

	<i>Panel A: Individual Covariate Models</i>			<i>Panel B: Individual Covariate and Fixed-Effects Models</i>		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)
	$R_{ur}^2 +$ ($R_{ur}^2 - R_r^2$)	$2 \cdot R_{ur}^2$	R^2 for $\beta_{ols} = \beta_{iv}$	$R_{ur}^2 +$ ($R_{ur}^2 - R_r^2$)	$2 \cdot R_{ur}^2$	R^2 for $\beta_{ols} = \beta_{iv}$
Probability of Election	1.05 (.23)	0.63 (.29)	- (.46)	1.69 (.96)	1.49 (1.00)	- (3.07)
Vote Share	0.68 (.64)	0.48 (.74)	- (.99)	2.05 (1.00)	2.05 (1.00)	- (3.01)
Vote Distance to Cutoff (City Councilor)	7.74 (.21)	6.05 (.23)	- (2.11)	20.51 (1.00)	20.51 (1.00)	- (24.86)
Vote Distance to Cutoff (Mayor)	2.64 (.23)	1.56 (.29)	- (.64)	1.21 (1.00)	1.21 (1.00)	- (1.51)

Note: In each panel, I compare the unrestricted coefficient for the model in the panel title ($\tilde{\beta}$) against the restricted coefficient for the bivariate model (β^0). The different outcomes are summarized across rows. Columns 1 and 2 in each panel display conditions for R_{\max} calculations in the row just above table content. The first value in each cell is the δ for each model. R^2 values are reported inside parentheses. While I cap R^2 at one for δ calculations, I do not cap it for calculations of the necessary R^2 to yield $\beta_{ols} = \beta_{iv}$; therefore, some nonsensical $R^2 > 1$ appear in column 3 in each panel.