# Potential Metric Items From FPA Spreadsheet

#### Nick Lauerman

#### Abstract

Evaulation of various potential matrics, performance indicators from the Excel workbook that Software Quality Assurance collected called First Pass Acceptance.  $^1$ 

#### Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1		4.5	Number of Projects	
2	Dat 2.1 2.2	a and Calculations  Data	2 2 2		4.6 4.7	Number Of Deliverables By Type	3 4
3	3.1	Formula	2 2 2 2			by application Number of Approvals, Disapprovals, and First Pass Approvals	4 5
4	<b>Qua</b> 4.1 4.2	anity Metrics Application supported . Number of Projects	2 2 3	5		Table of deliverables by application e Metrics	5 9
	4.3 4.4	Number of reviews Number of items reviewed	3			Document Approval Rate First Pass Acceptance .	9

# 1 Introduction

The data is processed using  $R^2$ , Version 3.4.3 named Kite-Eating Tree. The only extension (package or library) utilized is lubridate (version 1.7.1) to provide key functionality in the processing of dates.

The data is read into R from a comma seperated value (csv) file which is derived (saved) from the spreadsheet without modification. Additional values are computed as needed.

This report is prepared in the R environment using a collection of packages know as Sweave that included knitr which in turn feeds the package into LATEX  $2\varepsilon$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Date Ran: Tuesday 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 at 14:16

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{RStudio}$  is utilized as an IDE

a type seting program to produce a PDF file. LATEX  $2_{\varepsilon}$  in implented in MiTEX. LATEX  $2_{\varepsilon}$  is utilizing the following packages to control style and formating:

- datetime and
- multitoc

This will only show a point in time summary and no trends.

#### 2 Data and Calculations

#### 2.1 Data

A seperate data dictionary will be prepared to for both the source data and computed values stored in R.

#### 2.2 Calculations

All calculations presented here have not been implemented in R as formulas.

### 3 Work Yet to be Completed

#### 3.1 Formula

Some calculations would be better implemented as formulas. The calculations need to be evulated and the formulas developed where needed.

#### 3.2 Trending

Eventually the "System" will be updated to select the data on a for a calander month. After processing the resulots will be stored in a seperate file. This will allow for longer term trending of this data.

#### **3.2.1** Graphs

When "trending" is implented graphs of the trends will also be added.

# 4 Quanity Metrics

#### 4.1 Application supported

This is a list of all application support this period.

**Note:** Alphabatize the list

```
"Assay File Database"
[1] "Groninger"
[3] "PCN/SCN"
                                    "WWLIMS"
                                    "DFCS"
[5] "Pulse"
[7] "DPW"
                                     "QIMS"
[9] "Abbott Transfusion Medicine"
                                    "Apollo/PHM"
[11] "AFMS"
                                    "SAS"
                                    "DaVinci"
[13] "Metrics Library"
[15] "CMSNext"
                                    "GS Reports"
[17] "IRIS"
```

#### 4.2 Number of Projects

The total number of projects worked on in this period. This may differ from the application list because some applications may have more than one project in the periond.

[1] 25

#### 4.3 Number of reviews

This is the number of items reviewed, a document will be counted more than once if it is reviewed more than once.

[1] 274

#### 4.4 Number of items reviewed

This is the number of unique items reviewed, each item is only counted once regardless of how many times it is reviewed.

**Note:** This is reporting low due to certian items having no way to descrimate between such as multiple executions of the same test script or results from an IIVP run on different computers within the same project.

[1] 122

#### 4.5 Number of Projects Started

The point at which a project is started is when version 1 of the *Software Change Request* is approved.

**Note:** This now counts ML type projects (Metrics Library and GS Reports) using the Project Plan as the point that a project starts.

[1] 5

#### 4.6 Projects Completed

The point at which a project is considered completed is when version 1 of the *System Certification Summary* is approved.

**Note:** There is an issue with this right now; as it fails to count projects that use the ML report process as there is no system certification.

[1] 2

#### 4.7 Number Of Deliverables By Type

This is unique for each deliverable. It only counts each document once so a deliverable that is disapproved and then approved is only counted once.

	CII
1	8
Design Documentation	Design Verification
2	5
FRS	IIVP
5	5
IIVP results	Move to Production
9	1
Project Plan	Software Change Request
6	6
Software Compliance Assessment	System Certification Summary
4	2
Test Protocol	Test Protocol Results
37	14
Traceability	URS
9	2
User Acceptance Protocol	User Acceptance Protocol results
3	1
Validation Plan	
2	

#### 4.8 Number of deliverable by application

This is the number of unique deliverables that each application submitted. It only counts each document once, regardless of the status.

	Abbott	Transfusion Medicine
1		1
AFMS		Apollo/PHM
8		9
Assay File Database		CMSNext
2		2
DaVinci		DFCS

	12	13
	DPW	Groninger
	4	1
GS	Reports	IRIS
	3	1
${\tt Metrics}$	Library	PCN/SCN
	3	6
	Pulse	QIMS
	8	12
	SAS	WWLIMS
	3	33

# 4.9 Number of Approvals, Disapprovals, and First Pass Approvals

A A-FP D 98 54 67 55

# 4.10 Table of deliverables by application

		CII	Design	Documentation	Design	Verification
	1	0		0		0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0	0		0		0
AFMS	0	1		0		0
Apollo/PHM	0	0		0		0
Assay File Database	0	0		0		0
CMSNext	0	0		0		0
DaVinci	0	1		0		0
DFCS	0	1		0		1
DPW	0	0		0		0
Groninger	0	0		0		0
GS Reports	0	0		0		0
IRIS	0	0		0		0
Metrics Library	0	0		2		0
PCN/SCN	0	0		0		1
Pulse	0	0		0		0
QIMS	0	2		0		2
SAS	0	0		0		0
WWLIMS	0	3		0		1
	FRS	IIV	P IIVP	results Move	to Produ	ction
	0	(	0	0		0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0	(	0	0		0
AFMS	2	(	0	0		0
Apollo/PHM	0	(	0	4		0
Assay File Database	0	(	0	0		0

CMSNext	0	0	0	0
DaVinci	1	1	0	0
DFCS	0	1	0	0
DPW	0	0	0	0
Groninger	0	0	0	0
GS Reports	0	0	0	1
IRIS	0	0	1	0
Metrics Library	0	0	0	0
PCN/SCN	1	1	0	0
Pulse	0	0	1	0
QIMS	0	1	1	0
SAS	0	0	0	0
WWLIMS	1	1	2	0

# 

	0	0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	0	0
AFMS	0	1
Apollo/PHM	0	0
Assay File Database	1	1
CMSNext	0	1
DaVinci	1	1
DFCS	1	1
DPW	0	0
Groninger	0	0
GS Reports	2	0
IRIS	0	0
Metrics Library	1	0
PCN/SCN	0	1
Pulse	0	0
QIMS	0	0
SAS	0	0
WWLIMS	0	0

# Software Compliance Assessment

	0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine	1
AFMS	0
Apollo/PHM	0
Assay File Database	0
CMSNext	1
DaVinci	0
DFCS	0
DPW	0
Groninger	0
GS Reports	0

IRIS Metrics Library PCN/SCN Pulse		0 0 2 0	
QIMS		0	
SAS		0	
WWLIMS		0	
	System Certification	Summary Test	t Protocol
Abbott Transfusion Medicine		Ö	0
AFMS		0	2
Apollo/PHM		0	5
Assay File Database		0	0
CMSNext DaVinci		0	0 5
DFCS		0	1
DPW		1	1
Groninger		0	0
GS Reports		0	0
IRIS		0	0
Metrics Library PCN/SCN		0	0
Pulse		0	3
QIMS		0	4
SAS		1	1
WWLIMS		0	15
	Test Protocol Results		=
A11 m . 6 W 1: :	C		0 0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine AFMS	0		0 0 0
Apollo/PHM	C		0 0
Assay File Database	C		0 0
CMSNext	C	)	0 0
DaVinci	C	)	0 1
DFCS	2		1 0
DPW	2		0 0
Groninger GS Reports	0		1 0 0
IRIS	C		0 0
Metrics Library	C		0 0
PCN/SCN	C		0 0
Pulse	3		1 0
QIMS	C		2 0
SAS	1		0 0

WWLIMS 6 4 0

	User	Acceptance	Protocol
			0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine			0
AFMS			0
Apollo/PHM			0
Assay File Database			0
CMSNext			0
DaVinci			0
DFCS			3
DPW			0
Groninger			0
GS Reports			0
IRIS			0
Metrics Library			0
PCN/SCN			0
Pulse			0
QIMS			0
SAS			0
WWLIMS			0

	User	Acceptance	Protocol	results	Validation	Plan
				0		0
Abbott Transfusion Medicine				0		0
AFMS				0		1
Apollo/PHM				0		0
Assay File Database				0		0
CMSNext				0		0
DaVinci				0		1
DFCS				1		0
DPW				0		0
Groninger				0		0
GS Reports				0		0
IRIS				0		0
Metrics Library				0		0
PCN/SCN				0		0
Pulse				0		0
QIMS				0		0
SAS				0		0
WWLIMS				0		0

# 5 Rate Metrics

# 5.1 Document Approval Rate

This is portion of documents that are approved upon review.

It is computed by counting the number of approvals devided that by the total number of reviews.

[1] 0.4343066

#### 5.2 First Pass Acceptance

this is the portion of documents that are approved on the first review conducted by SQA.

It is computed by counting the number of first pass approvals devided by the number of reviews.

[1] 0.2408759