

# Improved bound on $c_S(w)$ for $l = \log|S|$

Aaryan Gupta

10 June 2022

## 1 Problem

For a set  $S \subseteq \{0, 1\}^d$  we define  $c_S(w) = |\{(x, y) \mid x \in S, y \in S, d(x, y) = w\}|$ , i.e., the number of pairs of vectors in  $S$  that are at Hamming distance  $w$  from each other. We are asked to provide an upper bound for the sum  $\frac{\sum_{w=0}^r c_S(w)}{|S|}$  over all such sets  $S$ .

## 2 Reformulation of Problem to graphs

We consider the set  $S$  to be a subset of the boolean hypercube graph of dimension  $d$ . In this graph, the set of vertices is  $V = \{v : v \in S\}$  and the set of edges is  $E = \{(x, y) : d_H(x, y) \leq r\}$  where  $d_H$  is the Hamming distance function. The problem transforms to finding the maximum value of  $e = \frac{|E|}{|V|}$ . For sets  $S$ , and a maximum Hamming distance  $r$ , we denote this as maximising  $e_{\leq r}(S) = \frac{|E_{\leq r}(S)|}{|S|}$ .

## 3 Down Sets

A set  $S$  is said to be a down-set if  $x \in S$  implies  $y \in S$  whenever  $y \subseteq x$ . Note that the set definition of the bit string of  $y$  is used here. For example 0100010 corresponds to  $\{2, 6\}$ . A set  $S$  is said to be a left-compressed set if  $x \in S$  implies  $y \in S$  whenever  $y$  satisfies the two conditions-

1.  $|x| = |y|$
2. either  $x_1 = 0, y_1 = 0$  or there exists  $i, j \in [d]$  with  $1 < i < j$  such that  $x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_{i-1} = y_{i-1}$  and  $x_i = 0, x_j = 1, y_i = 1, y_j = 0$

**Theorem 1** A down set achieves the maximum value of  $e_{\leq r}(S)$ .

*Proof Outline.* We start with a set  $B$  which achieves the maximum of  $e_{\leq r}(B)$ . We define a down-shift operator  $D_i$  which replaces every element in  $B$  whose  $i^{th}$  position is 1 and the replaced element is not already present in  $B$ . The set  $D(B) = D_1(D_2(\dots(D_d(B))\dots))$  is a down set. By case bashing, we show that after a single operation of  $D_i$  to  $B$ ,  $e_{\leq r}(B) \leq e_{\leq r}(D_i(B))$ . Hence any set  $S$  for which  $e_{\leq r}(S)$  is maximum can be transformed into a down-set  $D(S)$  which retains the same property.

Note that left-compression is not required for this proof.

## 4 The general case for even $r$

### 4.1 Partitioning the edge set into a disjoint union of equal mutual hamming distance pairs $e_{(b,a)}(S)$

For non-negative integral  $a$  and  $b$  define

$$E_{(b,a)}(S) = \{x, y \in E_{\leq 2t}(S) : |x \setminus y| = b, |y \setminus x| = a\}$$

Now let

$$U = \{(b, a) : b \geq a, b + a \leq 2t\}$$

Also let  $e_{(b,a)}(S) = |E_{(b,a)}(S)|$ . Now we can decompose  $E_{\leq 2t}(S)$  as a disjoint union

$$E_{\leq 2t}(S) = \bigcup_{(b,a) \in U} E_{(b,a)}(S)$$

and in turn we have

$$e_{\leq 2t}(S) \cdot |S| = \sum_{(b,a) \in U} e_{(b,a)}(S)$$

## 4.2 Counting pairs and finding an upper bound on $e_{(b,a)}(S)$ for fixed $(b, a)$

We now find an upper bound on the number of pairs  $\{x, y\} \in E_{(b,a)}(S)$  at a hamming distance of at most  $2t$ . As  $b \geq a$ , we know that  $|x| \geq |y|$ .

Now fix an  $x \in S$ . We bound the number of  $y \in \{0, 1\}^d = |Y|$  such that  $\{x, y\} \in E_{(b,a)}(S)$  and  $|(y \setminus x)| = b$  and  $|(x \setminus y)| = a$ . By some combinatorial arguments we show that

$$|Y| = \binom{n - |x|}{a} \binom{|x|}{a} \binom{|x|}{b - a}$$

Using the inequality  $\binom{b}{a} \leq \frac{b^a}{a!}$ , we simplify this expression to

$$|Y| \leq \frac{(n - b)^a l^b}{(a!)^2 (b - a)!}$$

Using Stirling's inequality, the denominator can be lower bounded as  $(a!)^2 (b - a)! \geq \frac{1}{2^{2a} \cdot e^{b+a}} (2a)^{2a} (b - a)^{b-a}$ . Using  $x^x y^y \geq \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{\frac{x+y}{2}}$  (Jensen's inequality on  $x^x$ ), we get

$$(a!)^2 (b - a)! \geq \frac{1}{2^{2a} \cdot e^{b+a}} \left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{\frac{a+b}{2}}$$

The numerator can be upper bounded using as

$$(n - b)^a l^b \leq n^a l^b \leq (nl)^{\frac{a+b}{2}}$$

Combining the two expressions we have

$$|Y| \leq \left(\frac{4e\sqrt{nl}}{b+a}\right)^{b+a}$$

## 4.3 Bounding $e_{(b,a)}(S)$ for any $(b, a)$

Here we will maximise the bound we obtained before over all  $(b, a)$  to get an inequality involving  $t, n$ , and  $l$ .

Define an integer  $k = b + a \leq 2t$  and  $k \geq 2$ . We want to show that the above bound is increasing over increasing  $k$ . So it suffices to show that

$$\left(\frac{4e\sqrt{nl}}{k-1}\right)^{k-1} \leq \left(\frac{4e\sqrt{nl}}{k}\right)^k$$

$$k \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{k-1} \leq 4e\sqrt{nl}$$

Now as  $\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{k-1} \leq e$ , it suffices to show that  $k \leq 4\sqrt{nl}$ .

This is true because

$$\left(\frac{k}{4}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{b+a}{4}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^2 \leq t^2 \leq \lfloor \log |S| \rfloor^2 \leq nl$$

Note that we used the fact that the set is a down set in the inequality  $t \leq \lfloor \log |S| \rfloor$ . So we have concluded that the pair for which the bound is weakest is when  $b + a = 2t$ . Substituting, we have

$$e_{(b,a)}(S) \leq \left(\frac{2e}{t}\right)^{2t} (nl)^t$$

for all pairs  $(b, a) \in U$

## 4.4 Putting it all together

As  $b \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2t\}$  and  $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ , we have  $|U| \leq 2t^2$ . Hence we have

$$e_{\leq 2t}(S) |S| \leq 2t^2 \left(\frac{2e}{t}\right)^{2t} (nl)^t$$