Midterm Project - Perfectly Imperfect Models

Stats 101C Lecture 3

Andy Shen, Ethan Allavarpu, Varan Nimar

Fall 2020

Introduction and Setup

The purpose of this analysis is to identify certain genes that play a role in cancer. We apply statistical learning techniques to a dataset of genes and a large number of mutation-related, genomic, phenotype, and epigenetic features; with the goal of identifying oncogenes (OGs), tumor suppressor genes (TSGs), and neutral genes (NGs), ultimately aiding future research into cancer prevention, diagnosis, and treatment.

Upon plotting each predictor against its respective gene class, we noticed that there existed outliers for many predictor variables in the dataset. Most plots saw clusters of points in certain locations without much variability, but there was always at least one stray point in many of the plots that stood out and did not fit the general trend of the plot. We decided to remove the top 50 observations containing the greatest number of outliers, as well as extreme points that clearly stood out as unusual when examining the scatterplots for each predictor. Because there were no unknown (`NA`) values in the dataset, we did not remove any observations on the basis of missing values. **Transformations???**

Once the data were cleaned and transformed, we selected our predictor variables of interest by first determining the significance of each predictor. We used an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) approach to determine if there existed a significant difference amongst the predictors. While a vast majority of the predictors were statistically significant, we still had a large number of predictors since the dataset had over 90. To further refine our predictors to the most important ones, we visualized the correlation amongst our subset of predictors to see which variables exhibited high correlation. After refining our predictors to those that were both highly significant and largely uncorrelated were we able to begin fitting our models.

Evaluation Metrics

We ended up utilizing the Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) technique to predict the type of gene based on the other observations and predictors. We prefer this method due to its relatively low flexibility compared to its quadratic counterpart, as well as its reasonable, but not exorbitantly high, test prediction rate. We used a weighted test prediction rate by placing extra emphasis on correctly identifying oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, genes that play the largest role in detecting cancer. We subsequently placed less weight on the neutral genes, since their relevance in cancer research was not high.

We use 5-fold cross-validation to validate each of our models. After testing various thresholds, predictor combinations, and training/test data sets, LDA proved to be the most consistent when it came to the weighted test error rate. Other techniques, such as Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA) and K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), saw test error rates that fluctuated when the training and test data were changed. Moreover, the sporadically low test error rates seen in QDA and KNN indicate overfitting of the data, while the sporadically high test error rates indicate a poor model fit. Both the consistency of the the LDA technique and the incon-

sistency of the more flexible techniques led us to conclude that the relationship of the data is likely a linear one.

Discuss graphics, parameter specifics

Appendix

Statement of Contributions

Go into more detail about LDA Centering/scaling Parameters Cross-validation test/train split Why LDA over the others?