Remove return type deduction in std::apply

Aaryaman Sagar (aary@instagram.com)

October 7, 2018

1. Introduction

```
#include <tuple>
#include <iostream>

template <typename VoidT, typename Func, typename... Args>
struct well_formed : std::false_type {};

template <typename Func, typename... Args>
struct well_formed<
    std::void_t<decltype(
        std::apply(std::declval<Func>(), std::declval<Args>()...))>,
    Func,
    Args...> : std::true_type {};

void foo(bool) {}

int main() {
    auto func = []() {};
    auto args = std::make_tuple(1);

    std::ignore = well_formed<void, decltype(func)&, decltype(args)&>{};
}
```

The code above should be well formed. However, since std::apply uses return type deduction to deduce the return type, we get a hard error as the substitution is outside the immediate context of the template instantiation.

This paper proposes a new public trait instead of decltype(auto) in the return type of of std::apply

2. Impact on the standard

This proposal is a pure library extension.

3. std::apply_result

std::apply_result (and the corresponding alias std::apply_result_t) is the proposed trait that should be used in the
return type of std::apply. With the new declaration being

```
template <class F, class Tuple>
constexpr std::apply_result_t<F, Tuple> apply(F&& f, Tuple&& t);
```

This fixes hard errors originating from code that tries to employ commonly-used SFINAE patterns with std::apply that could have otherwise been well formed. And is backwards compatible with well-formed usecases of std::apply

4. Implementation

std::apply_result can be defined using the existing std::invoke_result trait to avoid duplication in implementations

```
// apply_impl is for exposition only
template <class F, class T, std::size_t... I>
constexpr auto apply_impl(F&& f, T&& t, std::index_sequence < I...>) noexcept(
    is_nothrow_invocable <F&&, decltype(std::get <I > (std::declval <T > ()))... > {})
    -> invoke_result_t<F&&, decltype(std::get<I>(std::declval<T>()))...> {
  return invoke(std::forward<F>(f), std::get<I>(std::forward<T>(t))...);
template <typename F, typename Tuple>
using apply_result_t = decltype(apply_impl(
    std::declval<F>(),
    std::declval<Tuple>(),
    std::make_index_sequence<std::tuple_size_v<std::decay_t<Tuple>>>{}));
template <typename F, typename Tuple, typename = std::void_t<>>
class apply_result {};
template <typename F, typename Tuple>
class apply_result <F, Tuple, std::void_t <std::apply_result_t <F, Tuple >>> {
  using type = std::apply_result_t<F, Tuple>;
};
     Changes to the standard
5.
      Section 23.5.2 ([[tuple.syn]])
    // 23.5.3.5, calling a function with a tuple of arguments
    template <class F, class Tuple>
    constexpr std::apply_result_t<F, Tuple> apply(F&& f, Tuple&& t);
    template <class F, class Tuple> class apply_result;
    template <class F, class Tuple> using apply_result_t = (see below);
      Section 23.5.3.5 ([tuple.apply] paragraph 1)
    template <class F, class Tuple>
    constexpr std::apply_result_t<F, Tuple> apply(F&& f, Tuple&& t) noexcept(see below);
Effects: Given the exposition-only function:
    template <class F, class T, std::size_t... I>
    constexpr auto apply_impl(F&& f, T&& t, std::index_sequence<I...>) noexcept(
        is_nothrow_invocable <F&&, decltype(std::get <I>(std::declval <T>()))...>{})
        -> invoke\_result\_t < F\&\&, decltype(std::get < I>(std::declval < T>())) ...> \\ \{
      return invoke(std::forward<F>(f), std::get<I>(std::forward<T>(t))...);
Equivalent to:
  return apply_impl(
      std::forward<F>(f),
      std::forward<Tuple>(t),
      std::make_index_sequence<std::tuple_size<std::decay_t<Tuple>>{}>{});
Remarks: The expression inside no except is equivalent to:
    apply_impl(std::forward<F>(f), std::forward<Tuple>(t),
               make_index_sequence < tuple_size_v < decay_t < Tuple >>>);
```

5.3. Section 23.5.3.6 ([tuple.helper])

```
template <class F, class Tuple> using apply_result_t = (see below)
Effects: Given the exposition-only function:
    template <class F, class T, std::size_t... I>
    constexpr auto apply_impl(F&& f, T&& t, std::index_sequence < I...>) noexcept(
        is_nothrow_invocable < F&&, decltype(std::get < I > (std::declval < T > ()))...>{})
        -> invoke_result_t<F&&, decltype(std::get<I>(std::declval<T>()))...> {
      return invoke(std::forward<F>(f), std::get<I>(std::forward<T>(t))...);
    }
Equivalent to:
    decltype(apply_impl(std::forward<F>(f), std::forward<Tuple>(t),
             make_index_sequence < tuple_size_v < decay_t < Tuple >>>{});
    template <typename F, typename Tuple, typename = void_t <>>
    class apply_result {};
    template <typename F, typename Tuple>
    class apply_result<F, Tuple, void_t<apply_result_t<F, Tuple>>> {
            using type = apply_result_t<F, Tuple>;
    };
```

If the expression apply_impl(std::declval<F>(), std::declval<Tuple>()) is well-formed when treated as an unevaluated operand (Clause 8), the member typedef type names the type

decltype(apply_impl(std::declval<F>(), std::declval<Tuple>())). Otherwise there shall be no member type. Access checking is performed as if in a context unrelated to F and Tuple. Only the validaity of the immediate context is considered. [Note: The compilation of the expression can result in side effects such as the instantiation of class template specializations and function template specializations, the generation of implicitly-defined functions and so on. Such side-effects are not in the "immediate context." and can result in the program being ill-formed. - end note]