



## Root Cause Analysis

### Problem Statement

Unauthorized authentication attempts were detected against SSH in the environment.

### 5 Whys

#### Why 1 — Why did brute-force occur?

Because SSH was reachable and allowed repeated attempts without blocking.

#### Why 2 — Why was SSH reachable?

Because the service was exposed on the network without access control restrictions.

#### Why 3 — Why were there no restrictions (firewall/rate-limits)?

Because a security hardening baseline was not enforced in the lab build.

#### Why 4 — Why was a baseline not enforced?

Because deployment did not include a checklist requiring minimum controls (firewall rules, SSH hardening).

#### Why 5 — Why was there no checklist/process?

Because security configuration governance (standards and validation) was not part of the setup process.

### Root Cause (final)

Lack of a hardened baseline and access governance for exposed services enabled repeated authentication attempts.

## Fishbone Analysis

### People

No defined “minimum secure config” checklist was applied during setup.

### Process

No exposure review step (which ports should be reachable? from where?).



## **Technology**

- SSH allowed repeated authentication failures without rate limiting.
- No allowlist-based access control.

## **Environment**

Flat lab networks make discovery and targeting easy.

## **Monitoring/Response**

Monitoring existed (Elastic), but prevention controls were not pre-applied.

## **Corrective Actions**

- Apply firewall default-deny and allow only necessary ports
- Restrict SSH to known admin IPs
- Add rate limiting / lockout policies
- Run periodic vulnerability and configuration checks