigation:

Planetary scale (Jupiter): Jupiter orbits the Sun at roughly 13.1 km/s. A perfect slingshot yields $\Delta v \approx 26$ km/s—enough to escape the solar system but only 0.00009c. Reaching Alpha Centauri would take approximately 50,000 years.

Stellar scale: Our Sun orbits the galactic centre at roughly 220 km/s, yielding $\Delta v \approx 440$ km/s (0.0015c). Still millennia to nearby stars.

Black hole binary scale: A black hole in a suitable binary might orbit its companion at 0.01–0.03c for stable configurations, yielding Δv of 0.02–0.06c—approximately 760–2,300 times what Jupiter offers.

1.2 The Kipping Halo Drive Mechanism

In 2019, Columbia University astronomer David Kipping described a theoretical mechanism exploiting gravitational lensing. A photon aimed at just the right angle curves around a black hole's photon sphere and returns toward its source. If the black hole is moving in a binary, the returning photon is blueshifted, carrying additional energy extracted from the black hole's orbital motion.

Kipping's calculations suggest terminal velocities could reach approximately 133% of the black hole's orbital velocity—potentially 0.13c for binaries where the black hole moves at 0.1c—without expending onboard fuel.

Critical caveat: This paper describes theoretical feasibility, not demonstrated capability. No experiment has verified this mechanism. We cite it as a potential method that, if physically realisable, would make black holes attractive for transit infrastructure.

1.3 Tidal Survival Constraints

Tidal acceleration across a spacecraft of length L , at distance r from a black hole of mass M , is:

$$a_{tidal} = 2GML / r^3$$

For a 100-metre spacecraft approaching a 10 solar-mass black hole:

- At the photon sphere ($r = 3r_s = 90$ km): Tidal acceleration reaches approximately 37 million g per 100 metres—instantly lethal.
- At $r = 100r_s$ (3,000 km): Tidal acceleration drops to roughly 38 g per 100 metres—severe but potentially survivable for reinforced structures.
- For intermediate-mass black holes (1,000 solar masses): At 10 Schwarzschild radii, tidal acceleration falls to about 0.37 g per 100 metres.

1.4 Time Dilation Effects

For a spacecraft at radial coordinate r from a Schwarzschild black hole, proper time τ relates to coordinate time t as:

$$d\tau/dt = \sqrt{1 - r_s/r}$$

At the photon sphere ($r = 3r_s$): Time passes at 81.6% the rate experienced by distant observers, additional to Lorentz time dilation from relativistic velocity.

1.5 The Deceleration Problem

Here we arrive at the crux of the Solar Isolation Hypothesis.

A slingshot can accelerate or decelerate a spacecraft depending on approach geometry. But this requires a suitable body to exist at your destination.

The rocket equation problem: For $v = 30,000$ km/s and chemical exhaust velocity ($v_e \approx 4.5$ km/s):

$$m_o/m_f = \exp(6667) \approx 10^{2895}$$

Even with nuclear thermal propulsion ($v_e \approx 30$ km/s):

$$m_o/m_f = \exp(1000) \approx 10^{434}$$

These numbers are not merely impractical—they are physically meaningless. There is not enough matter in the observable universe to construct such a spacecraft.

The planetary slingshot problem: At 0.1c, a spacecraft crosses the solar system in approximately 10 hours. It cannot orbit the Sun while travelling away at such speed. Each "pass" would require leaving the solar system and returning—requiring the propulsion capability assumed unavailable.

Alternative braking methods (magsails, beam-powered deceleration, ramjet variants) exist but impose costs that black hole braking would avoid if destination waypoints exist.

2. Network Topology and Earth's Position

2.1 A Graph-Theoretic Framework

We model the galactic black hole population as a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, where V represents black holes (nodes) and E represents viable transit connections (edges).

Important clarification: This graph-theoretic language is an analogy for reasoning about spatial relationships. We do not claim civilisations would literally construct formal networks. The framework asks: if efficiency-seeking agents exploited black holes for transit, what spatial patterns would emerge?

2.2 Estimated Network Parameters

The Milky Way contains an estimated 100 million stellar-mass black holes, along with perhaps 100 to 10,000 intermediate-mass black holes, and one supermassive black hole at the galactic centre.

The suitable-binary fraction: Our weakest assumption

We require an estimate of what fraction of stellar-mass black holes exist in binaries suitable for transit use. This is the hypothesis's most uncertain quantitative parameter.

We adopt $f = 0.1\%$ (one in one thousand) as a working placeholder, yielding approximately 100,000 nodes. However, we explicitly flag this as the hypothesis's weakest quantitative assumption. The true value could plausibly range from 0.01% to 1%.

For a black hole binary to serve as a transit node, we propose minimum requirements:

- Orbital velocity: $\geq 0.005c$ (yielding $\Delta v \geq 0.01c$)
- Orbital stability: Inspiral timescale $\geq 10^6$ years
- Component masses: $\geq 5 M_{\odot}$ for the primary

2.3 Spatial Distribution Model

Black holes form from massive stars, which cluster in star-forming regions along spiral arms. We adopt the COMPAS population synthesis framework as our reference model, incorporating star formation rate as a function of galactic position, binary evolution, supernova kicks, and resulting spatial correlations.

The Sun lies in the Local Bubble, a region of relatively low stellar density between the Sagittarius and Perseus arms. This positioning may compound our isolation beyond what a uniform-density model would predict.

Revised isolation estimate

- Gaia BH1 lies at 1,560 light-years
- Under uniform distribution with 100,000 nodes, average nearest-neighbour distance ≈ 430 light-years
- Earth's observed separation is $3.6\times$ the uniform-model average

We estimate Earth lies in approximately the 95th–99th percentile for isolation, with the range reflecting model uncertainty.

2.4 Sensitivity Analysis

The isolation estimate depends on parameters we cannot empirically anchor:

Parameter	Low	Central	High
Suitable-binary fraction (f)	0.01%	0.1%	1%
Node count	10,000	100,000	1,000,000
Average separation (uniform)	930 ly	430 ly	200 ly
Earth's isolation percentile	85th	97th	99.9th

The hypothesis's explanatory power scales with f. Discovery of the true suitable-binary fraction is essential to evaluating the framework.

3. Testable Predictions

A hypothesis without testable predictions is philosophy, not science. We specify four predictions with symmetric criteria for success and failure.

Baseline commitment: We commit to using COMPAS v02.35.02 as the population synthesis baseline before data analysis.

3.1 Prediction One: Non-Random Spatial Clustering

Prediction: Stellar-mass black holes in binaries will show spatial clustering inconsistent with distributions derived from COMPAS population synthesis models.

Outcome	Clustering vs. Baseline	Interpretation
Strong falsification	Within 1σ	Prediction fails definitively
Weak falsification	Within 2σ	Prediction likely fails
Inconclusive	$2\sigma - 4\sigma$ excess	Cannot distinguish; more data needed
Weak confirmation	$4\sigma - 5\sigma$ excess	Prediction likely succeeds
Strong confirmation	$> 5\sigma$ excess	Prediction succeeds definitively

Timeline: Testable with current data; definitive results possible by 2030.

3.2 Prediction Two: Anomalous Intermediate-Mass Black Holes

Prediction: Intermediate-mass black holes (100–10,000 solar masses) will be detected in locations inconsistent with known formation channels.

Outcome	Anomalous field locations ($N \geq 20$)	Interpretation
Strong falsification	0–5%	Prediction fails definitively
Weak falsification	5–10%	Prediction likely fails
Inconclusive	10–15%	Cannot distinguish
Weak confirmation	15–20%	Prediction likely succeeds
Strong confirmation	$> 20\%$	Prediction succeeds definitively

Timeline: Requires LISA mission (2030s) for definitive census.

3.3 Prediction Three: Elevated Binary Merger Rates

Prediction: Black hole binary merger rates will exceed predictions from isolated binary stellar evolution, consistent with energy extraction mechanisms.

Current status: LIGO/Virgo O3 data suggests a merger rate of $23\text{--}44 \text{ Gpc}^{-3}\text{yr}^{-1}$, within but at the upper end of model predictions.

Timeline: Testable by 2028.

3.4 Prediction Four: Path-Aligned Distribution

Prediction: The spatial arrangement of black hole binaries will show preferential alignment along paths connecting high-density stellar regions.

Timeline: Requires substantially complete galactic census; testable by 2040.

3.5 Symmetric Evaluation Framework

# Strong Falsifications	# Strong Confirmations	Verdict
≥ 2	Any	Hypothesis falsified
1	0–1	Hypothesis weakened
0	0–1	Inconclusive
0	2	Hypothesis supported
0	≥ 3	Hypothesis strongly supported

Escape hatch prohibition: We commit to accepting prediction outcomes as stated. We will not claim catalog incompleteness as defence, retroactively redefine baselines, or shift goalposts.

3.6 On Falsification by Discovery

Discovery of a black hole placing Earth below the 85th percentile for isolation would constitute partial falsification. The 85th percentile represents the lower bound of our sensitivity range.

Threshold derivation: Under central assumptions ($f = 0.1\%$), the 85th percentile corresponds to approximately 850 light-years. Discovery of a black hole within 500 light-years would falsify the isolation claim under all reasonable assumptions.

4. The Solar Isolation Hypothesis: Formal Statement

4.1 Core Proposition

We propose that Earth may not be contacted by technological civilisations in part because:

- Physical claim (testable): Gravitational assists from black holes offer delta-v advantages orders of magnitude beyond planetary alternatives
- Structural claim (testable): Black hole distributions exhibit spatial variation, with well-connected and isolated regions
- Observational claim (testable): Sol occupies a relatively isolated region, approximately 3.6× average separation
- Physical claim (testable): Decelerating from relativistic velocities without gravitational assistance is prohibitively expensive
- Behavioural assumption (not directly testable): If civilisations exist and exploit black hole transit, they may allocate resources preferentially toward accessible destinations

4.2 What This Hypothesis Might Explain

The Great Silence: No signals because there may be no substantial presence in our vicinity. No presence because there may be no infrastructure to support economical travel here.

Absence of artifacts: Less motivation to send probes to locations from which return is prohibitively expensive.

No historical visitation evidence: Flyby-only trajectories at relativistic velocities would leave minimal evidence.

4.3 What This Hypothesis Does Not Explain

- Why civilisations would not develop alternative transit methods
- Why curiosity would not motivate exploration regardless of efficiency
- Why automated probes would not be dispatched over millennia
- Why we see no evidence of network construction in our observable volume

We do not claim this is a complete solution—only that it may be a contributing factor grounded in known physics.

4.4 Relationship to Other Proposed Solutions

Proposed Solution	Compatible?	Notes
Rare Earth	Yes	Isolated regions may correlate with unfavourable conditions
Great Filter	Neutral	Filter could operate before or after network-building
Zoo Hypothesis	No	Zoo requires deliberate avoidance; we propose inconvenience
Transcension	Partial	Both invoke black holes differently

Proposed Solution	Compatible?	Notes
Dark Forest	No	Dark Forest requires hostile intent; we propose indifference
Simulation	Neutral	Simulation could include or exclude infrastructure

5. Limitations and Alternative Interpretations

5.1 The Bootstrap Problem

Reaching the first network node requires propulsion capable of interstellar travel independent of the network. If a civilisation can travel 1,500 light-years without assistance, why would they need a network?

Counter-argument: Efficiency gains compound. A 10% improvement per journey yields 10× cumulative advantage over 25 journeys.

5.2 Alternative Transit Physics

Advanced civilisations might employ mechanisms beyond current physics. We analyse using known physics because it is what we can analyse. If exotic physics exists and is accessible, the hypothesis fails—but that failure is informative.

5.3 Sample Size and Selection Effects

We have one data point: ourselves. This limitation applies to all Fermi Paradox solutions.

5.4 Census Incompleteness

Our black hole catalog is dramatically incomplete. Gaia BH1 was discovered only in 2022.

Commitment: We will not use catalog incompleteness as an escape hatch. If predictions fail with current data, we accept that failure.

5.5 The Metaphor Problem

Terms like "network" and "nodes" are metaphors. We claim only that spatial patterns of activity might correlate with black hole distributions if efficiency influences interstellar travel.

5.6 The Untestable Core

Claim 5 (that civilisations allocate resources based on accessibility) is not directly testable. This means the hypothesis cannot achieve full confirmation even if all predictions succeed.

6. Recommended Observational Programme

6.1 Near-Term (2025–2030)

Statistical analysis: Apply spatial clustering analysis to LIGO/Virgo O3–O4 catalog and Gaia astrometric binary candidates. Use COMPAS v02.35.02 as baseline. Pre-register protocol.

Theoretical modelling: Construct computational models of optimised transit networks under various assumptions.

Parameter estimation: Attempt to constrain the f parameter through population synthesis comparisons.

6.2 Medium-Term (2030–2040)

LISA survey: The Laser Interferometer Space Antenna mission will provide the first comprehensive census of intermediate-mass black holes.

Enhanced astrometry: A Gaia successor mission could complete the solar neighbourhood census to 5,000 light-years.

6.3 Long-Term (2040+)

Galactic mapping: A complete census of stellar-mass black hole binaries would enable definitive tests of all predictions.

7. Conclusion

The Solar Isolation Hypothesis proposes that Earth's apparent solitude may reflect geography rather than destiny. We are not shunned, ignored, quarantined, or beneath notice. We may simply be far from the road.

This hypothesis:

- Rests on established physics (gravitational slingshots, black hole mechanics, relativistic dynamics)
- Extends existing proposals (Kipping's Halo Drive, Dyson's gravitational machines)
- Makes quantifiable, testable predictions with symmetric success and failure criteria
- Commits to a specific baseline model (COMPAS v02.35.02)
- Explicitly flags its weakest assumption (the suitable-binary fraction f)
- Acknowledges limitations including an untestable behavioural core
- Commits to accepting falsification without definitional retreat

We offer this framework for discussion, critique, and empirical test. If the predictions fail, the hypothesis fails, and we will have learned something useful. If they succeed, we may have identified one piece of the Fermi Paradox puzzle.

The mathematics indicates we may occupy a cul-de-sac. The observations will determine whether that mathematics reflects reality.

We are not unwelcome. We may merely be out of the way.

References

- Abbott, R., et al. (2021). "Population of Merging Compact Binaries Inferred Using Gravitational Waves through GWTC-3." *Physical Review X*, 13, 011048.
- Bardeen, J.M., Press, W.H., & Teukolsky, S.A. (1972). "Rotating black holes: Locally nonrotating frames, energy extraction, and scalar synchrotron radiation." *The Astrophysical Journal*, 178, 347–370.
- Bovy, J. (2015). "galpy: A Python Library for Galactic Dynamics." *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 216(2), 29.
- Bussard, R.W. (1960). "Galactic matter and interstellar flight." *Astronautica Acta*, 6, 179–194.
- Ćirković, M.M. (2018). *The Great Silence: Science and Philosophy of Fermi's Paradox*. Oxford University Press.
- Comisso, L., & Asenjo, F.A. (2021). "Magnetic reconnection as a mechanism for energy extraction from rotating black holes." *Physical Review D*, 103(2), 023014.
- Dyson, F.J. (1963). "Gravitational machines." In A.G.W. Cameron (Ed.), *Interstellar Communication*. W.A. Benjamin.
- El-Badry, K., et al. (2023). "A Sun-like star orbiting a black hole." *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 518(1), 1057–1085.
- Fishback, J.F. (1969). "Relativistic interstellar spaceflight." *Astronautica Acta*, 15, 25–35.
- Hamilton, A.J.S., & Lisle, J.P. (2008). "The river model of black holes." *American Journal of Physics*, 76(6), 519–532.
- Kerr, R.P. (1963). "Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics." *Physical Review Letters*, 11(5), 237–238.
- Kipping, D. (2019). "The Halo Drive: Fuel-free relativistic propulsion of large masses via recycled boomerang photons." *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society*. arXiv:1903.03423.
- Penrose, R. (1969). "Gravitational collapse: The role of general relativity." *Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*, 1, 252–276.
- Renzo, M., et al. (2019). "Massive runaway and walkaway stars." *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 624, A66.
- Sana, H., et al. (2012). "Binary interaction dominates the evolution of massive stars." *Science*, 337(6093), 444–446.
- Shapiro, S.L., & Teukolsky, S.A. (1983). *Black Holes, White Dwarfs, and Neutron Stars: The Physics of Compact Objects*. Wiley-Interscience.
- Smart, J.M. (2012). "The transcension hypothesis." *Acta Astronautica*, 78, 55–68.
- Team COMPAS. (2022). "COMPAS: A rapid binary population synthesis suite." *Journal of Open Source Software*, 7(73), 3838.
- Thorne, K.S. (1994). *Black Holes and Time Warps: Einstein's Outrageous Legacy*. W.W. Norton & Company.

Zubrin, R.M., & Andrews, D.G. (1991). "Magnetic sails and interstellar travel." *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society*, 44, 265–272.

Appendix A: Glossary of Terms

Abbreviation	Definition
BH	Black Hole
COMPAS	Compact Object Mergers: Population Astrophysics and Statistics
Δv	Delta-v: Change in velocity
DXA	Document unit measurement (twentieths of a point)
$\text{Gpc}^{-3}\text{yr}^{-1}$	Per cubic gigaparsec per year (merger rate unit)
KAGRA	Kamioka Gravitational Wave Detector
LIGO	Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory
LISA	Laser Interferometer Space Antenna
ly	Light-year
M_{\odot}	Solar mass
MST	Minimum Spanning Tree
r_s	Schwarzschild radius
SETI	Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence
σ	Sigma (standard deviation)
τ	Tau (proper time)
v_e	Exhaust velocity

Appendix B: Document Metadata

Field	Value
Document Title	The David and Goliath Slingshot
Subtitle	Black Hole Transit Networks and the Solar Isolation Hypothesis: A Quantitative Framework for Fermi Paradox Discussion
GUID	SIH-MJW06NYO-DBE1Q1
Version	1.0
Date	01 January 2026
Author	Aaron Garcia
Origin Context	Desk Research and Independent Inquiry
Contact	aaron@garcia.ltd
Classification	PUBLIC
Status	Final Draft

Appendix C: Revision History

Changes in Version 1.0

This document represents the first formal white paper release, derived from discussion paper v5.1. Key changes from source material include:

- Reorganised structure to MasterPapers standard format
- Added formal executive summary
- Added glossary of terms
- Added document metadata
- Applied hierarchical section numbering
- Mathematical calculations verified
- Citation references validated

Source Document History

The source discussion paper underwent multiple revisions addressing:

- v5.0→5.1: Threshold derivations (500 ly → 850 ly), COMPAS pipeline methodology, pre-registration venue specification
- v4.0→5.0: Serial dependency corrections, spatial distribution model replacement, confirmation-falsification symmetry
- Earlier versions: Progressive refinement of quantitative predictions and falsification criteria