

# OLS Asymptotics

Ani Katchova

# Outline

- Consistency
- Comparison of consistency versus unbiasedness
- Asymptotic normality
- Variances and standard errors in large samples

# Consistency

- An estimator  $\hat{\beta}_j$  is consistent if it converges in probability to the population parameter  $\beta_j$  as the sample size  $n$  increases.

$\text{plim } \hat{\beta}_j = \beta_j$ , where  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Another way to express this is:

- $P(|\hat{\beta}_{jn} - \beta_j| < \epsilon) \rightarrow 1$ , where  $\epsilon$  is any arbitrarily small value.
- The probability  $P$  that the estimator  $\hat{\beta}_j$  obtained from a sample size  $n$  will be arbitrarily close to the population parameter  $\beta_j$  goes to 1 as the sample size  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Assumption 4: zero conditional mean (review)

- Assumption 4: zero conditional mean
- $E(u_i | x_{ji}) = 0$
- Expected value of error term given independent variables is zero.
- Under assumptions 1-4 (with the zero conditional mean assumption), the OLS estimator is unbiased.
- $E(\hat{\beta}_j) = \beta_j$
- Expected value of the coefficient is the population parameter. With many samples, the average value of the coefficient will be the population parameter.

# Assumption 4': regressors are uncorrelated with error term

- Assumption 4': regressors are uncorrelated with the error term.
- $E(u_i) = 0$  and  $cov(x_{ji}, u_i) = 0$
- Assumption 4' is weaker than assumption 4.
- Under assumptions 1-4' (with the assumption that the regressors are uncorrelated with the error term), the OLS estimator is consistent.
- $plim \hat{\beta}_j = \beta_j$ , where  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$
- As the sample size  $n$  increases, the sample coefficient  $\hat{\beta}_j$  converges in probability to the population parameter  $\beta_j$ .

# Consistency of the OLS estimator (proof)

- Simple regression model:  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + u$

- The coefficient  $\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, y)}{\text{var}(x_1)} = \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + u)}{\text{var}(x_1)} =$   
$$= \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, \beta_0)}{\text{var}(x_1)} + \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, \beta_1 x_1)}{\text{var}(x_1)} + \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, u)}{\text{var}(x_1)} = \beta_1 \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, x_1)}{\text{var}(x_1)} + \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, u)}{\text{var}(x_1)}$$
$$= \beta_1 + \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, u)}{\text{var}(x_1)}$$

- Using assumption 4' that  $\text{cov}(x_1, u) = 0$ , then
- $\text{plim } \hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_1$
- The OLS estimator is consistent under assumptions 1-4'.

# Consistency versus unbiasedness

Property	Undesirable property	Formula	Assump-tions	Sample size	Distribution
unbiasedness	biasedness	$E(\hat{\beta}_j) = \beta_j$	1-4	Small/any sample	t, normal
consistency	inconsistency	$\text{plim } \hat{\beta}_j = \beta_j$	1-4'	Large sample	asymptotically normal

- The OLS estimator may be biased in small samples with assumptions 1-4'. The stronger assumption 4 zero conditional mean is needed for the OLS estimator to be unbiased.
- Unbiasedness is ideal and holds with any sample size. But if unbiasedness cannot be achieved in a small sample, then at least consistency can be achieved with a large sample.

# Omitted variable bias (review)

- The “true” population regression model is:  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + u$
- We need to estimate:  $\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_2$
- But instead we estimate a misspecified model:  $\tilde{y} = \tilde{\beta}_0 + \tilde{\beta}_1 x_1$ , where  $x_2$  is the omitted variable from this model.
- If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are correlated, there will be a relationship between them
$$x_2 = \delta_0 + \delta_1 x_1 + v$$

Substitute in above equation to get:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 (\delta_0 + \delta_1 x_1 + v) + u \\ &= (\beta_0 + \beta_2 \delta_0) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2 \delta_1) x_1 + (\beta_2 v + u) \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient that will be estimated for  $x_1$  when  $x_2$  is omitted will be biased.



# Omitted variable bias (review)

- An unbiased coefficient is when  $E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1$ , but this coefficient is biased because  $E(\tilde{\beta}_1) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \delta_1$ , where  $\beta_2 \delta_1$  is the bias.
- With an omitted variable, the coefficient will not be biased if
  - $\beta_2 = 0$ . Looking at  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + u$ , this means that  $x_2$  does not belong in the model ( $x_2$  is irrelevant).
  - $\delta_1 = 0$ . Looking at  $x_2 = \delta_0 + \delta_1 x_1 + v$ , this means that  $x_2$  and  $x_1$  are not correlated.
  - In other words, if the omitted variable  $x_2$  is irrelevant  $\beta_2 = 0$  or uncorrelated  $\delta_1 = 0$ , there will be no omitted variable bias.

# Omitted variable bias – asymptotic analog

- A consistent coefficient is when  $plim \hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_1$ , but this coefficient is inconsistent because  $plim \hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \delta_1$ , where  $\beta_2 \delta_1$  is the bias.
- With an omitted variable, the coefficient will be consistent if
  - $\beta_2 = 0$ . Looking at  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + u$ , this means that  $x_2$  does not belong in the model ( $x_2$  is irrelevant).
  - $\delta_1 = 0$ . Looking at  $x_2 = \delta_0 + \delta_1 x_1 + v$ , this means that  $x_2$  and  $x_1$  are not correlated. Note that  $\hat{\delta}_1 = \frac{cov(x_2, x_1)}{var(x_1)}$
  - In other words, if the omitted variable  $x_2$  is irrelevant  $\beta_2 = 0$  or uncorrelated  $\delta_1 = 0$ , the coefficient will be consistent.

# Asymptotic normality

- Under assumptions 1-6 (Gauss Markov assumptions and normality), the coefficients have normal sampling distribution.
- $\hat{\beta}_j \sim normal(\beta_j, var(\hat{\beta}_j))$
- Under assumptions 1-5 (Gauss Markov assumptions), the coefficients have asymptotically normal sampling distribution.
- $\hat{\beta}_j \overset{a}{\sim} normal(\beta_j, var(\hat{\beta}_j))$
- In other words, even without normality of errors, asymptotic normality is achieved in large samples.

# Asymptotic normality

- The normality assumption 6 does not always hold in practice.
- In small samples, the normality assumption 6 is needed for the t-tests and F-tests to be valid.
- In large samples, without the normality assumption 6:
  - The OLS estimators are normal.
  - The t-tests and F-tests are valid.
  - Note that assumptions 1-5 are still needed.

# OLS properties

- OLS properties that hold for any sample
  - Expected values and unbiasedness under assumptions 1-4 (linearity, random sample, no perfect collinearity, zero conditional mean)
  - Variance formulas under assumptions 1-5 (linearity, random sample, no perfect collinearity, zero conditional mean, homoscedasticity)
  - Gauss-Markov theorem (BLUE) under assumptions 1-5
  - Exact sampling distributions (t-test and F-test) under assumptions 1-6 (Gauss-Markov assumptions + normality)
- OLS properties that hold in large samples (asymptotics)
  - Consistency under assumptions 1-4' (regressors uncorrelated with error term)
  - Asymptotic normality/tests under assumptions 1-5

# Variances of OLS estimators - asymptotics

Recall, the variance of the OLS estimator:

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{SST_j(1 - R_j^2)} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{n * \text{var}(x_j) (1 - R_j^2)}$$

- $SST_j = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j)^2 = n * \text{var}(x_j)$  is the total sampling variance of variable  $x_j$ . As the sample size increases, it grows with  $n$ .
- $R_j^2$  is the R-squared from a regression of  $x_j$  on all other independent variables. Converges to a fixed number.
- $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is the variance of the residual. Converges to  $\sigma^2$ .
- As the sample size increases,  $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_j)$  changes by  $1/n$  and  $se(\hat{\beta}_j)$  changes by  $\sqrt{1/n}$ .

# Standard errors and sample size example

- Regression model:
- $wage = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + \beta_2 exper + \beta_3 tenure + u$
- Estimate regression model with full sample and with half sample.
- Note the standard errors for coefficient on experience and sample sizes.
- As sample size  $n$  increases, standard errors change at a rate of  $\sqrt{1/n}$
- With larger sample size, standard errors are lower, leading to more significance of the coefficients.

# Standard errors and sample size example

	Model with full sample	Model with half the sample
VARIABLES	wage	wage
educ	0.599*** (0.0513)	0.732*** (0.0875)
tenure	0.169*** (0.0216)	0.209*** (0.0359)
exper	0.0223* (0.0121)	0.0477** (0.0194)
Constant	-2.873*** (0.729)	-4.815*** (1.237)
Observations	526	262
R-squared	0.306	0.329

For the coefficient on experience,  $se1=0.0121$ ,  $se2=0.0194$ ,  $n1=526$ ,  $n2=262$ .

The ratios  $se1/se2=0.62$  and  $\sqrt{n2/n1}=0.71$  are almost the same.

With the full sample (double the sample size), standard errors are 62% lower.



# Review questions

- Define consistency.
- Compare consistency and unbiasedness.
- Define asymptotic normality.
- At what rate does the variance change when the sample size changes?