RESULTS OF GROUP CRITIQUE Name of graph author: Michael Christiang
The purpose of this graphisievage average to snow class, fare, sex, age, and
Survival by deck (count)
Brief description of the graph (use graph grammar): 9000 USE OF layering - good gesthetic, faceting for the vertical
The three most important critique points are:
Keeping in minel was cases in each deck each deck each deck level had different # of people - missing about douta
o color - liked the consistance of too buse too buse mut also could cause confusion Anoted this
· tracking because their are sor many facets,
track of axis labelt note averages in exis
Description
o used bass as the geom
o color as a Scale to break up each facet

CRITIQUE NOTES

Name of person being reviewed:

Michael	Agust	YOUA	
Is this is a s	elf-review?	(circle	one) yes no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

- The colors in Class graphs pull the eye towards it first, but that was not my intent
- the perception is clear to he (after a second look), although numerical values for Fare + Age would be useful especially for age, B-E Decks are very close
- I ned the n values for each duck
- I don't love the labels on the Class, Sex, and Survived graphs, but I didn't want to put too many words
- reading deck across is difficult ble it's long
- Signify that face of Age are hear

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

ineffective



8 9 10 extremely effective

CRITIQUE NOTES

Name of person being reviewed: Michael armetrona Is this is a self-review? (circle one): yes /16

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

Questions -

Is the age an average? Fave an average?

- o I think a legend might be helpful
- · different color idus
- o Graph 1: First class is lawled each time
- like the black white graphs between color graphs
- Good layout + the lines all motch up
- very easy to understand-Its clear what each grouph is telling you
- tead two ways

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

ineffective

7

10

CRITIQUE NOTES

Name of person being reviewed: Michael Arms trong
Is this is a self-review? (circle one): yes / no

Layering and separation. Does the submission effectively use layering and separation to distinguish the data representations from supporting elements of the graph? Is the eye drawn to what matters most?

Graphical perception. Is the typical consumer of the graph able to easily and accurately perceive the data?

Color and aesthetics. Is the use of color (if applicable) effective? Is the graph designed well?

Documentation and presentation. Is the image of high quality and without distortions? Does the image include sufficient documentation? Is everything spelled correctly and grammatically correct?

Notes and Feedback:

Fare (E) & not clear if this is "Avg Fare"
Age & "similar comment to Above. Deck > unclear what the Deck variable is -would be good to explain that a little further. Good color contrast.

Effective use of layering & layout.

Good use of labels.

* To acould Had to look from left to right to follow along w/ the Deck.

* Missing a note about the Deck info. & also an understanding of missing the # of passengers / Deck.

Overall effectiveness of this graph (circle one):

ineffective

9 10 extremely effective