

Rubric for Project Final Deliverables

	Very good = 10	Good = 8	Fair = 5	Poor = 2
Logical Design (ERD) (1x weight)	The logical design is robust and fits the scope. There are few, if any, small issues.	The logical design is good in general, but there are several small issues, or only one big issue.	The logical design is fair, and there are multiple big issues, or one big and some small issues.	The logical design is poor. There are numerous issues, big and small.
Physical Design (Physical Database) (1x weight)	The physical database is robust. There are few, if any, small issues.	The physical database is good in general, but there are several small issues, or only one big issue.	The physical database is fair, and there are multiple big issues, or one big and some small issues.	The physical database is poor. There are numerous issues, big and small.
Sample Data (2x weight)	The sample data is realistic with no issues.	The sample data is realistic in general, but there are a few issues.	The sample data is not very realistic in general, or there are multiple issues.	The sample data is not realistic at all, or there are numerous issues.
Queries/Views (CRUD) (2x weight)	Sample queries are saved as views, meet the criteria perfectly, are reasonably interesting and challenging.	Sample queries are saved as views, meet most of the criteria, are somewhat interesting and challenging.	Sample queries are not saved as views, or meet some of the criteria, or are not very interesting and challenging.	Sample queries are not saved as views, or meet few of the criteria, or are not interesting and challenging at all.
Written Report (Content /Language / Style / Format) (1x weight)	Very well thought out, comprehensive, clear and detailed, including lessons learned. Language, style, and format are very good.	Somewhat well thought out, comprehensive, clear and detailed, including some lessons learned. Language, style, and format are good.	Not very well thought out, comprehensive, clear and detailed, not including many lessons learned. Language, style, and format are fair.	Not at all well thought out, comprehensive, clear and detailed, not including any lessons learned. Language, style, and format are poor.
Team's Critical Evaluation of Own Work in Report (Strengths / Limitations / Future Extensions / etc.) (2x weight)	Very well thought out, comprehensive, and covers all strengths and limitations of design, as well as future extensions.	Somewhat well thought out, comprehensive, and covers most strengths and limitations of design, as well as future extensions.	Not very well thought out, comprehensive, or does not cover all strengths and limitations of design, as well as future extensions.	Not at all well thought out, comprehensive, or does not cover all strengths and limitations of design, as well as future extensions.
Submission Logistics (Compliance with submission instructions) (1x weight)	Submission in compliance with all instructions, including naming conventions, file types, deliverables to include, etc.	Submission in compliance with most instructions, including naming conventions, file types, deliverables to include, etc.	Submission in compliance with some instructions, including naming conventions, file types, deliverables to include, etc.	Submission in compliance with few instructions, including naming conventions, file types, deliverables to include, etc.