## L4 - 14/08/2024

## Multiplication

- 1. 0xm := 0
- $\frac{2}{n+1}$  (n++) x m := (nxm) + m

To prove that multiplication is commutative, we first need to prove the following 2 lemmas.

- 1. mx0=0
- 2 nx (m++) = (nxm)+n

Q. PT. mx0=0 Pf- Let P(m) be true if mx0=0. (: oxm=o by defa) 0 × 0 = 0 i. P(0) is true IH - Given mx0=0, PT (m++)x0=0

 $(m++) \times 0 = (m \times 0) + 0$  (Dyn) = 0 + 0 (Given mx0 = 0)

· , p(m) is true => p(m++) is true

:. By PMI, P(m) is true for all natural nos. m.

Pf - fin a natural no. m. het P(n) be true if  $n \times (m++) = (n \times m) + n$ BC - 0x(m++) = 0 = (0xm)+0 :. P(0) is true.  $n \times (m++) = (n \times m) + n$ IH - Given PT (n++) x(m++) = ((n++) x m) + (n++)  $(n+t) \times (m+t) = (n \times (m+t)) + (m+t)$ = (nxm) + n+(m++) $= (n \times m) + ((n + m) + +)$  $= (n \times m) + ((n++) + m)$ = ((nxm) + m) + (n++) = ((n++) x m) + (n++)  $\therefore$  P(n) is true  $\Rightarrow$  P(n++) is true : By PMI, Pon) is true for all natural

nx(m++) = (nxm) + n

## Commutativity (mxn) = (nxm)Pf - fin a natural no. m. Let P(n) be true if $(m \times n) = (n \times m)$ $m \times 0 = 0 = 0 \times m$ : P(0) is true IH - Given mxn = nxm, PT mx(n++) = (n++) x m $m \times (n+t) = (m \times n) + m$ = (nxm) + m = (n++) x m P(n) is true >> P(n++) is true

i. By PMI, PCn) is true for all natural nos. n.

... (nxm) is positive

Fin natural nos. a & c. Let P(b) be true if  $(a \times b) \times c = a \times (b \times c)$ (axo)xc = o = ax(oxc): P(0) is true. IH - Given (axb)xc = ax(bxc), PT (ax(b++))xc = ax((b++)xc) (ax(b++))xc = ((axb)+a)xc = (axb)xc + ac = ax(bxc) + ac $= a \times (cb \times c) + c)$  $= a \times ((b++) \times c)$ :. P(b) is true => P(b++) is true i. By PMI, P(b) is true for all natural nos.

(axb)xc = ax(bxc)

Associativity

## Euclidean Algorithm Let n be a natural no. & or be a positive no. Then I natural nos. m, r 0 { 1 < 9 & n = mq + 1 Pf - fin a natural no. 9. Let P(n) be true if 3 natural nos. m, h s.t 0 < 1 < 9 & n = mg + 1 3 M=0 & 1=0 BC - 0 = 0xq+0 :. P(0) is true IH - Given I natural nos. m, r

IT - 41.40 5 166.25 16.15 16.

Now; (n++) = n+1 = mq + (n+1)CI - 9f 061<(9-1) => (1+1)<9  $... m' = m & \lambda' = (\lambda + 1) = (\lambda + 1)$ CII - 9f 1=(q-1) => (1+1)=q > (n++) = mq+q = (m+1)q .. m = m+1 = m++ & 1 = 0 .. P(n) is true >> P(n++) is true i. By PMI, P(n) is true for all natural nos. n.

NOTE - For given n & q, m & r are unique.

Pf - Let 
$$\exists$$
 natural ness.  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$ ,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ;

A.t  $0 \le \lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2 < q$ ,  $m_1 \neq m_2$  &  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ .

 $n = m_1 q + \lambda_1 = m_2 q + \lambda_2$ 

By trichotomy of order on natural ness.,

 $m_1 > m_2$  or  $m_1 < m_2$ .

WLOQ, let  $m_1 > m_2 \Rightarrow \exists$  natural no.  $M$ 

A.t  $m_1 = M + m_2$ 
 $\Rightarrow m_1 q + \lambda_1 = m_2 q + \lambda_2$ 
 $\Rightarrow m_2 q + (Mq + \lambda_1) = m_2 q + \lambda_2$ 
 $\Rightarrow m_2 q + (Mq + \lambda_1) = m_2 q + \lambda_2$ 

Which is a contain  $\therefore 0 \le \lambda_2 < q$ 

Which is a contain  $\therefore 0 \le \lambda_2 < q$ 

While  $Mq \le Mq + \lambda_1 < (M + 1)q$ 

Hence  $m_1 = m_2$ 

ج) الم = الم ا