

(69) Gapping construction

gapping-ph \rightarrow *coord-ph* &

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{HEAD } \boxed{H} \text{ } verbal \\ \text{CONXT} \mid \text{BACKGROUND } \{ \dots, \text{SYM-DISCOURSE-REL}(\boxed{M_1}, \dots, \boxed{M_j}, \boxed{M_{j+1}}, \dots, \boxed{M_n}), \dots \} \\ \text{DTRS } \langle \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{HEAD } \boxed{H} \text{ } \text{VERBAL} \\ \text{CLUSTER ELIST} \end{array} \right], \dots, \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{HEAD } \boxed{H} \text{ } \text{VERBAL} \\ \text{CLUSTER ELIST} \end{array} \right] \\ \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{CONTENT } \boxed{M_1} \end{array} \right], \dots, \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{CONTENT } \boxed{M_j} \end{array} \right] \\ \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{HEAD } \text{CLUSTER } \langle \boxed{1}, \dots, \boxed{N} \rangle \\ \text{SOURCE } \boxed{M_j} \\ \text{CONTENT } \boxed{M_{j+1}} \end{array} \right], \dots, \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{HEAD } \text{CLUSTER } \langle \boxed{1'}, \dots, \boxed{N'} \rangle \\ \text{SOURCE } \boxed{M_j} \\ \text{CONTENT } \boxed{M_n} \end{array} \right] \rangle \end{array} \right] \oplus$$

7 Summary

This chapter has reviewed three types of ellipsis, nonsentential utterances, predicate ellipsis, and nonconstituent coordination, corresponding to three kinds of analysis within HPSG. The pattern that emerges from this overview is that HPSG favors the ‘what you see is what get’ approach to ellipsis and limits a deletion-based approach, common in the minimalist literature on ellipsis, to a subset of nonconstituent coordination phenomena.

Abbreviations

NUs Nonsentential utterances

BAE Bare Argument Ellipsis

VPE Verb Phrase Ellipsis

NCA Null Complement Anaphora

SAL-UTT Salient Utterance

MAX-QUd Maximal-Question-under-Discussion

DGB Dialog Game Board

Acknowledgements

We thank the editors and Yusuke Kubota for helpful comments.

8 Handmade list of references: Fix!

- Abeillé, Anne, Gabriela Bîlbîie, and François Mouret. 2014. A Romance perspective on gapping constructions. In Hans Boas and F. González García, (eds.), *Romance Perspectives on Construction Grammar*, 227–267. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Abeillé, Anne, Berthold Crysmann, and Aoi Shiraishi. 2016. Syntactic mismatch in French peripheral ellipsis. In Christopher Piñ (ed.), *Empirical Issues in Syntax and Semantics 11*, 1–30. Paris: CSSP.
- Abeillé, Anne and Shrita Hassamal. 2017. Sluicing in Mauritian: a fragment analysis. Ms.
- Abels, Klaus. 2017. On the interaction of P-stranding and sluicing in Bulgarian. In Olav Mueller-Reichau and Marcel Guhl (eds.), *Aspects of Slavic linguistics: Formal grammar, lexicon and communication*, volume 16 of *Language, Context and Cognition*. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Aelbrecht, Lobke and William Harwood. 2015. To be or not to be elided: VP ellipsis revisited. *Lingua* 153:66–97.
- Arregui, Ana, Charles Clifton, Jr., Lyn Frazier, and Keir Moulton. 2006. Processing elided verb phrases with flawed antecedents: The recycling hypothesis. *Journal of Memory and Language* 55:232–246.
- Barton, Ellen. 1998. The grammar of telegraphic structures: Sentential and non-sentential derivation. *Journal of English Linguistics* 26:37–67.
- Beavers, John and Ivan A. Sag. 2004. Coordinate ellipsis and apparent non-constituent coordination. In Stefan Müller, (ed.), *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar, HPSG Online Proceedings*, 48–69. Stanford: CSLI Publications.
- Beecher, Henry. 2008. Pragmatic inference in the interpretation of sluiced prepositional phrases. *San Diego Linguistics Papers* 3. <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/2>
- Bresnan, Joan (ed.). 1982. *The Mental Representation of Grammatical Relations*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Chaves, Rui P. 2008. Linearization-based word-part ellipsis. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 3(31):261–307.

- Chaves, Rui P. 2009. A linearization-based approach to gapping. In James Rogers, (ed.), *Proceedings of FG-MoL 2005*, 205–218. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- Chaves, Rui P. 2014. On the disunity of right-node raising phenomena. *Language* 90:834–886.
- Chung, Sandra, William A. Ladusaw and James McCloskey. 1995. Sluicing and logical form. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 3:239–282.
- Crysmann, Berthold. 2008. An asymmetric theory of peripheral sharing in HPSG: Conjunction reduction and coordination of unlikes. In Gerald Penn, (ed.), *Proceedings of FGVienna: The 8th Conference on Formal Grammar*, 45–64. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- Culicover, Peter W. and Ray S. Jackendoff. 2005. *Simpler Syntax*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fernández, Raquel. 2006. *Non-Sentential Utterances in Dialogue: Classification, Resolution and Use*. Ph.D. thesis, King’s College, London.
- Fernández, Raquel and Jonathan Ginzburg. 2002. Non-sentential utterances: A corpus study. *Traitement automatique des langues Dialogue* 43(2):13–42.
- Fernández, Raquel, Jonathan Ginzburg, and Shalom Lappin. 2007. Classifying ellipsis in dialogue: A machine learning approach. *Computational Linguistics* 33(3):397–427.
- Fortin, Catherine. 2007. *Indonesian sluicing and verb phrase ellipsis: Description and explanation in a minimalist framework*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.
- Ginzburg, Jonathan. 1994. An update semantics for dialogue. In H. Bunt, (ed.), *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Computational Semantics*. Tilburg: ITK, Tilburg University.
- Ginzburg, Jonathan. 2012. *The Interactive Stance: Meaning for Conversation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ginzburg, Jonathan. 2013. *Rethinking deep and surface: towards a comprehensive model of anaphoric processes in dialogue*.

- Ginzburg, Jonathan and Robin Cooper. 2004. Clarification, ellipsis, and the nature of contextual updates. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 27(3):297–66.
- Ginzburg, Jonathan and Robin Cooper. 2014. Quotation via dialogical interaction. *Journal of Logic, Language, and Information* 23(3):287–11.
- Ginzburg, Jonathan and Raquel Fernández. 2010. Computational models of dialogue. In Alex Clark, Chris Fox, and Shalom Lappin, (eds.), *Handbook of Computational Linguistics and Natural Language*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Ginzburg, Jonathan, Raquel Fernández, and David Schlangen. 2014. Disfluencies as intra-utterance dialogue moves. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 7(9):174.
- Ginzburg, Jonathan and Ivan A. Sag. 2000. *Interrogative Investigations: the form, meaning and use of English Interrogatives*. Number 123 in CSLI Lecture Notes. Stanford: California: CSLI Publications.
- Ginzburg, Jonathan and Philip Miller. 2018. Ellipsis in Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar. In Jeroen van Creanen and Tanja Temmerman (eds.), *The Oxford handbook of ellipsis*. Oxford: OUP.
- Grant, Margaret, Charles Clifton, and Lyn Frazier. 2012. The role of non-actuality implicatures in processing elided constituents. *Journal of Memory and Language* 66:326–343.
- Griffiths, James, and Anikó Lipták. 2014. Contrast and island sensitivity in clausal ellipsis. *Syntax* 17:189–234.
- Hankamer, Jorge and Ivan A. Sag. 1976. Deep and Surface Anaphora. *Linguistic Inquiry* 7(3):391–428.
- Hardt, Daniel. 1993. *Verb Phrase Ellipsis: Form, Meaning, and Processing*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania. Distributed as IRCS Report 93–23.
- Jacobson, Pauline. 2016. The short answer: Implications for direct compositionality (and vice versa). *Language* 92(2):331–375.
- Kehler, Andrew. 2002. *Coherence, Reference, and the Theory of Grammar*. Stanford: CSLI Publications.
- Kertz, Laura. 2013. Verb phrase ellipsis: The view from information structure. *Language* 89:390–428.