# Potential Metric Items From FPA Spreadsheet

#### Nick Lauerman

#### Abstract

Evaulation of various potential matrics, performance indicators from the Excel workbook that Software Quality Assurance collected called First Pass Acceptance.  $^1$ 

#### Contents

1	Inti	$\operatorname{roduction}$	1		4.5	Number of Projects	
2	Dat 2.1 2.2	a and Calculations  Data	2 2 2		4.6 4.7	Started	3 4
3	Wo	rk Yet to be Completed	f 2		4.8	Number of deliverable	4
•	3.1	Formula	2 2 2		4.9	by application	4 5
4	Qua	anity Metrics Application supported .	<b>2</b> 2		4.10	Table of deliverables by application	5
	4.2	Number of Projects	3	5	Rat	e Metrics	7
	4.3	Number of reviews	3		5.1	Document Approval Rate	7
	4.4	Number of items reviewed	3		5.2	First Pass Acceptance .	7

# 1 Introduction

The data is processed using  $R^2$ , Version 3.4.3 named Kite-Eating Tree. The only extension (package or library) utilized is lubridate (version 1.7.1) to provide key functionality in the processing of dates.

The data is read into R from a comma seperated value (csv) file which is derived (saved) from the spreadsheet without modification. Additional values are computed as needed.

This report is prepared in the R environment using a collection of packages know as Sweave that included knitr which in turn feeds the package into LATEX  $2\varepsilon$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Date Ran: Wednesday 24<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 at 15:40

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{RStudio}$  is utilized as an IDE

a type seting program to produce a PDF file. LATEX  $2_{\varepsilon}$  in implented in MiTEX. LATEX  $2_{\varepsilon}$  is utilizing the following packages to control style and formating:

- datetime and
- multitoc

This will only show a point in time summary and no trends.

#### 2 Data and Calculations

#### 2.1 Data

A seperate data dictionary will be prepared to for both the source data and computed values stored in R.

#### 2.2 Calculations

All calculations presented here have not been implemented in R as formulas.

## 3 Work Yet to be Completed

#### 3.1 Formula

Some calculations would be better implemented as formulas. The calculations need to be evulated and the formulas developed where needed.

#### 3.2 Trending

Eventually the "System" will be updated to select the data on a for a calander month. After processing the resulots will be stored in a seperate file. This will allow for longer term trending of this data.

#### **3.2.1** Graphs

When "trending" is implented graphs of the trends will also be added.

# 4 Quanity Metrics

#### 4.1 Application supported

This is a list of all application support this period.

**Note:** Alphabatize the list

```
[1] "Groninger" "Assay File Database "
[3] "PCN/SCN" "WWLIMS"
[5] "Pulse" "DFCS"
[7] "DPW" "QIMS"
[9] "Abbott Transfusion Medicine" "Apollo/PHM"
[11] "AFMS" "SAS"
[13] "Metrics Library" "DaVinci"
```

## 4.2 Number of Projects

The total number of projects worked on in this period. This may differ from the application list because some applications may have more than one project in the periond.

[1] 15

#### 4.3 Number of reviews

This is the number of items reviewed, a document will be counted more than once if it is reviewed more than once.

[1] 66

#### 4.4 Number of items reviewed

This is the number of unique items reviewed, each item is only counted once regardless of how many times it is reviewed.

**Note:** This is reporting low due to certian items having no way to descrimate between such as multiple executions of the same test script or results from an IIVP run on different computers within the same project.

[1] 57

### 4.5 Number of Projects Started

The point at which a project is started is when version 1 of the *Software Change Request* is approved.

**Note:** There is an issue with this right now; it fails to count projects using the ML process as there is no SCR in that process. It is combined into the Project Plan.

[1] 2

## 4.6 Projects Completed

The point at which a project is considered completed is when version 1 of the *System Certification Summary* is approved.

**Note:** There is an issue with this right now; as it fails to count projects that use the ML report process as there is no system certification.

[1] 0

## 4.7 Number Of Deliverables By Type

This is unique for each deliverable. It only counts each document once so a deliverable that is disapproved and then approved is only counted once.

Design Verification	CII
2	5
IIVP results	FRS
6	3
Software Change Request	Project Plan
4	3
System Certification Summary	Software Compliance Assessment
1	3
Test Protocol Results	Test Protocol
5	18
URS	Traceability
1	3
Validation Plan	User Acceptance Protocol
1	2

## 4.8 Number of deliverable by application

This is the number of unique deliverables that each application submitted. Itonly counts each document once, regardless of the status.

Abbott Transfusion Medicine	AFMS
1	7
Apollo/PHM	Assay File Database
8	1
DaVinci	DFCS
3	6
DPW	Groninger
3	1
Metrics Library	PCN/SCN
1	2
Pulse	QIMS
8	4
SAS	WWLIMS
1	11

# 4.9 Number of Approvals, Disapprovals, and First Pass Approvals

A A-FP D 14 37 15

# 4.10 Table of deliverables by application

	CII	${\tt Design}$	Verification	FRS	IIVP results
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0		0	0	0
AFMS	1		0	2	0
Apollo/PHM	0		0	0	3
Assay File Database	0		0	0	0
DaVinci	0		0	1	0
DFCS	0		0	0	0
DPW	0		0	0	0
Groninger	0		0	0	0
Metrics Library	0		0	0	0
PCN/SCN	0		0	0	0
Pulse	0		0	0	1
QIMS	2		2	0	0
SAS	0		0	0	0
WWLIMS	2		0	0	2

Project	Plan	Software	Change	Request
---------	------	----------	--------	---------

Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0	0
AFMS	0	1
Apollo/PHM	0	0
Assay File Database	0	1
DaVinci	1	1
DFCS	1	1
DPW	0	0
Groninger	0	0
Metrics Library	1	0
PCN/SCN	0	0
Pulse	0	0
QIMS	0	0
SAS	0	0
WWLIMS	0	0

## Software Compliance Assessment

Abbott Transfusion Medicine	1
AFMS	0
Apollo/PHM	0
Assay File Database	0
DaVinci	0

DFCS DPW Groninger Metrics Library PCN/SCN Pulse QIMS SAS WWLIMS					0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0		
	Syste	m Certific	cation	Summary	y Test P	rotoco	ol
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	·			-	)		0
AFMS				(	)		1
Apollo/PHM				(	)		5
Assay File Database				(	)		0
DaVinci				(	)		0
DFCS				(	)		0
DPW				:	1		1
Groninger				(	)		0
Metrics Library				(	)		0
PCN/SCN				(	)		0
Pulse				(	)		3
QIMS					)		0
SAS				(	)		1
WWLIMS				(	)		7
	Т	D 1 I	) <u>1</u> + -	. Т	. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	IIDC	
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	rest	Protocol F	nesurts (		ability O	0 0	
AFMS AFMS			(		0	1	
Apollo/PHM			(		0	0	
Assay File Database			(		0	0	
DaVinci					0	0	
DFCS			1		1	0	
DPW			1		0	0	
Groninger					1	0	
Metrics Library			Ċ		0	0	
PCN/SCN			Č		0	0	
Pulse			3		1	0	
QIMS			C		0	0	
SAS			C		0	0	
WWLIMS			C	)	0	0	
	User	Acceptance	e Proto		lidation		
Abbott Transfusion Medicine				0		0	
AFMS				0		1	
Apollo/PHM				0		0	

Assay File Database	0	0
DaVinci	0	0
DFCS	2	0
DPW	0	0
Groninger	0	0
Metrics Library	0	0
PCN/SCN	0	0
Pulse	0	0
QIMS	0	0
SAS	0	0
WWLIMS	0	0

# 5 Rate Metrics

# 5.1 Document Approval Rate

This is portion of documents that are approved upon review.

It is computed by counting the number of approvals devided that by the total number of reviews.

[1] 0.7575758

## 5.2 First Pass Acceptance

this is the portion of documents that are approved on the first review conducted by SQA.

It is computed by counting the number of first pass approvals devided by the number of reviews.

[1] 0.5454545