# Notes with Florian

- 1. Fundamental engineering difference between ConTeXt
  - no real formal document declaration
  - not a semantic markup language, based on macros
  - TeX is bottom-up, versus semantic (top down)
  - Jade transformer takes XML and SGML into a plain TeX document
- 2. pandoc is a wrapper
  - look into alternatives
  - least common denomitor
  - resembles natural languages in that translations are 'lossy'
  - post-design processes
  - proprietary options?
    - \* Woodwing: developing similar systems, SmartBooks
- 3. cross-media publishing
  - nearly an artificial intelligence problematic
  - point of departure: why do have to start from scratch for all these different output formats?
  - unpredictability
- 4. generative typographic design
  - Conditional Design manifesto
  - Luna Maurer, Roel Wouters, Jonathan Puckey (Amsterdam)
  - LUST

- catalogtree (Rotterdam and Arnhem)
- OS Publishing (Open Source Publishing, Brussels)
  - ★ Femke Snelting (uses ConTeXt)
- Scriptographer
- exhibition in Breda: Info Deco Data
- Petr van Blokland
  - \* "In our office we no longer use Adobe products, we program everything."
  - ⋆ house designer of Rabobank
- Open
- 5. wrappers on top of wrappers
  - error handling
- 6. ODT does not live up to its promise
  - DocBook: most robust XML format
  - TEI (Text Encoding Initiative): for electronic philology
  - Word is a good point for re-mediation, as it was meant to emulate the typewriter.
    - ⋆ typewriters are not document creation (typesetting) systems
    - ⋆ literal WYSIWYG
    - \* allows visual poetry that is not possible elsewhere
    - $\star$  "free form typography," creating a text visually
    - ⋆ per-line breaking
- 7. constant back and forth between ease of use vs robustness
- same problem as exists for semantic web

- 8. We have hit the limits of WYSIWYG, and designers are realizing this.
  - TexMacs: WYSIWYG
- 9. J David Bolter: re-mediation. hypertext/hypermedia. Grousin. *Re-mediation*.
  - the new medium holds the old medium, the old medium changes to fit the new
  - Michael Heim. *Electric Language*.
    - ⋆ how word processing changes writing
- 10. pandoc is not a heterarchy, but a hierarchy, with pandoc on top
  - 'media' was born in advertising in the 1940s
    - \* which medium is appropriate for the message,how do you mediate that message in transferring it to medium
  - pandoc works in this classical media way, addressing concerns of mediating the message into various mediums
    - ★ text-to-speech, morse code, etc.
  - issues of scale: programming can be an inefficient solution
- 11. TeX limitations
  - no color separation
  - "showstoppers"
- 12. Adobe has developed an XML format for InDesign; can generate programmitically
  - in FLOSS, generate SVG.
- 13. Distributed authorship, open source, to design and art.
  - the system most used at this point is Drupal
  - FLOSS Manuals uses Drupal and htmldoc for printable documents.
    - \* pragmatic

## 14. relating to theory: intermediation/re-mediation

- look into case examples of unfulfilled promises of computer technology
  - \* Xanadu
- in the 70s it seemed like document transformation was basic; then you look at the intricacies. even simple user scenarios tap into the problem of what a computer can and can't do; let alone what a user can and can't do.

#### 15. music vs texts

- music is not semantic
- no one expects a computer to produce music with a perfect voice; the expectation is something of its own
- yet with text, we have the expectation of reading text typeset in the same way as it is by hand
- perhaps an aesthetic difference: we don't expect electronic music to sound like analog;

## 16. Readability

- TeX comes close, but
- player piano vs a real pianist == TeX vs a WYSIWYG enabled typographer
- there is no good kerning algorithm
  - ★ why is text so difficult to create aesthetically?

### 17. Broaden perspective and see what else is going on in cross-media publishing

- media theory
- perhaps ANT works but it doesn't come out clearly in the proposal
- focus on one theory that gets to where we want to go
- core analysis of the discussion, stick to one or two theories

- 18. Holy Grail: one system that serves as the universal document source.
  - $-\,\,\,$  in the end it might be many holy grails, one for each type of documents
  - can you reach the Holy Grail without complexity making it unworkable?