

Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Algorithm Design

Algorithm 1 groupAndRemoveNull ($A[0..n-1]$)

```
1: //Converts the borrowedTools toolCollection into an array then loops
2: //through the array adding all non-null items into a temporary list and
3: //converting to list back into an array
4: //Input:  An array  $A[0..n-1]$  of tools
5: //Output:  A modified array  $A[]$  of tools with no null elements
6:  $temp[] \leftarrow null$ 
7: for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $n-1$  do
8:     if  $A[i] \neq null$  then
9:         add  $A[i]$  to  $temp$ 
10:    end if
11: end for
12:  $A \leftarrow temp$ 
```

Algorithm 2 heapSort($A[0..n-1]$)

```
1: //Sorts array A into non decreasing order
2: and return B after three loops (top three tools)
3: //Input:  An array  $A[0..n-1]$  of tools
4: //Output:  An array B of the top three tools
5:
6:  $B \leftarrow B[3]$  //create an array of 3 elements
7: Use heapBottomUp to create a heap of A
8:  $j \leftarrow 0$ 
9: for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $n-1$  do
10:    Use maxKeyDelete to store  $A[0]$  in  $B[j]$  and move  $A[0]$  to the end
11:     $j \leftarrow j + 1$ 
12:    if  $j == 3$  then //if there are three elements in j
13:         $i \leftarrow n-1$ 
14:    end if
15: end for
16: return B
```

Algorithm 3 heapBottomUp($A[0..n-1]$)

```
1: //Constructs a heap from elements of a given array
2: //by the bottom-up algorithm
3: //Input:  An array  $A[1..n]$  of tools
4: //Output: A heap  $A[1..n]$ 
5: for  $i \leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  downto 1 do
6:    $k \leftarrow i$ 
7:    $v \leftarrow A[k]$ 
8:    $\text{heap} \leftarrow \text{false}$ 
9:   while not  $\text{heap}$  and  $2 * k \leq n$  do
10:     $j \leftarrow 2 * k$ 
11:    if  $j < n$  then //there are two children
12:      if  $A[j] < A[j+1]$  then //compare array element borrowings
13:         $j \leftarrow j+1$ 
14:      end if
15:    end if
16:    if  $v \geq A[j]$  then //compare array element borrowings
17:       $\text{heap} \leftarrow \text{true}$ 
18:    else if  $A[k] \geq A[j]$  then
19:       $k \leftarrow j$ 
20:    end if
21:  end while
22:   $A[k] \leftarrow v$ 
23: end for
```

Algorithm 4 maxKeyDelete ($A[0..n-1]$), size, counter, $B[0..2]$

```

1: //Puts the first element of A in B[counter]
2: //swaps  $A[0]$  with  $A[n-1]$ , decreases size and re heaps
3: //Input: An array  $A[0..n-1]$  of tools, size of A (minus sorted elements)
4: //counter for tracking placement of elements,  $B[]$  store top 3 elements
5:
6: //Output: Stop after  $B[0..2]$  has been filled
7:
8:  $B[counter] \leftarrow A[0]$ 
9:  $temp \leftarrow A[size - 1]$ 
10:  $n \leftarrow size - 1$ 
11: //Heapify again
12:  $k \leftarrow 0$ 
13:  $v \leftarrow A[0]$ 
14: heap  $\leftarrow$  false
15: while not heap and  $(2 * k + 1) \leq (n - 1)$  do
16:      $j \leftarrow 2 * k + 1$ 
17:     if  $j < (n-1)$  then //there are two children
18:         if  $A[j] < A[j+1]$  then //compare array element borrowings
19:              $j \leftarrow j+1$ 
20:         end if
21:     end if
22:     if  $v \geq A[j]$  then //compare array element borrowings
23:         heap  $\leftarrow$  true
24:     else
25:          $A[k] \leftarrow A[j]$ 
26:          $k \leftarrow j$ 
27:     end if
28: end while
29:  $A[k] \leftarrow v$ 

```

Algorithm Analysis

The ‘Display Top Three’ method is comprised of 4 different algorithms.

The first algorithm, **groupAndRemoveNull**, is a simple single for loop. In worst case the algorithm has to loop through and assign all elements to the list:

$$C_{(worst)}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2 = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1 = 2(n-1) = 2n-2$$

Big O classes ignore multiplicative constants as they don't effect the time efficiency of the algorithm in the big picture. Thus,

- Time efficiency - $O(n)$, linear
- Space efficiency - temporary storage is needed

The second algorithm, **heapSort**, calls the third algorithm (**heapBottomUp**) and the fourth (**maxKeyDelete**). **HeapSort** performs the main purpose of the ‘Display Top Three’ method. The **heapBottomUp** is called once and has a time efficiency of $O(n)$. The **maxKeyDelete** algorithm has a $O(\log n)$ efficiency. The **heapSort** calls **maxKeyDelete** n times (three in the case of this algorithm). Thus:

$$C_{(worst)}(n \log n) = \sum_{i=0}^k n = n * k = n * \log n$$

- Time efficiency - $O(n \log n)$
- Space efficiency - temporary storage is not needed
- Not stable - if someone borrows a tool so that it match's the number of borrows of the previous Top Borrowed, the new Tool will swap with the original at the top of the list.

Both **groupAndRemoveNull** and **heapSort** are separate algorithms within the ‘Display Top Three’ method. As such the overall efficiency of this algorithm is $O(n \log n)$ as it is set by the largest of the polynomial terms.