

---

## CS:6160 CRYPTOLOGY

### TUTORIAL 3

---

#### Instructions

- These questions are part of your tutorial. We will keep adding more questions.

(1) Question 3.20 : Consider a stateful variant of CBC-mode encryption where the sender just increments  $IV$  by 1 each time a message is encrypted rather than choose an  $IV$  at random each time. S.T. that the scheme is not CPA-secure.

(2) Let  $\Pi = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$  be the stateless CTR mode of encryption and  $\tilde{\Pi} = (\tilde{Gen}, \tilde{Enc}, \tilde{Dec})$  be the encryption scheme that is identical to  $\Pi$  except that a truly random function is used in place of  $F_k$ . P.T.

$$|Pr[PrivK_{\mathcal{A}, \Pi}^{cpa}(n) = 1] - Pr[PrivK_{\mathcal{A}, \tilde{\Pi}}^{cpa}(n) = 1]| \leq \text{negl}(n).$$

(3) Question 3.21: What is the effect of a single-bit error in the ciphertext when using the CBC, OFB, and CTR modes of operation?

(4) Question 3.22: What is the effect of a dropped ciphertext block (e.g: if the transmitted block  $c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots$  is received as  $c_1, c_3, \dots$ ) when using CBC, OFB, CTR modes?

(5) Let  $F$  be a PRF. Show that each of the following MACs is insecure, even if used to authenticate fixed-length messages. In each case Gen outputs a uniform  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $[i]_2$  denotes the  $\frac{n}{2}$ -bit binary encoding of  $i$ .

(a) To authenticate a message  $m = m_1 \dots m_\ell$ , where  $m_i \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , compute

$$t := F_k(m_1) \oplus \dots F_k(m_\ell).$$

(b) To authenticate a message  $m = m_1 \dots m_\ell$ , where  $m_i \in \{0, 1\}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ , compute

$$t := F_k([1]_2 || m_1) \oplus \dots F_k([\ell]_2 || m_\ell).$$

(c) To authenticate a message  $m = m_1 \dots m_\ell$ , where  $m_i \in \{0, 1\}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ , choose uniform  $r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  and compute

$$t := (r, F_k(r) \oplus F_k([1]_2 || m_1) \oplus \dots F_k([\ell]_2 || m_\ell)).$$

- (6) Let  $\Pi = (Gen, MAC, Verify)$  be a secure MAC that uses canonical verification. Prove that  $\Pi$  is a strong MAC.
- (7) Question 4.13 : We explore what happens when the basic CBC-MAC construction is used with messages of different lengths.
- Say the sender and receiver do not agree on the message length in advance, but the sender is careful to only authenticate messages of length  $2n$ . Show that an adversary can forge a valid tag on a message of length  $4n$ .
  - Say the receiver only accepts 3-block messages, but the sender authenticates messages of any length a multiple of  $n$ . Show that an adversary can forge a valid tag on a new message.
- (8) Question 4.14 : Prove that the following modifications of basic CBC-MAC do not yield a secure MAC (even for fixed-length messages):
- $MAC$  outputs all blocks  $t_1, \dots, t_\ell$  rather than  $t_\ell$ . ( $Verify$  only checks whether  $t_\ell$  is correct.)
  - A random initial block is used each time a message is authenticated.
- (9) Show that appending the message length to the end of the message before applying basic CBC-MAC does not result in a secure MAC for arbitrary-length messages.
- (10) Question 4.25: Let  $F$  be a strong pseudorandom permutation, and define the following fixed-length encryption scheme: On input a message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^{n/2}$  and key  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ,  $Enc$  chooses a uniform  $r \in \{0, 1\}^{n/2}$  and  $c := F_k(m \circ r)$ . Prove that this scheme is CCA-secure, but is not an authenticated encryption scheme.