LC/Div SQA Metric Items From FPA Spreadsheet - May 2020

Nick Lauerman

Abstract

Presentation of First Pass Acceptance of SLC documentation. This is derived from the Excel workbook that Software Quality Assurance collected called "First Pass Acceptance.xlsx". This is for the month of May 2020 . $^{\rm 1}$

Contents

1	Introduction	1	3.2	Reviews Completed	4
			3.3	Reviews Completed	
2	First Pass Acceptance Results	1		with Control Lines	5
	2.1 FPA Without CHaRM		3.4	First Pass Acceptance .	6
	Test Protocols	2	3.5	First Pass Acceptance	
				with Control Lines	7
3	Trending Graphs	2	3.6	Rejection Rate	8
	3.1 Projects Started and		3.7	Rejection Rate with	
	Completed	3		Control Lines	9

1 Introduction

The data is processed using \mathbb{R}^2 , version 3.6.3 named "Holding the Windsock". The only extension (package or library) utilized is lubridate (version 1.7.8) to provide key functionality in the processing of dates.

The data is read into R from a comma seperated value (csv) file which is derived (saved) from the spreadsheet without modification. Additional values that are needed are are computed in R as needed.

This report is prepared in the R environment using a collection of packages know as Sweave that included knitr which in turn feeds the package into LaTeX 2_{ε} a typeseting program to produce a PDF file. LaTeX 2_{ε} in implented in MiTeX. LaTeX 2_{ε} is utilizing the following packages to control style and formating:

• datetime and

¹Date Ran: Tuesday 2nd June, 2020 at 09:46

²RStudio is utilized as an IDE

- multitoc
- hyperref
- xcolor

2 First Pass Acceptance Results

For the Month of May 2020 the follow are the First Pass Acceptance Metrics results

Projects Started 9

Projects Completed 7

Items Reviewed 596

Items Rejected 38

First Pass Acceptance Rate 86.9 %

Rejection Rate 6.4 %

2.1 FPA Without CHaRM Test Protocols

For the Month of May 2020 the follow are the First Pass Acceptance Metrics results with the CHaRM Test Protocols removed. This was done due to the large volume of test protocols (test cases) in the application and fact that approvals are at the test case verses the test protocol level, with typical test protocols having multible test cases contained witin.

Projects Started 9

Projects Completed 7

Items Reviewed 596

Items Rejected 38

First Pass Acceptance Rate 86.9 %


Rejection Rate 6.4%

3 Trending Graphs

Trend Graphs only reflect the past 18 months of data. The following graphics do not include data from CHaRM Test Protocols.


3.1 Projects Started and Completed

Projects Started and Completed




3.2 Reviews Completed

Reviews Completed




3.3 Reviews Completed with Control Lines

Reviews Completed




3.4 First Pass Acceptance

First Pass Acceptance (FPA)




3.5 First Pass Acceptance with Control Lines

First Pass Acceptance (FPA)



3.6 Rejection Rate

Rejection Rate



3.7 Rejection Rate with Control Lines

Rejection Rate

