RESULTS OF GROUP CRITIQUE   Name of graph author: _Yesy_

The purpose of this graph is:

to look @ Survival rate by class, age, & sex.
age → those 13 and younger

Brief description of the graph (use graph grammar):

& Bar graph with age on Y axis and X Axis
of class
tables data, age, sex, Passenger class

The three most important critique points are:

aesthetic mapping:

Staf: need to clarify that just looking
at particular
age grp.

needs.

Labeling / title.

good use of facety.

% of cart would help.

bar is good.

CRITIQUE NOTES   Name of person being reviewed: _Jesca_

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

<u>Notes and Feedback:</u>

Santa

my graph was not easily able to accurately perceive the data and I forgot to include a label

I could have used color to further illustrate ∧that the different classes children score.

I don't think my graph provides adequate information.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

(1)   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10
ineffective   **extremely effective**

CRITIQUE NOTES   Name of person being reviewed: _____Yesy_____

Is this is a self-review? (circle one): **yes / no**

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

<u>Notes and Feedback:</u>

Well labeled

Not really sure what the y Axis is
or what is being measured.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10

ineffective   extremely effective

CRITIQUE NOTES   Name of person being reviewed: _Yesy_

Is this/is a self-review? (circle one): **yes** / **no**

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

L/S- good separation (I like that they bars don't touch)
 ✓ the gridlines add some clutter

G/P - it takes a little while to figure out what it represents, but once you grasp it, it clears up   -% rather then count wald after 'understanding' it was super interesting   help

C/A - I like the use of black - crisp, minimal, doesn't detract from the data

D/P - needs more documentation, but the image is clear + it presents well
 -to transform the data was a great idea, but the viewer needs to understand

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

1   2   3   4   (5)   6   7   8   9   10
ineffective   extremely effective

CRITIQUE NOTES   Name of person being reviewed: ___YESY___

Is this is a self-review? (circle one): **yes / no**

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback: Data, Aes, Geom, Stat, Scale, Coord, Facet

L/S: The black bars definitely pop from the background, but together they are hard to differentiate

G/P: 4x4 grid, faceted by ~~xxxx~~ Sex & Survival. Bar graphing on a Carthesian Coord. System — which is very communally understood. But the data is difficult to read.

C/A: Not much color - the black is over powering & there's no contrast with other data points. Plot is a

D/P: Survival needs to be labeled instead of "0" & "1". No title is a drawback. Y-axis Age - is unclear on the scale. Do bars reflect count or % of passengers by Age? This is Children or other? Computation needs clarification. → 13 yrs old & under?

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

1   2   3   (4)   5   6   7   8   9   10
ineffective   extremely effective