

Potential Metric Items From FPA Spreadsheet

Nick Lauerman

Abstract

Evaluation of various potential metrics, performance indicators from the Excel workbook that Software Quality Assurance collected called First Pass Acceptance.¹

Contents

1	Introduction	1	4.5	Number of Projects Started	3
2	Data and Calculations	2	4.6	Projects Completed . .	4
2.1	Data	2	4.7	Number Of Deliverables By Type	4
2.2	Calculations	2	4.8	Number of deliverable by application	4
3	Work Yet to be Completed	2	4.9	Number of Approvals, Disapprovals, and First Pass Approvals	5
3.1	Formula	2	4.10	Table of deliverables by application	5
3.2	Trending	2			
3.2.1	Graphs	2	5	Rate Metrics	9
4	Quantity Metrics	2	5.1	Document Approval Rate	9
4.1	Application supported .	2	5.2	First Pass Acceptance .	9
4.2	Number of Projects . . .	3			
4.3	Number of reviews . . .	3			
4.4	Number of items reviewed	3			

1 Introduction

The data is processed using R², Version 3.4.3 named Kite-Eating Tree. The only extension (package or library) utilized is lubridate (version 1.7.1) to provide key functionality in the processing of dates.

The data is read into R from a comma seperated value (csv) file which is derived (saved) from the spreadsheet without modification. Additional values are computed as needed.

This report is prepared in the R enviroment using a collection of packages know as Sweave that included knitr which in turn feeds the package into L^AT_EX 2_ε

¹Date Ran: Tuesday 30th January, 2018 at 13:13

²RStudio is utilized as an IDE

a typesetting program to produce a PDF file. L^AT_EX 2_ε is implemented in MiT_EX. L^AT_EX 2_ε is utilizing the following packages to control style and formatting:

- datetime and
- multicol

This will only show a point in time summary and no trends.

2 Data and Calculations

2.1 Data

A separate data dictionary will be prepared to for both the source data and computed values stored in R.

2.2 Calculations

All calculations presented here have not been implemented in R as formulas.

3 Work Yet to be Completed

3.1 Formula

Some calculations would be better implemented as formulas. The calculations need to be evaluated and the formulas developed where needed.

3.2 Trending

Eventually the “System” will be updated to select the data on a for a calendar month. After processing the results will be stored in a separate file. This will allow for longer term trending of this data.

3.2.1 Graphs

When “trending” is implemented graphs of the trends will also be added.

4 Quantity Metrics

4.1 Application supported

This is a list of all application support this period.

Note: Alphabetize the list

[1]	"Groninger"	"Assay File Database"
[3]	"PCN/SCN"	"WWLIMS"
[5]	"Pulse"	"DFCS"
[7]	"DPW"	"QIMS"
[9]	"Abbott Transfusion Medicine"	"Apollo/PHM"
[11]	"AFMS"	"SAS"
[13]	"Metrics Library"	"DaVinci"
[15]	"CMSNext"	"GS Reports"
[17]	"IRIS"	" "

4.2 Number of Projects

The total number of projects worked on in this period. This may differ from the application list because some applications may have more than one project in the period.

[1] 25

4.3 Number of reviews

This is the number of items reviewed, a document will be counted more than once if it is reviewed more than once.

[1] 274

4.4 Number of items reviewed

This is the number of unique items reviewed, each item is only counted once regardless of how many times it is reviewed.

Note: This is reporting low due to certian items having no way to descrimate between such as multiple executions of the same test script or results from an IIVP run on different computers within the same project.

[1] 122

4.5 Number of Projects Started

The point at which a project is started is when version 1 of the *Software Change Request* is approved.

Note: There is an issue with this right now; it fails to count projects using the ML process as there is no SCR in that process. It is combined into the Project Plan.

[1] 3

4.6 Projects Completed

The point at which a project is considered completed is when version 1 of the *System Certification Summary* is approved.

Note: There is an issue with this right now; as it fails to count projects that use the ML report process as there is no system certification.

[1] 1

4.7 Number Of Deliverables By Type

This is unique for each deliverable. It only counts each document once so a deliverable that is disapproved and then approved is only counted once.

		CII
	1	8
Design Documentation		Design Verification
	2	5
	FRS	IIVP
	5	5
IIVP results		Move to Production
	9	1
Project Plan		Software Change Request
	6	6
Software Compliance Assessment		System Certification Summary
	4	2
Test Protocol		Test Protocol Results
	37	14
Traceability		URS
	9	2
User Acceptance Protocol		User Acceptance Protocol results
	3	1
Validation Plan		
	2	

4.8 Number of deliverable by application

This is the number of unique deliverables that each application submitted. It only counts each document once, regardless of the status.

	Abbott Transfusion Medicine
1	1
AFMS	Apollo/PHM
8	9
Assay File Database	CMSNext
2	2
DaVinci	DFCS

12	13
DPW	Groninger
4	1
GS Reports	IRIS
3	1
Metrics Library	PCN/SCN
3	6
Pulse	QIMS
8	12
SAS	WWLIMS
3	33

4.9 Number of Approvals, Disapprovals, and First Pass Approvals

	A	A-FP	D
98	54	67	55

4.10 Table of deliverables by application

	CII Design Documentation		Design Verification	
	1	0	0	0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0	0	0	0
AFMS	0	1	0	0
Apollo/PHM	0	0	0	0
Assay File Database	0	0	0	0
CMSNext	0	0	0	0
DaVinci	0	1	0	0
DFCS	0	1	0	1
DPW	0	0	0	0
Groninger	0	0	0	0
GS Reports	0	0	0	0
IRIS	0	0	0	0
Metrics Library	0	0	2	0
PCN/SCN	0	0	0	1
Pulse	0	0	0	0
QIMS	0	2	0	2
SAS	0	0	0	0
WWLIMS	0	3	0	1

	FRS	IIVP	IIVP results	Move to Production
	0	0	0	0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0	0	0	0
AFMS	2	0	0	0
Apollo/PHM	0	0	4	0
Assay File Database	0	0	0	0

CMSNext	0	0	0	0
DaVinci	1	1	0	0
DFCS	0	1	0	0
DPW	0	0	0	0
Groninger	0	0	0	0
GS Reports	0	0	0	1
IRIS	0	0	1	0
Metrics Library	0	0	0	0
PCN/SCN	1	1	0	0
Pulse	0	0	1	0
QIMS	0	1	1	0
SAS	0	0	0	0
WWLIMS	1	1	2	0

Project Plan Software Change Request

	0	0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0	0
AFMS	0	1
Apollo/PHM	0	0
Assay File Database	1	1
CMSNext	0	1
DaVinci	1	1
DFCS	1	1
DPW	0	0
Groninger	0	0
GS Reports	2	0
IRIS	0	0
Metrics Library	1	0
PCN/SCN	0	1
Pulse	0	0
QIMS	0	0
SAS	0	0
WWLIMS	0	0

Software Compliance Assessment

	0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	1
AFMS	0
Apollo/PHM	0
Assay File Database	0
CMSNext	1
DaVinci	0
DFCS	0
DPW	0
Groninger	0
GS Reports	0

IRIS	0
Metrics Library	0
PCN/SCN	2
Pulse	0
QIMS	0
SAS	0
WVLIMS	0

	System Certification Summary	Test Protocol
	0	0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0	0
AFMS	0	2
Apollo/PHM	0	5
Assay File Database	0	0
CMSNext	0	0
DaVinci	0	5
DFCS	0	1
DPW	1	1
Groninger	0	0
GS Reports	0	0
IRIS	0	0
Metrics Library	0	0
PCN/SCN	0	0
Pulse	0	3
QIMS	0	4
SAS	1	1
WVLIMS	0	15

	Test Protocol Results	Traceability	URS
	0	0	0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0	0	0
AFMS	0	0	1
Apollo/PHM	0	0	0
Assay File Database	0	0	0
CMSNext	0	0	0
DaVinci	0	0	1
DFCS	2	1	0
DPW	2	0	0
Groninger	0	1	0
GS Reports	0	0	0
IRIS	0	0	0
Metrics Library	0	0	0
PCN/SCN	0	0	0
Pulse	3	1	0
QIMS	0	2	0
SAS	1	0	0

WVLIMS	6	4	0
--------	---	---	---

	User Acceptance Protocol
	0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0
AFMS	0
Apollo/PHM	0
Assay File Database	0
CMSNext	0
DaVinci	0
DFCS	3
DPW	0
Groninger	0
GS Reports	0
IRIS	0
Metrics Library	0
PCN/SCN	0
Pulse	0
QIMS	0
SAS	0
WVLIMS	0

	User Acceptance Protocol results	Validation Plan
	0	0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0	0
AFMS	0	1
Apollo/PHM	0	0
Assay File Database	0	0
CMSNext	0	0
DaVinci	0	1
DFCS	1	0
DPW	0	0
Groninger	0	0
GS Reports	0	0
IRIS	0	0
Metrics Library	0	0
PCN/SCN	0	0
Pulse	0	0
QIMS	0	0
SAS	0	0
WVLIMS	0	0

5 Rate Metrics

5.1 Document Approval Rate

This is portion of documents that are approved upon review.

It is computed by counting the number of approvals divided that by the total number of reviews.

[1] 0.4343066

5.2 First Pass Acceptance

this is the portion of documents that are approved on the first review conducted by SQA.

It is computed by counting the number of first pass approvals divided by the number of reviews.

[1] 0.2408759