

Below is a complete Q&A Viva Guide for your ATSA Project – FINAL All answers are in **short, clear point format** Each answer includes **relevant block number** for quick reference Covers **why this method, why not others, what it means, business impact**

Block 1: Data Loading & Preprocessing

Q1: Why use `pd.read_csv()` and `pd.to_datetime()`? Why not `csv` module or `datetime.strptime`?

- **Block 1**
- `pd.read_csv()`: Fast, handles headers, types, large files
- `pd.to_datetime(format='%d-%m-%Y %H:%M')`: Parses DD-MM-YYYY HH:MM correctly in one line
- `csv` module + `strptime`: Manual, slow, error-prone for 10k+ rows
- Pandas: Built for data science → faster, safer, standard

Q2: Why `set_index('datetime')` and `sort_index()`?

- **Block 1**
- Sets time as index → enables `resample()`, slicing, plotting by date
- `sort_index()`: Ensures chronological order (critical for time series)
- Without: Resampling fails, ACF/PACF wrong
- No alternative: Required for all time-based ops

Q3: Why `resample('D').sum().fillna(0)`? Why not `mean` or `drop`?

- **Block 1**
- `'D'.sum()`: Converts hourly rides → daily total demand
- `fillna(0)`: Missing day = 0 rides (realistic for low-activity)
- Mean: Adds fake data; Drop: Breaks time continuity
- Business: OLA needs full calendar for planning → 0 is safe

Block 2: Time Series Visualization

Q4: Why show full + weekly subplots? Why not just full plot?

- **Block 2**
- Full plot: Shows stability, no trend
- Weekly subplots: Reveals Mon–Fri high, Sat–Sun low
- Single plot hides day-of-week pattern
- Subplots: Clear, comparable, stakeholder-friendly

Q5: Why limit to first 4 weeks? Why not all 50+ weeks?

- **Block 2**
- 50+ subplots → unreadable, slow
- First 4 weeks: Show typical pattern (repeats)
- Code flexible: `n_weeks_to_show` can be changed
- Interactive Plotly suggested for all weeks

Q6: Why label days as Mon, Tue... instead of dates?

- **Block 2**
- Makes weekday vs weekend effect **instantly visible**
- Dates require mental calculation
- Business insight: “Weekends drop 30%” → surge pricing logic

Block 3–4: Stationarity Tests (ADF & KPSS)

Q7: Why use both ADF and KPSS? Why not just ADF?

- **Block 3 & 4**
- ADF: Null = non-stationary → $p < 0.05$ → **reject** → stationary
- KPSS: Null = stationary → $p > 0.05$ → **fail to reject** → stationary
- Both agree → **strong evidence**
- One test risks false conclusion

Q8: Result: ADF $p \approx 0.000$, KPSS $p \approx 0.10$ → What does it mean?

- **Block 4**
- Series is **stationary** (no trend, no unit root)
- No differencing needed → $d=0$ in SARIMAX
- If non-stationary → use $d=1$ or $D=1$

Q9: Why `autolag='AIC'` and `nlags='auto'`?

- **Block 3**
 - Auto-selects best lag count → avoids bias
 - Manual lag: Subjective, can distort p-value
 - AIC/KPSS auto: Standard, reliable, data-driven
-

Block 5: Data Smoothing

Q10: Why 7-day SMA, 3-day WMA, SES($\alpha=0.5$)?

- **Block 5**
- **7-day SMA:** Removes weekly noise, shows cycle
- **3-day WMA:** Recent days weighted more → faster response
- **SES $\alpha=0.5$:** Balances old/new → smooth but adaptive
- Different windows → compare speed vs smoothness

Q11: Why overlay all in one plot?

- **Block 5**
 - Direct visual comparison
 - Shows: SMA lags, WMA/SES react faster
 - Clear insight: Weekly pattern survives all smoothing
-

Block 6: ACF & PACF

Q12: Why `lags=40`? Why spikes at 7, 14, 21?

- **Block 6**

- 40 lags = ~6 weeks → covers weekly + monthly
- Spikes at 7,14,21 → **weekly seasonality confirmed**
- Guides: Use $m=7$ in SARIMAX

Q13: PACF spike at lag 1 → What to do?

- **Block 6**
 - Suggests **AR(1)** term → use $p=1$
 - No long memory → no high p
-

Block 7–8: Train-Test & Baselines

Q14: Why 80/20 time-based split? Why not random split?

- **Block 7**
- Time series: Future predicts future → **no random split**
- Last 20% as test → real-world forecast
- Random split → data leakage → false accuracy

Q15: Why 4 baselines? Why Seasonal-Naive best?

- **Block 7 & 8**
- Mean, Naive, Drift: Simple benchmarks
- **Seasonal-Naive**: Uses last week's same day → captures weekly cycle
- Best among baselines → proves seasonality dominates

Q16: Why MAE, RMSE, MAPE?

- **Block 8**
 - **MAE**: Avg error in rides (easy to explain)
 - **RMSE**: Punishes big mistakes (ops risk)
 - **MAPE**: % error (scale-free)
 - All standard → no need for MASE/RMSE-log
-

Block 9: SARIMAX Modeling

Q17: Why SARIMAX not ARIMA?

- **Block 9**
- ARIMA: No seasonality → flat forecast (you saw!)
- SARIMAX: Adds **weekly season (m=7)** + **exog (weather)**
- Fixes flat line → follows actual ups/downs

Q18: Why order=(1,0,1) and seasonal=(1,1,1,7)?

- **Block 9**
- (1,0,1): From ACF/PACF (lag 1 spike, short memory)
- (1,1,1,7): Weekly pattern, D=1 removes seasonal trend
- enforce_stationarity=False: Allows convergence

Q19: Weather coeffs $p > 0.6$ → Why include?

- **Block 9**
- Included to **test** impact → result: **not significant**
- Insight: Weather doesn't drive demand here
- Future: Replace with **holidays, events**

Q20: Why confidence bands?

- **Block 9**
- Shows **forecast uncertainty**
- Widens over time → don't trust day 30 as much as day 1
- Builds trust with stakeholders

Block 10: Final Insights

Q21: Why say “focus on calendar effects”?

- **Block 10**
- Weather: $p > 0.05$ → no effect

- Weekly pattern: Strong → calendar (holidays, paydays) likely drivers
- Next step: Add binary holiday flag → better accuracy

Q22: Why retrain weekly?

- **Block 10**
 - Demand changes: New promos, events, seasons
 - Weekly: Captures recent shifts
 - Monthly: Too slow; Daily: Overkill
-

Model Choice: SARIMAX vs Holt-Winters

Q23: MAE: SARIMAX=230.2, Holt-Winters=229.9 → Which is better?

- **Block 9 + Comparison**
 - **Holt-Winters wins by 0.3 → negligible**
 - Holt-Winters: Simpler, pure seasonality
 - SARIMAX: Flexible for future (add holidays)
 - **Use Holt-Winters now, SARIMAX later with events**
-

General Project Questions

Q24: Why not XGBoost or LSTM?

- **All Blocks**
- Only ~450 days → too small for ML
- Needs lag/rolling features → complex
- SARIMAX/Holt-Winters: Interpretable, proven, faster
- ML: Overkill, risk of overfitting

Q25: Business value for OLA?

- **Block 10**
- Accurate daily forecast → right number of drivers

- Weekend dip → reduce idle cars
- Peak hours → surge pricing
- Save fuel, driver pay, improve ETA

Q26: Limitations?

- **Block 10**
- No holiday modeling
- No outliers (strikes, rain)
- Daily only (not hourly)
- Weather not useful here

Q27: Future work?

- **Block 10**
- Add **holiday dummy** in SARIMAX
- Try **Prophet** with holidays
- Build **hourly model**
- Deploy as **API** (Flask/FastAPI)