Dynar	mic Prop	hommin					
		-	milation o	o problem	(- 4 Co	unt # d	o distinct
SKP?	- Cre	out a x	alse of	१५६१व ८१३	en -p	fill for	Qu not lock
			es todes				
	•	T I					37
6.9-0	RNA See			>	b , b ₂	, b _n .	, b.
	RNA • What you're give	Secondary St	ructure				-
	, .	$B=b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_n$ (a sec	quence of <i>bases</i>)				6 p11p42
	• The rules:	of <i>pairings</i> ; $[n] = \{$		2. pu	Coringer	(Men	ing just by 1
	 No base is in m 	n $\{b_i, b_j\}$ is either $\{A, U_i\}$ ore than one pair. n $i < i - 4$. (the "n	$\{a \in \{C, G\}.$ o sharp turns" condition	_	-5 ///	101	
	(. , , ,	S then we cannot have					
				P1 125			1 bn-31 bn
OPT	(is) =	e asm	4 By Horse	Serin Marie	~ 6	histine + due 7	b no consid
			ام ام			0	
790	- (cici)	= max	Cost Cia	5-13, H	Max i < K < j	-u {ap+(i, k	-1) + opt (x-1);-1)
					SO OK, b	â	
base	(476 Q	Pr Cisis	=0 %	J≤1+4	contrapo	2	
Comple	e pro	die #	Diagram —	0 (N2)			
	7	the	bre rec -	20(U)	ish for	we was	0
3	> 0	(n3)					
Mustinlo.	Mario	HaM		٢٦		<u> </u>	
			69	1	, L	3 '	<u> </u>
	ultiple Matrix nultiplication is <i>as</i>						-/
commut		sociative but not		(L 1
$-AB \neq 1$	BA (not necessarily	equal) n matrices is $\underline{O(m)}$	np). (AB)	1 -6	n2 71	w n3	→ M.°)
		The state of the s					
	matrices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n	here n_1,\ldots,n_{k+1} are i	A (B	()	h2 11	w NF -	-00 (NZ)
– Compu		sequence of matrix m	ults Strut	- Pick			1 1
~ bose	OPT (i,	() = 0	_ '	. AR) (A	_	A) Nim	This
DRT (=	win t	(OST (1)	770 + C	K+1))	1+1:1	NIVITI MAGA

Optimal Binary Search Trees

- Input: Given sequence $(k_j)_{1 \le j \le n}$
 - Keys in sorted order: $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n$
 - $-p_i$ = probability of searching for key k_i

 - $-q_j$ = probability of searching for d_j (searching in (k_j,k_{j+1})) $-\Sigma_i \ p_j \ +\Sigma_i \ q_i = 1.$
- Problem: design an *optimal binary search* tree that minimizes expected search time
 - $-\operatorname{depth}_{T}(k_{i}) = \operatorname{depth} \operatorname{of} k_{i} \operatorname{in} \operatorname{tree} T$
 - Search time for $k_i = \operatorname{depth}_T(k_i) + 1$
 - Expected search time in T is...?

Example with 4 keys

$$\begin{array}{lll} \bullet & \pmb{p_1} = 0.2 & \pmb{q_0} = 0.3 \\ \bullet & \pmb{p_2} = 0.1 & \pmb{q_1} = 0.1 \\ \bullet & \pmb{p_3} = 0.05 & \pmb{q_2} = 0.1 \\ \bullet & \pmb{p_4} = 0.05 & \pmb{q_3} = 0.05 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} E[\text{search time in } T] \\ = & \Sigma_j \; p_j \; (\text{depth}_T(k_j) + 1) \\ + & \Sigma_j \; q_j \; (\text{depth}_T(d_j) + 1) \end{array}$$



 $q_4 = 0.05$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{E} \, [\mathsf{search} \, \mathsf{time}] \, = \, 1 \cdot p_3 \, + \, 2 \cdot (p_1 + p_4) \, + \, 3 \cdot (q_0 + p_2 + q_3 + q_4) \, + \, 4 \cdot (q_1 + q_2) \\ = \, 0.05 \, + \, 2 \cdot (0.25) \, + \, 3 \cdot (0.5) \, + \, 4 \cdot (0.2) \\ = \, 2.85 \end{array}$

- w(i,j): the total probability mass in a subtree containing k_i,\ldots,k_j , i.e., $q_{i-1}+p_i+\cdots+p_j+q_j$. $-w(i,i-1)=q_{i-1}$.
- $-w(i,j) = w(i,j-1) + p_j + q_j.$ e(i,j): expected number of nodes $\{k_i, \dots, k_j\}$ touched in a search, if $\{k_i, \dots, k_j\}$ are arranged

optimally in a subtree.

 $-e(i,i-1) = w(i,i-1) = q_{i-1}.$ $-e(i,j) = \min_{i \le r \le j} \{ e(i,r-1) + e(r+1,j) + w(i,j) \}$

 $-\operatorname{root}(i,j) = \operatorname{the} "r" \operatorname{minimizing} \operatorname{the} \operatorname{eqn.} \operatorname{above}.$

In this case. Even subject is optimal if the entire there is optimal!

