Duke Microbiome Immunology Cancer (MIC) Course

Notes on Differential Expression Analysis using Seurat

Biostatistics and Bioinformatics



Summer 2022





Section 1

Introduction

DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION ANALYSIS IN SEURAT

- ► Today's tutorial will cover differential expression analysis using the Seurat FindMarkers()
- ► Its test.use argument allows for specification of different types of statistics
- ► The default is the Wilcoxon statistic (test.use="wilcox"
- ► The observed data from both bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq data are counts (not expression)
- ► We will provide a general overview of distributions for count data
- ► Caveat Emptor: Most if not all of these approaches suffer from a major flaw in that the clusters, aside from being artificial, were constructed using the same genes used for DA

Section 2

The two-sample Wilcoxon test

SIMULATING TYPE I ERROR OF THE TWO-SAMPLE T-TEST

Consider the function from Week 1 simulating the rejection probability when both samples are drawn from normal distribution assuming that they share the same standard deviation:

```
simttest1 <- function(n1, n2, mean1, mean2, stdev1, stdev2, alpha) {
    ## Sxmulate n1 observations from group 1 N(mu1,stdev1)
    y1 <- rnorm(n1, mean1, stdev1)
    ## Sxmulate n2 observations from group 2 N(mu2,stdev2)
    y2 <- rnorm(n2, mean2, stdev2)
    ## Perform two-sample unpaired t-test_assuming_equal variance
    testresult <- t.test(y1, y2, paired = FALSE, var.equal = TRUE)
    ## Get P-value of test
    pvalue <- testresult$p.value
    ## Apply decision rule: Reject if pvalue < alpha
    reject <- ifelse(pvalue < alpha, TRUE, FALSE)
    ## Return decision
    return(reject)
}</pre>
```

SIMULATION EXAMPLE: TYPE I ERROR

Why are the empirical type I error rates above the nominal level in the following examples?

```
set.seed(51621)
B <- 10000L
mean(replicate(B, simttest1(3, 3, 1, 1, 0.5, 1, 0.05)))
## [1] 0.065</pre>
```

```
mean(replicate(B, simttest1(3, 3, 1, 1, 0.5, 2, 0.05)))
## [1] 0.0841
```

Answer: The version of the t-test used is not robust against heteroskedasticity (*i.e.*, when the standard deviation differs between the two groups)

ROBUSTIFYING TWO-SAMPLE TESTING

- ► The two-sample t-test used in this example is not robust against heteroskedasticity and deviations from normality
- ► It is also not robust against outliers
- ► Question: Why does the undergraduate geography major at UNC Chapel Hill enjoy a historically high mean salary
- ► A robust alternative of the mean (the average value) is the median (the middle value)
- ► The two-sample Wilcoxon test uses the ranks (rather than values) of the data
- ► Ranks are robust against outliers

Mean versus Median

```
set.seed(312569)
x <- rnorm(19)
round(sort(x), 2)

## [1] -2.54 -1.42 -0.99 -0.53 -0.25 -0.04 0.09 0.09 0.12 0.13 0.16
## [12] 0.21 0.36 0.42 0.75 0.75 1.15 1.80 2.05</pre>
```

Replace the second observation by a large number

```
set.seed(123129)
xcorrupt <- x
xcorrupt[2] <- 101.21
round(sort(xcorrupt), 2)

## [1] -2.54 -1.42 -0.99 -0.53 -0.25 -0.04 0.09 0.12 0.13 0.16
## [11] 0.21 0.36 0.42 0.75 0.75 1.15 1.80 2.05 101.21</pre>
```

Compare mean and median of data without outlier

```
data.frame(mean = mean(x), median = median(x))
### mean median
## 1 0.1208582 0.1282803
```

Compare mean and median of corrupted data

```
data.frame(mean = mean(xcorrupt), median = median(xcorrupt))
## mean median
## 1 5.443053 0.1570972
```

WILCOXON TEST

```
set.seed(123710)
x <- c(rnorm(5, 0, 1), rnorm(5, 10, 1))
grp <- rep(1:2, each = 5)
rnk <- rank(x)
data.frame(x, rnk, grp) %>%
kbl()
```

_	X	rnk	grp
	0.0467444	5	1
	-1.1233349	1	1
_	-0.5394873	4	1
	-1.0626156	2	1
	-0.9470008	3	1
_	11.6006224	10	2
	9.2314776	7	2
	9.6009881	8	2
	9.2161833	6	2
	11.3689849	9	2

- ► The sum of the ranks of the observations in group 1 is 15
- ▶ The sum of the ranks of the observations in group 2 is 40
- ► Why are more highly ranked observations in group 2?
- ► How would you expect that these sums would compare under the null hypothesis?
- ► The Wilcoxon test is based on the sum of the ranks that belong to group 1 (or equivalently to group 2)

Well known fact

- ► There is a price to be paid for using the Wilcoxon test over the t-test if there no assumptional deviations
- ► It is well known that the Wilcoxon test is 95% (actually $\frac{3}{\pi}$) "efficient"
- ▶ Without getting to technical: there is 5% "loss" for using the Wilcoxon test if the use of the two-sample t-test is fully justified

Section 3

Distributions for Count Data

Two Approaches for Analysis of RNA-Seq

- ► Two-stage method: Convert counts to "Expression" and then use statistical methods for microarrays (e.g., t-test, Wilcoxon)
- ► One-stage method: Relate the counts directly to the phenotype
- ► This is done through using statistical methods for modeling counts
- ► DESeq2 is widely used package for modelling count data from bulk RNA-Seq
- ► Seurat offers DESeq2 (although not recommended) and negative binomial for modelling count data from single-cell RNA-Seq

Some challenges with Count Data

- ► Counts are not directly comparable
- ► In RNA-Seq studies the count is among other things dependent on depth
- ► Count data are over-dispersed
- ► The actual variance of the data is larger than the one postulated by the model
- ► For many common count distributions, the mean and variance are entangled (cannot be modelled independently)

THREE DISTRIBUTIONS FOR COUNT DATA

- ► RNA-Seq data are counts (not continuous measurements)
- ► To properly model RNA-Seg data, we need to consider distributions to model counts
- ▶ We will consider three important distributions for counts:
 - ► Binomial
 - ► Poisson
 - ► Negative Binomial
- ightharpoonup There are many other distributions for counts (e.g., geometric distribution) that will not be discussed

FLIPPING THE COIN

- ► Throughout this discussing we will consider flipping a coin
- ► The coin lands a head with probability π (could be biased) or tail with probability 1π
- ► For convenience, we will recode H as 1 and T as 0
- \blacktriangleright We will flip it n times.
- ► Notation:
 - ightharpoonup n is to denote the number of *trials*
 - ► On any trial (or flip), if we land an H we will call it an event (or success)
 - ▶ or if we land a T we will call it a failure
- ► RNA-seq connection: You can think of a read mapping to a gene to be an event

THREE VARIANTS OF THE COIN TOSSING EXPERIMENT

- 1. Fix the number of trials (n) upfront and then toss the coin n times
 - ightharpoonup The number of events (among n trials) is random
- 2. Toss the coin a large number of times and assume that each one of these many trials has a small probability of being an event
 - Here n is large and π is small (close to 0)
- 3. Fix the number of desired events upfront, then toss the coin repeatedly to achieve that number
 - \blacktriangleright Here the number of trials n is random

BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION

► The distribution is

$$P[K = k] = \binom{n}{k} \pi^k (1 - \pi)^{n-k},$$

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$$

- ► The average count for this distribution is $n\pi$
- ▶ The variance for this distribution is $n\pi(1-\pi)$
- ▶ A famous example: the distribution of number of copies of the variant allele under Hardy-Weinberg Equilibirum

Poisson Distribution

- ► The Poisson distribution is used to model the count of the occurrence of rare events
- ► Classical application: Model for earthquakes
- ► The PMF is

$$P(K = k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!},$$

where k = 0, 1, 2, ...

- \triangleright λ is the average number of events for this distribution
- \triangleright λ is also the variance of this distribution

NEGATIVE BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION

- ▶ How many times do you have to flip a coin to get r > 0 events
- \blacktriangleright Model the number of random trials needed to get r events
- ► This distribution is called the negative binomial distribution
- ► The probability distribution is

$$P[K = k] = {\binom{k+r-1}{r-1}} \pi^r (1-\pi)^k,$$

where k = r, r + 1, r + 2, ...

MEAN AND VARIANCE OF NEGATIVE BINOMIAL

- ► A negative binomial distribution can be parameterized in terms of
 - ightharpoonup r and p
 - ightharpoonup or μ and σ^2
 - \blacktriangleright or μ and a dispersion parameter α (more on this later)
- ► The relationship between these two parametrizations is given by

$$\mu = r \frac{1-p}{p} \text{ and } \sigma^2 = r \frac{1-p}{p^2},$$

and

$$p = \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}$$
 and $r = \frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^2 - \mu}$

- ▶ If you provide r and p, you can calculate μ and σ^2
- \blacktriangleright Or, if you provide μ and σ^2 , you can recover r and p.

Negative Binomial PMF in terms of μ and α

▶ The NB PMF parametrized in terms of p and r (the number of events) is

$$P[K = k] = {\binom{k+r-1}{r-1}} \pi^r (1-\pi)^k,$$

where k = r, r + 1, r + 2, ...

▶ The NB PMF parametrized in terms of the mean μ and the dispersion parameter α is

$$P[K=k] = \frac{\Gamma[k+\alpha^{-1}]}{\Gamma[\alpha^{-1}]\Gamma[k+1]} \left(\frac{1}{1+\mu\alpha}\right)^{\alpha^{-1}} \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha^{-1}+\mu}\right)^k,$$

where k = 0, 1, ...

- ► The variance is $\mu(1 + \alpha \mu)$
- ightharpoonup As α shrinks to 0 (no-dispersion), the distribution becomes Poisson

NEGATIVE BINOMIAL PMF FOR RNA-SEQ

► We will use the mean/dispersion parameter representation for RNA-Seq

$$P[K=k] = \frac{\Gamma[k+\alpha^{-1}]}{\Gamma[\alpha^{-1}]\Gamma[k+1]} \left(\frac{1}{1+\mu\alpha}\right)^{\alpha^{-1}} \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha^{-1}+\mu}\right)^k,$$

where k = 0, 1, ...

- ► The variance is $\mu(1 + \alpha \mu)$
- ► IMPORTANT:
 - If $\alpha > 0$, then the variance is greater than the mean. Why?
 - ightharpoonup As α shrinks to 0 (no-dispersion), the distribution becomes Poisson
- ► More on over-dispersion later

MEANS AND VARIANCES

Distribution	Support	Mean	Variance
Bernoulli (π)	0,1	π	$\pi(1-\pi)$
Binomial (n,π)	$0,1,\ldots,n$	$n\pi$	$n\pi(1-\pi)$
$Poisson(\lambda)$	$0,1,2,\ldots,$	λ	λ
NB(p,r)	$r, r+1, r+2, \ldots,$	$r^{\frac{1-p}{p}}$	$r^{\frac{1-p}{p^2}}$
$NB(\mu, \alpha)$	$0,1,\ldots,$	μ	$\mu(1+\alpha\mu)$

NEGATIVE BINOMIAL VS BINOMIAL OR POISSON

- \blacktriangleright The Binomial distribution has one parameter π
- ▶ The Poisson distribution has one parameter λ
- ▶ The Negative Binomial has two parameters μ and α
- ► Advantage: Having two parameters, gives NB more flexibility
- ► Disadvantage: The negative binomial distribution poses a more challenging numerical optimization problem