Potential Metric Items From FPA Spreadsheet

Nick Lauerman

Abstract

Evaulation of various potential matrics, performance indicators from the Excel workbook that Software Quality Assurance collected called First Pass Acceptance.¹

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1		4.8	Number of Deliverable	
2	Dat 2.1 2.2	a and Calculations Data	1 1 2		4.9	By Application Number of Approvals, Disapprovals, and First Pass Approvals	4
3	3.1	rk Yet to be Completed Formula Trending	2 2 2 2		4.11	Table of Deliverables By Application Table of Rejection Reasons	4
4	Qua	anity Metrics	2			tion	8
	4.1	Application supported .	2		4.13	Defects Verses Deliverable	9
	4.2	Number of Projects	2		4.14	Rejection Reason By	
	4.3	Number of reviews	3			Deliverable	11
	4.4	Number of items reviewed	3		4.15	Number of Rejections	
	4.5	Number of Projects				By Project	12
		Started	3				
	4.6	Projects Completed	3	5	Rat	e Metrics	12
	4.7	Number Of Deliverables			5.1	Document Approval Rate	12
		By Type	3		5.2	First Pass Acceptance	12

Introduction 1

The data is processed using R², Version 3.4.3 named Kite-Eating Tree. The only extension (package or library) utilized is lubridate (version 1.7.1) to provide key functionality in the processing of dates.

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{Date~Ran:~Friday~2^{nd}~February,~2018~at~09:42}$ $^{2}\mathrm{RStudio~is~utilized~as~an~IDE}$

The data is read into R from a comma seperated value (csv) file which is derived (saved) from the spreadsheet without modification. Additional values are computed as needed.

This report is prepared in the R environment using a collection of packages know as Sweave that included knitr which in turn feeds the package into LATEX 2_{ε} a typeseting program to produce a PDF file. LATEX 2_{ε} in implented in MiTeX. LATEX 2_{ε} is utilizing the following packages to control style and formating:

- datetime and
- multitoc

This will only show a point in time summary and no trends.

2 Data and Calculations

2.1 Data

A seperate data dictionary will be prepared to for both the source data and computed values stored in R.

2.2 Calculations

All calculations presented here have not been implemented in R as formulas.

3 Work Yet to be Completed

3.1 Formula

Some calculations would be better implemented as formulas. The calculations need to be evulated and the formulas developed where needed.

3.2 Trending

Eventually the "System" will be updated to select the data on a for a calander month. After processing the resulots will be stored in a seperate file. This will allow for longer term trending of this data.

3.2.1 Graphs

When "trending" is implented graphs of the trends will also be added.

4 Quanity Metrics

4.1 Application supported

This is a list of all application support this period.

Note: Alphabatize the list

```
[1] "Groninger"
                                     "Assay File Database"
[3] "PCN/SCN"
                                     "WWLIMS"
[5] "Pulse"
                                     "DFCS"
[7] "DPW"
                                     "QIMS"
[9] "Abbott Transfusion Medicine" "Apollo/PHM"
[11] "AFMS"
                                     "SAS"
[13] "Metrics Library"
                                     "DaVinci"
[15] "CMSNext"
                                     "GS Reports"
[17] "IRIS"
```

4.2 Number of Projects

The total number of projects worked on in this period. This may differ from the application list because some applications may have more than one project in the periond.

[1] 24

4.3 Number of reviews

This is the number of items reviewed, a document will be counted more than once if it is reviewed more than once.

[1] 176

4.4 Number of items reviewed

This is the number of unique items reviewed, each item is only counted once regardless of how many times it is reviewed.

Note: This is reporting low due to certian items having no way to descrimate between such as multiple executions of the same test script or results from an IIVP run on different computers within the same project.

[1] 121

4.5 Number of Projects Started

The point at which a project is started is when version 1 of the *Software Change Request* is approved.

Note: This now counts ML type projects (Metrics Library and GS Reports) using the Project Plan as the point that a project starts.

[1] 5

4.6 Projects Completed

The point at which a project is considered completed is when version 1 of the *System Certification Summary* is approved.

Note: now counts GS (Global Service) Reports Move to Production as the end of project.

[1] 2

4.7 Number Of Deliverables By Type

This is unique for each deliverable. It only counts each document once so a deliverable that is disapproved and then approved is only counted once.

CII	Design Documentation
8	2
Design Verification	FRS
5	5
IIVP	IIVP results
5	9
Move to Production	Project Plan
1	6
Software Change Request	Software Compliance Assessment
6	4
System Certification Summary	Test Protocol
2	37
Test Protocol Results	Traceability
14	9
URS	User Acceptance Protocol
2	3
User Acceptance Protocol results	Validation Plan
1	2

4.8 Number of Deliverable By Application

This is the number of unique deliverables that each application submitted. It only counts each document once, regardless of the status.

Abbott Transfusion Medicine	AFMS
1	8
Apollo/PHM	Assay File Database
9	2
CMSNext	DaVinci
2	12
DFCS	DPW
13	4
Groninger	GS Reports

3	1
Metrics Library	IRIS
3	1
Pulse	PCN/SCN
8	6
SAS	QIMS
3	12
	WWLIMS
	33

4.9 Number of Approvals, Disapprovals, and First Pass Approvals

A A-FP D 54 67 55

${\bf 4.10}\quad {\bf Table\ of\ Deliverables\ By\ Application}$

	CII	Design	Documentati	ion	Design	Veri	fication	FRS
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0			0			0	0
AFMS	1			0			0	2
Apollo/PHM	0			0			0	0
Assay File Database	0			0			0	0
CMSNext	0			0			0	0
DaVinci	1			0			0	1
DFCS	1			0			1	0
DPW	0			0			0	0
Groninger	0			0			0	0
GS Reports	0			0			0	0
IRIS	0			0			0	0
Metrics Library	0			2			0	0
PCN/SCN	0			0			1	1
Pulse	0			0			0	0
QIMS	2			0			2	0
SAS	0			0			0	0
WWLIMS	3			0			1	1
	IIVP	IIVP	results Move	e to	Produc	tion	Project	Plan
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0)	0			0		0
AFMS	0)	0			0		0
Apollo/PHM	0)	4			0		0
Assay File Database	0)	0			0		1
CMSNext	0)	0			0		0
DaVinci	1		0			0		1
DFCS	1		0			0		1
DPW	0)	0			0		0

Groninger	0	0	0	0
GS Reports	0	0	1	2
IRIS	0	1	0	0
Metrics Library	0	0	0	1
PCN/SCN	1	0	0	0
Pulse	0	1	0	0
QIMS	1	1	0	0
SAS	0	0	0	0
WWLIMS	1	2	0	0

Software Change Request

Abbott Transfusion Medicine		0
AFMS		1
Apollo/PHM		0
Assay File Database		1
CMSNext		1
DaVinci		1
DFCS		1
DPW		0
Groninger		0
GS Reports		0
IRIS		0
Metrics Library		0
PCN/SCN		1
Pulse		0
QIMS		0
SAS		0
WWLIMS		0

Software Compliance Assessment

Abbott Transfusion Medicine	1
AFMS	0
Apollo/PHM	0
Assay File Database	0
CMSNext	1
DaVinci	0
DFCS	0
DPW	0
Groninger	0
GS Reports	0
IRIS	0
Metrics Library	0
PCN/SCN	2
Pulse	0
QIMS	0
SAS	0

WWLIMS 0

	G +			G	T+	D + -	7
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	Syste	m Certifi	ıcatıon		lest.	Proto	
AFMS AFMS				0			0 2
Apollo/PHM				0			5
Assay File Database				0			0
CMSNext				0			0
DaVinci				0			5
DFCS				0			1
DPW				1			1
Groninger				0			0
GS Reports				0			0
IRIS				0			0
Metrics Library				0			0
PCN/SCN				0			0
Pulse				0			3
QIMS				0			4
SAS				1			1
WWLIMS				0			15
	Test	Protocol	Results	: Traceal	hility	IIRS	
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	1000	11000001	(0		
AFMS				<i>.</i>)	0		
Apollo/PHM)	0		
Assay File Database			()	0	0	
CMSNext			()	0	0	
DaVinci			()	0	1	
DFCS			2	2	1	0	
DPW			2	2	0	0	
Groninger			()	1	0	
GS Reports			()	0	0	
IRIS)	0		
Metrics Library)	0		
PCN/SCN			()	0	0	

User Acceptance Protocol

3

1

6

0

1 0

2

0

0

0

Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0
AFMS	0
Apollo/PHM	0
Assay File Database	0
CMSNext	0

Pulse

QIMS

SAS

WWLIMS

0
3
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0

User Acceptance Protocol results Validation Plan Abbott Transfusion Medicine AFMS Apollo/PHM Assay File Database ${\tt CMSNext}$ DaVinci DFCS DPW ${\tt Groninger}$ GS Reports IRIS Metrics Library PCN/SCN Pulse QIMS SAS

4.11 Table of Rejection Reasons

WWLIMS

Incorrect scope	Inaccurate information
1	29
Not compliant with procedure	Insufficient testing
9	6
Not using template	Not following document version control
1	3
Requirement deficiency	Other
1	1

4.12 Defects Verses Application

		11					
		Inaccurate	information	Incorrec	t scope		
AFMS			0		0		
Apollo/PHM			1		0		
Assay File	Database		0		0		
CMSNext			2		0		
DaVinci			11		0		
DFCS			1		0		
DPW			1		0		
PCN/SCN			2		1		
Pulse			0		0		
QIMS			7		0		
WWLIMS			4		0		
		Insufficier	nt testing N	ot compli	ant with	procedure	:
AFMS			0	_		4	
Apollo/PHM			0			0)
Assay File	Database		0			1	
CMSNext			0			0)
DaVinci			0			2	!
DFCS			0			0)
DPW			0			0)
PCN/SCN			0			0)
Pulse			0			1	
QIMS			6			1	
WWLIMS			0			0)
		Not follow	ing document	version	control 1	Not using	template
AFMS					0		0
Apollo/PHM					0		0
Assay File	Database				0		0
CMSNext					0		0
DaVinci					1		0
DFCS					0		1
DPW					0		0
PCN/SCN					0		0
Pulse					0		0
QIMS					0		0
WWLIMS					2		0

	Other	Requirement	deficiency
AFMS	0		0
Apollo/PHM	0		0
Assay File Database	1		0
CMSNext	0		0

DaVinci	0	1
DFCS	0	0
DPW	0	0
PCN/SCN	0	0
Pulse	0	0
QIMS	0	0
WWLIMS	0	0

4.13 Defects Verses Deliverable

> table(as.character(subset(FPA, subset = (status == "D"))\$deliverable),
+ subset(FPA, subset = (status == "D"))\$reason)

	Inaccurate	information	Incorrect	scope
CII		4		0
FRS		0		0
IIVP		2		0
IIVP results		1		0
Project Plan		5		0
Software Change Request		2		0
Software Compliance Assessment		1		1
System Certification Summary		1		0
Test Protocol		7		0
Test Protocol Results		2		0
Traceability		4		0
URS		0		0
User Acceptance Protocol		0		0
Validation Plan		0		0

	Insufficient	testing
CII	Induitioiono	0
FRS		0
IIVP		0
IIVP results		0
Project Plan		0
Software Change Request		0
Software Compliance Assessment		0
System Certification Summary		0
Test Protocol		6
Test Protocol Results		0
Traceability		0
URS		0
User Acceptance Protocol		0
Validation Plan		0

Not compliant with procedure

0
2
0
1
0
1
0
0
1
1
0
2
0
1

Not following document version control

CII	1
FRS	0
IIVP	0
IIVP results	0
Project Plan	0
Software Change Request	0
Software Compliance Assessment	0
System Certification Summary	0
Test Protocol	2
Test Protocol Results	0
Traceability	0
URS	0
User Acceptance Protocol	0
Validation Plan	0

Not using template Other

0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	1
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
1	0
0	0
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

	Requirement	deficiency
CII		0
FRS		1
IIVP		0
IIVP results		0
Project Plan		0
Software Change Request		0
Software Compliance Assessment		0
System Certification Summary		0
Test Protocol		0
Test Protocol Results		0
Traceability		0
URS		0
User Acceptance Protocol		0
Validation Plan		0

4.14 Rejection Reason By Deliverable

FRS	CII
3	5
IIVP results	IIVP
2	2
Software Change Request	Project Plan
3	6
System Certification Summary	Software Compliance Assessment
1	2
Test Protocol Results	Test Protocol
3	20
URS	Traceability
2	4
Validation Plan	User Acceptance Protocol
1	1

4.15 Number of Rejections By Project

CMSNext	File Database	Apollo/PHM Assay	AFMS
2	2	1	4
PCN/SCN	DPW	DFCS	DaVinci
3	1	2	15
	WWLIMS	QIMS	Pulse
	9	15	1

5 Rate Metrics

5.1 Document Approval Rate

This is portion of documents that are approved upon review.

It is computed by counting the number of approvals devided that by the total number of reviews.

[1] 0.6761364

5.2 First Pass Acceptance

this is the portion of documents that are approved on the first review conducted by SQA.

It is computed by counting the number of first pass approvals devided by the number of reviews.

[1] 0.375