

### Experiment 3 - Study of Classifiers with Respect to Statistical Parameters

6/8/25

Aim:

To study the performance of different classifiers using statistical parameters like accuracy, precision, recall & F1 score.

Pseudocode:

- 1) Import required libraries.
  - pandas, scikit-learn, metrics, train-test split.
- 2) Load the dataset (open-source). (Iris dataset).
- 3) Split into features & labels.
- 4) Divide data into train and test sets.
- 5) For each classifier:
  - Instantiate.
  - Fit on training data.
  - Predict.
  - Calculate metrics.
- 6) Compare statistical results.

Observation:-

- Statistical parameters like accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score are displayed for each classifier.

Result:-

- Performance metrics for each classifier are tabulated & compared.
- Random Forest classifier may outperform others on the chosen dataset.

~~2/11/25~~  
~~11/11/25~~

20/01/20

Classification	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F-1
Random Forest	1	1	1	1
Decision Tree	1	1	1	1
Naïve Bayes	0.977	0.9761	0.974	0.974

Random Forest & Decision Tree appear to have same <sup>perfect</sup> results.

It seems to have been overfitted but the simplicity of 'iris' dataset makes this occur.

Compare statistical results.

Observation: Statistical parameters like accuracy, precision, recall and F1 score are calculated for each classifier.

Performance metric for each classifier is calculated & compared.

```
jupyter-ra2311047010014@cintel:~/DLT$ python knn-week2.py
```

Random Forest Results:

Accuracy : 1.0

Precision: 1.0

Recall : 1.0

F1 Score : 1.0

Decision Tree Results:

Accuracy : 1.0

Precision: 1.0

Recall : 1.0

F1 Score : 1.0

Naive Bayes Results:

Accuracy : 0.9777777777777777

Precision: 0.9761904761904763

Recall : 0.9743589743589745

F1 Score : 0.974320987654321