RESULTS OF GROUP CRITIQUE	Name of graph author

The purpose of this graph is:
To snow the composition of classes and individuals and families that embarked from each port by port of departure

Brief description of the graph (use, graph grammar):
Stacked graph that divides between the embarked city. Then it divides by class. Then divides between individuals or families

The three most important critique points are:

The three most important critique points are:

The Recode Image snowed the unknowns but when the image was saved that was lost.

The bright colors draws your eye.

The Hill is capital red

Name of person being reviewed:

his is a self-review 2 circle one); ves / no

**Layering and separation.** Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

**Documentation and presentation.** Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

## Notes and Feedback:

- \* PERHAPS I COVLID READ BETTER IF THE "FAMILY" COLORS WERE PELATED AND "INDIVIDUAL" WERE AS WELL RECAWE I KEFT HAVING TO FLIP TO LEGISMO.
- · COLUR CONTRAST (IN HVE) MIGHT HELP
- "H OF PASSENGERS IS CLEAR BUT I SOMETIMES HAVE TRUUBLE READING STACKED GRAPHS.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

ineffective

**(6)** 

7 8 9 10 extremely effective

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

layring l skjærater. good sejæreter.

colos. great coloss. belies as green nix is for me. reverse uder of degert

shows the message of the graph.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

ineffective

Name of person being reviewed:

Is this is a self-review? (circle one):(yes)/ no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

## Notes and Feedback:

The lives of the colors are great, but greens and blues are very close. Make them more contrasting

The colors on the legend should be the same order as on the bous

Perhaps the table is redundant!
Surry not do the graptes bous next to eachether

No sertical lines nuded on the background. put the unknown to the right.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

(7)

10 extremely effective

Name of person being reviewed: 2115a

this is a self-review? (circle one): yes (no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

I like how the title is capitalized and then the descriptive text is lower case. I trunk trus might be saying a little too much

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

2

6

5