

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Stephen Wechsler

The University of Texas

Grammatical agreement is modeled in HPSG using reentrancies, allowing for straightforward, explanatory accounts of locality conditions, variation in features across different agreement configurations, agreement mismatches, and agreement with coordinate phrases.

### 1 Introduction

This section defines agreement (as systematic grammatical covariation), sets out the range of common agreement features (person, number, gender, case, and a few others), reviews agreement targets and controllers, and lists some problems raised by agreement, including: locality in agreement; semantic versus grammatical agreement; agreement mismatches; markedness.

### 2 Agreement as unification

Here I explain how agreement is modeled as unification in a constraint-based grammar and review the arguments favoring such an analysis over the treatment of agreement as the movement of features or other operations as in transformational approaches.

### 3 Locality in agreement

In HPSG, agreement piggy-backs on the process of valence saturation. This simple assumption is sufficient to explain the broad patterning of distribution of



agreement, in contrast to the transformational approach where complex locality conditions must be stipulated. We also review an HPSG analysis of putative ‘long-distance agreement’ in Passamaquoddy.

## **4 Varieties of agreement: CONCORD and INDEX**

HPSG analyses are presented for different types of agreement: predicate-argument agreement, nominal-internal concord, pronoun-antecedent matching. The distinction between concord and index agreement is introduced and motivated.

## **5 Agreement and coordination**

This section looks at agreement with coordinate phrases, especially where there conjuncts differ in their agreement features.

## **6 Conclusion**

In conclusion, we are right and they are wrong.

## **Abbreviations**

## **Acknowledgements**