

RESULTS OF GROUP CRITIQUE

Name of graph author: _____

Angie

The purpose of this graph is:

To show the survival and death rate for men, women, and children. Question, were women and children first?

Brief description of the graph (use graph grammar):

Death rate on the left. Survival frequencies on the right.

The three most important critique points are:

- additional footnotes about
- Consistency in language on labels
- Make a decision about what to do about missing data.
- makes you think about the hypothesis

CRITIQUE NOTES

Name of person being reviewed: Angie

Is this a self-review? (circle one): yes ☐ no ☒

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

Good layering. The grid with 2 plots side by side is ~~perfectly~~ symmetrically laid out

Colors are complementary.
Provocative title and provides good evidence contrary to the story we've heard.
Two different ways of seeing and understanding the question. The total passengers helps provide context to the rate.
Fonts easy to read.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
ineffective extremely effective

CRITIQUE NOTES

Name of person being reviewed: Ana

Is this a self-review? (circle one) yes / no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

I spent a lot of time on technical aspects and skimped a little on graphic details that I would have liked to spend more time / think about more. Would want to find ways to make this information more compelling, spacing of data and individual graphs ~~more~~ layered out better.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

1 2 3 4 5
ineffective

6

7

8 9 10
extremely effective

CRITIQUE NOTES

Name of person being reviewed: Angie

Is this a self-review? (circle one): yes / no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

- * The documentation is very good - very clear and I like how in title you ask a question
- * how was children defined?
- * Not sure if line graph most effective b/c the variables are not continuous. (you are either male or female)
- * ~~How~~ was children included in M/F count?
- * Color very effective -> Can differentiate between the groups
- * title of bar graph says total passengers - but no data on total only disag. data.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
ineffective

7

extremely effective