## RESULTS OF GROUP CRITIQUE

Name of graph author:

The purpose of this graph is:

The relationship between family size and survival.

# Brief description of the graph (use graph grammar):

Faint underlay of titanic. With faceted text boxes of information and a graphwith supplementary data. Explanations of data given in footnote. The three most important critique points are:

- O Eyes did not know where to start; focus on text routher than graph.
- (2) Could have had more doctor in graph form.
- 3 More clear information on families vs. individuals.

### **CRITIQUE NOTES**

Name of person being reviewed:

Is this is a self-review? (circle one): yes(no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

**Documentation and presentation.** Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

Immediate understanding of information topic without

being overwhelming.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

1 2 3 4 5

ineffective

6

8

extremely effective

#### CRITIQUE NOTES

self-review? (circle one): yes (no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

& Good layering. The grid is well kid out. The Visual is clearly separated from the supporting elevents I ask uses grayseale. Simple and dean. No need for Color. Febls like à reuspeper. The graph \* Thorough decimentation. Would be interested in how many total single presenges how many total familial, how many total single presenges is easy to rend. DI learned a lot without being overwhelmed -> Spelly/granar good. > High quality w/o dichortem

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

2 ineffective

10

extremely effective

### **CRITIQUE NOTES**

Name of person being reviewed:

Is this is a self-review? (circle one): yes / no

**Layering and separation.** Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

**Documentation and presentation.** Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

\* The facts and figures too bold in color

\* Too Busy - maybe a little less information as readers

Find it hard to fows

\* Facts don't directly correspond to the data displayed

\* Facts don't directly correspond to the data displayed

\* Separte text on graph too small

\* was the descriptive language too confising

\*total Families > 1 would have been helpful