

## Assignment 21: Cut Nodes Due in class Monday, 3/11

March 7, 2019 CS: DS&A PROOF SCHOOL

Let G be a connected graph. Recall that a vertex v is called a **cut node** if removing v and its edges results in a disconnected graph. Below, we describe an algorithm that finds all the cut nodes in linear  $(\Theta(|V| + |E|))$  time. Your job is to (1) fill in the blanks in the algorithm, and (2) prove that the algorithm works.

**The Algorithm.** The basic idea is to do a DFS, but maintain an additional function which we'll call L(v). By the time the algorithm ends, L(v) will be the start time of the earliest-discovered node which is reachable from v via DFS-tree edges and at most one back edge. (Read through that once or twice!)

Here is the actual algorithm. (Note: Given a node a,  $a_s$  and  $a_f$  refer to the start and end times of a under DFS.)

Run DFS. Define L(v) as follows:

- When a node v is discovered, set  $L(v) = v_s$ .
- When a back edge from v to w is discovered while processing v's neighbors, change L(v) to \_\_\_\_\_, if \_\_\_\_ is less than the current value of L(v). (Same thing goes in both  $\overline{\text{blanks.}}$ )
- When v finishes, change L(v) to  $M = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ , if M is less than the current value of L(v).
- When v finishes, determine whether v is a cut-node as follows:
  - If v is the start node, it is a cut node iff v has more than one child in the DFS tree.
  - If V is not the start node, it is a cut node iff \_\_\_\_\_\_.

The Verification. Here is some structure to help you along. In your proof, feel free to use the fact established in class that if there is a back edge from a to b, then a is an ancestor or a descendant of b in the DFS-tree. (From now on, "child", "ancestor", and "descendant" will always mean with respect to the DFS-tree.) The blanks here are symbolic; a 3-cm blank might take a whole paragraph to fill out!

First, suppose that $v$ is the start node. If $v$ has two children $a$ and $b$ , then when we remove $v$ they cannot be connected, because:  On the other hand, if $v$ has one child $a$ , when we remove $v$ , $a$ can be connected to any other node $b$ because
Now for the main argument. Suppose that $v$ is not the start node.
If $v$ satisfies the condition you wrote above, then it must be a cut node. Indeed, when we remove $v$ , I claim $v$ has a child that cannot cannot be connected to the root $R$ . This is because
Conversely, suppose that your condition fails. Let $a$ and $b$ be any two nodes in the graph that are not $v$ . I claim that $a$ and $b$ can still be connected when we remove $v$ . Here's why: