## CAAM 336 · DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

## Homework 45 · Solutions

Posted Wednesday 20 November 2013. Due 5pm Wednesday 4 December 2013.

45. [25 points] Let the norm  $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$\|\mathbf{y}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{y}}.$$

Let the timestep  $\Delta t \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\Delta t > 0$  and let  $t_k = k\Delta t$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} -50 & 49 \\ 49 & -50 \end{array} \right]$$

and consider the problem of finding  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  such that

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t), \quad t \ge 0$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 0 \end{array} \right].$$

- (a) Compute  $\mathbf{x}(t)$ .
- (b) How does  $\|\mathbf{x}(t)\|$  behave as  $t \to \infty$ ?
- (c) For k = 0, 1, 2, ..., let  $\mathbf{x}_k$  be the approximation to  $\mathbf{x}(t_k)$  obtained using the forward Euler method. What choice of the timestep  $\Delta t > 0$  will result in  $\|\mathbf{x}_k\| \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ ?
- (d) For k = 0, 1, 2, ..., let  $\mathbf{x}_k$  be the approximation to  $\mathbf{x}(t_k)$  obtained using the backward Euler method. What choice of the timestep  $\Delta t > 0$  will result in  $\|\mathbf{x}_k\| \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ ?

Solution.

(a) [10 points] The matrix **A** has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = -99$  and  $\lambda_2 = -1$  and eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right]$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right]$$

which are such that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_2 = \lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = 1$ , and  $\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = 0$ . Since  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$ , if we set

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} -99 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

then we have that

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T$$

and

$$e^{t\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{V}e^{t\mathbf{\Lambda}}\mathbf{V}^{T}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-99t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-t} + e^{-99t} \right) & \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-t} - e^{-99t} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-t} - e^{-99t} \right) & \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-t} + e^{-99t} \right) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{t\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-t} + e^{-99t} \right) & \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-t} - e^{-99t} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-t} - e^{-99t} \right) & \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-t} + e^{-99t} \right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} + e^{-99t} \\ e^{-t} - e^{-99t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (b) [5 points] Since all of the eigenvalues of **A** are negative,  $\|\mathbf{x}(t)\| \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .
- (c) [5 points] Now,

$$\mathbf{x}_k = (\mathbf{I} + \Delta t \mathbf{A})^k \mathbf{x}_0.$$

Moreover, the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{I} + \Delta t \mathbf{A}$  are  $1 + \Delta t \lambda_1 = 1 - 99\Delta t$  and  $1 + \Delta t \lambda_2 = 1 - \Delta t$  and

$$\mathbf{I} + \Delta t \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 99\Delta t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \Delta t \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^{-1}.$$

Hence, since all of the eigenvalues of **A** are negative, and -99 < -1 we can conclude that if

$$\Delta t < \frac{2}{99}$$

then  $\|\mathbf{x}_k\| \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

(d) [5 points] Now,

$$\mathbf{x}_k = ((\mathbf{I} - \Delta t \mathbf{A})^{-1})^k \mathbf{x}_0.$$

Moreover, the eigenvalues of  $(\mathbf{I} - \Delta t \mathbf{A})^{-1}$  are  $\frac{1}{1 - \Delta t \lambda_1} = \frac{1}{1 + 99\Delta t}$  and  $\frac{1}{1 - \Delta t \lambda_2} = \frac{1}{1 + \Delta t}$  and

$$(\mathbf{I} - \Delta t \mathbf{A})^{-1} = \mathbf{V} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1+99\Delta t} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1+\Delta t} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^{-1}.$$

Hence, since all of the eigenvalues of **A** are negative, we can conclude that there is no restriction on  $\Delta t$  to obtain  $\|\mathbf{x}_k\| \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ .