

MACHINE LEARNING

ASSIGNMENT 3 REPORT

AASTHA 2019224

2 A) No, there should not be a non-negativity constraint on ε_i for L_2 norm soft margin SVM optimization. The ε_i term is squared and will always be positive. The presence/absence of this non-negativity constraint will not affect the optimum value.

If $\varepsilon_i < 0$, then $1 - \varepsilon_i \geq 1$

and hence $y^{(i)} (w^\top x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \varepsilon_i \geq 1$

Therefore $y^{(i)} (w^\top x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \varepsilon_i \geq 1$

$$y^{(i)} (w^\top x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i = 0$$

hence the constraint is valid even for

$\varepsilon_i = 0$ if $\varepsilon_i < 0$. The objective function

$$\min_{w, b, \varepsilon} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i^2$$

will have a lower value. Hence this cannot be optimum solution for the optimization problem.

\Rightarrow The non-negativity constraint is not required.



B) The Lagrangian of the above optimization problem is
 (Primal form, $\alpha_i \geq 0$)

$$L_p(w, b, \varepsilon, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} w^T w + \frac{C}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i^2$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i [y^{(i)} (w^T x^{(i)} + b) - 1 + \varepsilon_i]$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$

c) Conditions for optimality

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker Condition must be satisfied.

$$\alpha_i (y_i (x_i^T w + b) - 1 + \varepsilon_i) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L_p(w, b, \varepsilon, \alpha)}{\partial w} = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\frac{\partial L_p(w, b, \varepsilon, \alpha)}{\partial b} = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\frac{\partial L_p(w, b, \varepsilon, \alpha)}{\partial \varepsilon_i} = 0 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Finding the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial L_p(w, b, \varepsilon, \alpha)}{\partial w} = w - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \beta_i x_i \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$\frac{\partial L_p(w, b, \varepsilon, \alpha)}{\partial b} = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \alpha_i - ⑤$$

$$\frac{\partial L_p(w, b, \varepsilon, \alpha)}{\partial \varepsilon_i} = C \varepsilon_i - \alpha_i - ⑥$$

from ①, ②, ③ & ④, ⑤, ⑥ we get

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \beta_i x_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m y_i \alpha_i = 0$$

$$C \varepsilon_i = \alpha_i$$

substituting these in primal form.

we get the dual of the above optimization problem:

maximize

$$\Theta(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y^{(i)} y^{(j)} x^{(i)} x^{(j)}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i^2}{C}$$

subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y^{(i)} = 0, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, m$$

$$i=1, \dots, m$$



3 A) Using the hint provided

Let $\alpha_i = 1$ for all i and $b = 0$, we notice that for $y \in [-1, 1]$ prediction on $x^{(i)}$ will be correct if $|f(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}| < 1$. We need to find a value for τ that satisfies the inequality for all i .

$$|f(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j y^{(j)} K(x^{(j)}, x) + b - y^{(i)} \right|$$

$\alpha_i = 1$ and $b = 0$, hence

$$= \left| \sum_{j=1}^m y^{(j)} K(x^{(j)}, x) - y^{(i)} \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{j=1}^m y^{(j)} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x^{(j)} - x^{(i)}\|^2}{\tau^2}\right) - y^{(i)} \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{j \neq i}^m y^{(j)} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x^{(j)} - x^{(i)}\|^2}{\tau^2}\right) \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{j=i}^m y^{(j)} \exp(0) - y^{(i)} \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{j \neq i}^m y^{(j)} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x^{(j)} - x^{(i)}\|^2}{\tau^2}\right) + y^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{j \neq i}^m y^{(j)} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x^{(j)} - x^{(i)}\|^2}{\tau^2}\right) \right|$$

Taking mod inside the summation

$$|f(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |y^{(j)}| \exp\left(-\frac{\|x^{(j)} - x^{(i)}\|^2}{\tau^2}\right)$$

$$|y^{(i)}|=1 \quad (\text{triangle inequality})$$

$$= \sum_{j \neq i} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x^{(j)} - x^{(i)}\|^2}{\tau^2}\right)$$

It is given that $\|x^{(j)} - x^{(i)}\| \geq \varrho$
 for any $i \neq j$

$$\leq \sum_{j \neq i} \exp\left(-\frac{\varrho^2}{\tau^2}\right)$$

$$= (m-1) \exp\left(-\frac{\varrho^2}{\tau^2}\right)$$

We need to find a value of τ for
 which

$$|f(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}| < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (m-1) \exp\left(-\frac{\varrho^2}{\tau^2}\right) < 1$$

$$\log(f_{n-1}) \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{\tau^2}\right) < \log 1$$

$$\log(m-1) - \frac{q^2}{\tau^2} < 0$$

$$\log(m-1) < \frac{q^2}{\tau^2}$$

$$\tau^2 < \frac{q^2}{\log(m-1)}$$

$\tau = \frac{q^2}{2 \log(m-1)}$ satisfies this inequality.



3 b) Yes, we will obtain zero training error on resulting classifier.

If we find a solution for the SVM then it has zero training error.

The optimum values should satisfy the constraints and let $b=0$

$$y^{(i)} (w^T x^{(i)} + b)$$

$$= y^{(i)} f(x^{(i)})$$

This will be greater than 0, since the terms being multiplied have the same sign.

Choosing big values of x_i , $y^{(i)} f(x^{(i)})$ can be greater than 1.

Hence

$$y^{(i)} (w^T x^{(i)} + b) > 1$$

Therefore, we can obtain an optimum solution and zero training error.