

RESULTS OF GROUP CRITIQUE

Name of graph author: _____

Michelle

The purpose of this graph is:

show story of kids on the Titanic by Passenger class.

Brief description of the graph (use graph grammar):

- on a grid
- small & meaningful scale

The three most important critique points are:

- would have been a good idea to bin ages (ex: 0-5, 6-10, etc) - would have been easier to read.
- very informative, but also too much data.
- Great titles on ea. x & y-axis, legends, every individual graph, but missing overall title.

CRITIQUE NOTES

Name of person being reviewed:

Michelle

Is this a self-review? (circle one): yes / no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

Lots of layering - well documented ^{descriptive} on the titles.
Stacked bar is tough to interpret.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
ineffective extremely effective

CRITIQUE NOTES

Name of person being reviewed: myself

Is this a self-review? (circle one) yes no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

- too much information
- too much going on
- maybe not solid bars
- include an overall note
- simplify the age

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
ineffective extremely effective

CRITIQUE NOTES

Name of person being reviewed: Michelle

Michelle

Is this is a self-review? (circle one): **yes** / no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

- stack bar is a bit difficult to read.
- Color is effective, and easy on the eye.
- 4 graphs at once is too much info, makes it a bit hard to focus.
- Maybe have an overall title.
- The titles & axis are ~~more~~ very helpful in telling the story.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

1 2
ineffective

8 9 10
extremely effective

CRITIQUE NOTES

Name of person being reviewed: Michelle

Is this a self-review? (circle one): yes / no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

very informative, but too much information so hard to focus.

Hard to understand stacked bars.

Love the yellow background

Missing title, name, source.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
ineffective

7

8 9 10
extremely effective