Authentication

 ${
m CS}~601.642/442~{
m Modern}~{
m Cryptography}$

Fall 2020

The Setting

- Alice wants to send a message m to Bob in such a manner that upon receipt, Bob can determine whether the message arrived untampered or not
- Want: Digital analogue of physical signatures
- \bullet Alice ("signer") signs a message m to produce a signature σ
- Bob ("verifier") can verify that σ is indeed generated for m
- Adversary cannot *forge* a signature

Two Types

• Private Key: Message Authentication Codes

Public Key: Digital Signatures

Message Authentication Code (MAC)

- Signer and Verifier "share a secret"
- Key Generation: $Gen(1^n)$ outputs secret key k
- Sign: $\mathsf{Tag}_k(m)$ outputs a tag σ
- Verify: $\operatorname{\sf Ver}_k(m,\sigma)$ is 1 if and only if σ is a valid tag of m under the secret key k

Security: An adversary can observe multiple (message,tag) pairs of its choice, but still cannot forge a tag on a new message

MAC: Algorithms

- $k \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$
- $\sigma \leftarrow \mathsf{Tag}_k(m)$
- $\operatorname{Ver}_k \colon \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{T} \to \{0,1\}$
- Correctness: $\Pr[k \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n), \sigma \leftarrow \mathsf{Tag}_k(m) \colon \mathsf{Ver}_k(m, \sigma) = 1] = 1$
- Security (UF-CMA): For all n.u. PPT adversary \mathcal{A} there exists a negligible $\nu(\cdot)$ such that:

$$\Pr\left[\begin{smallmatrix} k \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n) \\ (m,\sigma) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Tag}_k(\cdot)}(1^n) \end{smallmatrix} \colon \begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{A} \text{ did not query } m \ \land \\ \mathsf{Ver}_k(m,\sigma) = 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right] \leqslant \nu(n)$$

MAC: Construction

Theorem

$PRF \implies MAC$

- $\operatorname{\mathsf{Gen}}(1^n)$: Output $k \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n$
- $\mathsf{Tag}_k(m)$: Output $f_k(m)$
- $\operatorname{Ver}_k(m,\sigma)$: Output $f_k(m) \stackrel{?}{=} \sigma$
- Think: Proof?

One-time MAC

- Weaker Security: Adversary is allowed only one query
- Advantage: Unconditional security!
- Analogue of OTP for authentication
- Think & Read

Digital Signature

- Only Signer can sign but everyone can verify
- Key Generation: $(sk, pk) \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$
- **Sign**: $\sigma \leftarrow \mathsf{Sign}_{sk}(m)$
- Verify: $Ver_{pk}(m, \sigma) : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S} \to \{0, 1\}$
- Correctness:

$$\Pr[(sk, pk) \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n), \sigma \leftarrow \mathsf{Sign}_{sk}(m) \colon \mathsf{Ver}_{pk}(m, \sigma) = 1] = 1$$

• Security (UF-CMA):

$$\Pr\left[\begin{array}{c} (sk,pk) \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n) \\ (m,\sigma) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Sign}_{sk}(\cdot)}(1^n,pk) \end{array} \right] \stackrel{\mathcal{A} \text{ did not query } m \ \land}{\mathsf{Ver}_{pk}(m,\sigma)=1} \right] \leqslant \nu(n)$$

• One-time Signatures: Adversary is allowed only one query



One-time Signature: Construction [Lamport]

Let f be a one-way function

•
$$sk := \begin{pmatrix} x_1^0 & x_2^0 & \dots & x_n^0 \\ x_1^1 & x_2^1 & \dots & x_n^1 \end{pmatrix}$$
, where $x_i^b \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n$ for all $i \in [n]$ and $b \in \{0,1\}$

•
$$pk := \begin{pmatrix} y_1^0 & y_2^0 & \dots & y_n^0 \\ y_1^1 & y_2^1 & \dots & y_n^1 \end{pmatrix}$$
, where $y_i^b = f(x_i^b)$ for all $i \in [n]$ and $b \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\bullet \ \operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m) \colon \sigma \coloneqq (x_1^{m_1}, x_2^{m_2}, \dots, x_n^{m_n})$$

•
$$\operatorname{Ver}_{pk}(m,\sigma): \wedge_{i \in [n]} f(\sigma_i) \stackrel{?}{=} y_i^{m_i}$$

• <u>Think</u>: Proof?

<u>Think</u>: How to sign long messages?

Collision-resistant Hash Functions

- Intuition: A compressing function h for which it is hard to find x, x' s.t. $x \neq x'$ but h(x) = h(x')
- Impossible for non-uniform adversary notion
 - Think: Why?
- Need to consider a family of hash functions

Collision-resistant Hash Function Family

Definition (Collision-resistant Hash Function Family)

A family of functions $H = \{h_i : D_i \to R_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a collision-resistant hash function family (CRHF) if:

- Easy to Sample: There exists a PPT Gen s.t.: $i \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n), i \in I$
- Compression: $|R_i| < |D_i|$
- Easy to Evaluate: There exists a poly-time algorithm Eval s.t. given $x \in D_i$, $i \in I$, Eval $(x, i) = h_i(x)$
- Collision Resistance: For all n.u. PPT \mathcal{A} , \exists negligible function $\mu(\cdot)$ s.t.

$$\Pr\left[\begin{array}{c} i \xleftarrow{\$} \operatorname{Gen}(1^n), & : & x \neq x' \land \\ (x, x') \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(1^n, i) & : & h_i(x) = h_i(x') \end{array}\right] \leqslant \mu(n)$$

Remarks

- One-bit compression implies arbitrary bit compression
 - Think: Proof?
 - Read: Merkle Trees
- Range cannot be too small
 - Enumeration Attacks
 - Birthday Attack
- Existence:
 - Unlikely to be constructed from OWF or OWP [Simon98]
 - Can be constructed from number-theoretic assumptions such as factoring, discrete log

Remarks (contd.)

• Weaker notion: Universal One-way Hash Functions (UOWHF)

 $\Pr\left[\begin{array}{c} (x,\mathsf{state}) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(1^n), \\ i \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{Gen}(1^n), & : & x \neq x' \ \land \\ x' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(i,\mathsf{state}) \end{array}\right] \leqslant \mu(n)$

- Can be constructed from OWF [Rompel90]
- Suffices for Digital Signatures [Naor-Yung89]
- More efficient construction [Haitner-Holenstein-Reingold-Vadhan-Wee10]

One-time Signatures for Long Messages

- Let $H = \{h_i : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^n\}_{i \in I}$ be a CRHF
- <u>Idea</u>: Sign $h_i(m)$ instead of m using Lamport signature
- Think: Proof?

Multi-message Signatures (via chain)

- $\bullet \ (sk_0, pk_0) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$
- Initialize: $\tilde{\sigma}_i = \emptyset$, i = 1
- To sign m_i :
 - $(sk_i, pk_i) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$
 - $\tilde{\sigma}_i \leftarrow \mathsf{Sign}_{sk_{i-1}}(m_i || pk_i)$
 - Output: $\sigma_i = (i, \tilde{\sigma}_i, m_i, pk_i, \sigma_{i-1})$
 - Increment i
- Think: Proof?
- <u>Think</u>: How to reduce signature size?
- <u>Read</u>: Tree-based signatures
- <u>Read</u>: Efficient Signatures from Trapdoor Permutations in the Random Oracle Model
- <u>Read</u>: Full Domain Hash (RSA-based signatures)

