

CV192, HW # 4

Eden Abadi
305554917

Yarin Kuper
302171525

May 2019

1 Theoretical Questions

1.1

We will show that convolution is associative:

$$(a * b) * c_{(i,j)} = \sum_{k,l} (a * b)(i - k, j - l) c(k, l) = \sum_{k,l} \sum_{k',l'} a(i - k - k', j - l - l') b(k', l') c(k, l)$$

We define $k^* = k + k'$ and $l^* = l + l'$ and get:

$$\sum_{k^*,l^*} \sum_{k,l} a(i - k^*, j - l^*) b(k^* - k, l^* - l) c(k, l) = \sum_{k^*,l^*} a(i - k^*, j - l^*) (b * c)(k^*, l^*) = a * (b * c)_{(i,j)}$$

1.2

We will show that $h * \delta = \delta * h = h$.

$$h * \delta = \sum_{k,l} h(i - k, j - l) \delta(k, l) = h(i - 0, j - 0) \cdot 1 = h(i, j)$$

$$\delta * h = \sum_{k,l} \delta(i - k, j - l) h(k, l) = h(k, l) \stackrel{*}{=} h(i, j)$$

* For $i=k$ and $j=l$.

1.3

At first we will define $h_{flipped}$ for the convenience of performing convolution:

$$h_{flipped} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{1,1} & h_{1,2} & h_{1,3} \\ h_{2,1} & h_{2,2} & h_{2,3} \\ h_{3,1} & h_{3,2} & h_{3,3} \end{bmatrix}$$

The values of H are determined by h, we define the relative position as follows :

$$H_{i,j} = \begin{cases} h_{1,1}, & j = i - 1 - N \wedge \text{imod}N - 1 \geq 0 \\ h_{1,2}, & j = i - N \\ h_{1,3}, & j = i + 1 - N \wedge \text{imod}N + 1 < N \\ h_{2,1}, & j = i - 1 \wedge \text{imod}N - 1 \geq 0 \\ h_{2,2}, & j = i \\ h_{2,3}, & j = i + 1 \wedge \text{imod}N + 1 < N \\ h_{3,1}, & j = i - 1 + N \wedge \text{imod}N - 1 \geq 0 \\ h_{3,2}, & j = i + N \\ h_{3,3}, & j = i + 1 + N \wedge \text{imod}N + 1 < N \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1.4

i) In order to recover x, we need H to be invertible (not singular), so we get:

$$y = Hx \implies x = H^{-1}y$$

ii) According to the given data we know that:

$$h = h_{flipped} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, the matrix H we constructed in the previous exercise will be the Identity matrix $I = H \in M_{MN \times MN}$ so we get that H is invertible as wanted.

The recover of x will be:

$$x = H^{-1}y \Rightarrow x = Iy \Rightarrow x = y$$

1.5

The effect of convolving with:

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is moving each pixel 2 pixels to the right and 1 pixel down, we can inspect this by the 1 location in the filter (2 positions to the right and 1 position down from the center) or from the convolved image:

1.6

i) Let Y be a square orthogonal matrix, we can say that:

$$\det(Y) = \pm 1.$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} YY^T &= I \\ \det(I) &= 1 \\ \det(YY^T) &= \det(I) = 1 \\ \det(YY^T) &= \det(Y)\det(Y^T) = 1 \Rightarrow \det(Y) = \det(Y^T) \\ \det(Y) &= \pm 1 \end{aligned}$$

ii) In order to prove that Y^T is orthogonal we need to show that $Y^T(Y^T)^T = I$:

$$YY^T = I \Rightarrow Y^T = Y^{-1}$$

$$Y^T(Y^T)^T = Y^T Y = Y^{-1} Y = I$$

iii) Y, Y^T are square orthogonal matrices, $y_i^T y_i$ is the i,i entry in $Y^T Y$, therefore:

$$Y^T Y = I \Rightarrow y_i^T y_i = 1$$

iv)

$$\|y_i\|_{\ell_2} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n |y_{j,i}|^2} = \sqrt{y_i^T y_i} = \sqrt{1} = 1$$

v) $y_i^T y_j$ is equal to the (i,j) entry in $Y^T Y = I$, therefore for $i \neq j$, $y_i^T y_j = 0$. Meaning that the vectors y_i^T and y_j are orthogonal to each other and the angle between them is 90.

vi) Let G be the group for $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices, we will prove the 3 qualities of such group:

1) $I \in G$

$$II^T = I \Rightarrow I \in G$$

2) $A \in G, B \in G \Rightarrow AB \in G$

$$A, B \in G \Rightarrow (AB)(AB)^T = ABB^T A^T = AIA^T = AA^T = I \Rightarrow AB \in G$$

3) $A \in G, A^{-1}$ exists and $A^{-1} \in G$

$$A \in G \Rightarrow AA^T = I \Rightarrow A^{-1} = A^T$$

A^T is orthogonal so:

$$A^T \in G \Rightarrow A^T = A^{-1} \in G$$

1.7

Let K be an $n \times n$ separable filter. We will show that K is not invertible:

$$\det(K) = \det(USV^T) = \det(U)\det(S)\det(V^T) = \det(U) \cdot 0 \cdot \det(V^T) = 0$$

A matrix with deteminate equal to zero is not invertiable.

1.8

Bilateral filtering is not a linear operation.

A linear operation is one that holds the following constraint:

$$h(f + \lambda g) = h(f) + \lambda h(g)$$

If we look at the definition of the bilateral filter:

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{x_i} f^r(|I(x) - I(x_i)|) f^d(|x - x_i|) I(x_i)$$

we can see that f^r, f^d may be defined as Gaussian, hence the functions will contain a multiplication of exponent function with squared error difference, which is obviously not linear. If we take the definition of linear operator over filters we get that the following must hold:

$$(c_1 I_1 + c_2 I_2) * h = c_1 (I_1 * h) + c_2 (I_2 * h)$$

While on the left side of the equation that exponent power will be:

$$(c_1 I_1(x) + c_2 I_2(x) - c_1 I_1(x_i) + c_2 I_2(x_i))^2$$

And on the right side we will have:

$$(c_1 I_1(x) - c_1 I_1(x_i))^2 + (c_2 I_2(x) - c_2 I_2(x_i))^2$$

That you can easily see is not equal.

1.9

We will calculate the Laplacian of an isotropic Gaussian:

$$G(x, y, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

At, first we will calculate the first partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial G(x, y, \sigma)}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \cdot \left(-\frac{x}{\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial G(x, y, \sigma)}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \cdot \left(-\frac{y}{\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

The second derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 G(x, y, \sigma)}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \cdot \left(-\frac{x}{\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \cdot \left(-\frac{x}{\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \left(\exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \left(-\frac{x}{\sigma^2}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \cdot \left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 G(x, y, \sigma)}{\partial y^2} &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \cdot \left(-\frac{y}{\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \cdot \left(-\frac{y}{\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \left(\exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \left(-\frac{y}{\sigma^2}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \cdot \left(\frac{y^2}{\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Finally we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 G(x, y, \sigma) &= \frac{\partial^2 G(x, y, \sigma)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 G(x, y, \sigma)}{\partial y^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \cdot \left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{\sigma^4} - \frac{2}{\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) = \left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{\sigma^4} - \frac{2}{\sigma^2}\right) \cdot G(x, y, \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

As requested.

1.10

Given that:

$$x' = x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta,$$

$$y' = x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta$$

We will show that:

$$\nabla^2 I(x, y) = \nabla^2 I(x'(x, y), y'(x, y))$$

Or equivalently:

$$\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x'^2} + \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial y'^2}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} \frac{\partial x'}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \frac{\partial y'}{\partial x} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} \cos \theta + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \sin \theta \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cos \theta + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sin \theta \\ &= \frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} \cos \theta \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} \cos \theta + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \sin \theta \right) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \sin \theta \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} \cos \theta + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \sin \theta \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x'^2} \cos^2 \theta + 2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x' \partial y'} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right) + \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial y'^2} \sin^2 \theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial y^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} \frac{\partial x'}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \frac{\partial y'}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} (-\sin \theta) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \cos \theta \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (-\sin \theta) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \cos \theta \\ &= \frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} (-\sin \theta) \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} (-\sin \theta) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \cos \theta \right) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \cos \theta \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial x'} (-\sin \theta) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y'} \cos \theta \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial y'^2} \sin^2 \theta - 2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x' \partial y'} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right) + \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x'^2} \cos^2 \theta \end{aligned}$$

Combining our solutions we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial y^2} &= \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x'^2} \cos^2 \theta + 2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x' \partial y'} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right) + \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial y'^2} \sin^2 \theta + \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial y'^2} \sin^2 \theta - 2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x' \partial y'} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right) + \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x'^2} \cos^2 \theta \\ &= (\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta) \left(\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x'^2} + \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial y'^2} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x'^2} + \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial y'^2} \end{aligned}$$

1.11

We will show that:

$$\text{GL}(n) = \{Q | Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \det Q \neq 0\}$$

is a matrix group, In order to do so we will prove the required conditions:

G1:

$$\det(I_{n \times n}) = 1 \neq 0, I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Rightarrow I \in \text{GL}(n)$$

G2: Let $A, B \in \text{GL}(n)$

$$\Rightarrow \det(A) \neq 0 \neq \det(B) \Rightarrow \det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B) \neq 0$$

In addition $AB \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Rightarrow AB \in \text{GL}(n)$

G3: Let $A \in \text{GL}(n)$

$$\det(A) \neq 0 \Rightarrow A^{-1} \text{ exist}$$

Since $A^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\det(A^{-1}) \neq 0$, $A^{-1} \in \text{GL}(n)$.

1.12

We will show that $\text{GL}_+(n) = \{Q | Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \det Q > 0\}$ is a matrix group.

G1:

$$I_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \det(I_{n \times n}) = 1 \Rightarrow I_{n \times n} \in \text{GL}_+(n)$$

G2: Let $A, B \in \text{GL}_+(n)$

$$\Rightarrow A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Rightarrow AB \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

and

$$\det(A) > 0, \det(B) > 0 \Rightarrow \det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B) > 0 \Rightarrow AB \in \text{GL}_+(n)$$

G3: Let $A \in \text{GL}_+(n)$, $\det(A) > 0$ so A^{-1} exist.

$$A^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)} > 0 \Rightarrow A^{-1} \in \text{GL}_+$$

1.13

We will show that $G = \{Q | Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \det Q < 0\}$ is not a matrix group.

Let $A, B \in G$:

$$\det(A) < 0, \det(B) < 0 \Rightarrow \det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B) > 0 \Rightarrow AB \notin G$$

Since the G2 condition doesn't hold, G is not a matrix group.

1.14

Let $A, B \in R_{n \times n}$ symmetric matrices. We will show that usually $AB \neq (AB)^T$

$$(AB)^T = B^T A^T = BA$$

Hence, AB has to be symmetric as well, which is usually not true.

1.15

$$US(n) = \{Q | Q = SI_{n \times n}, S \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$$

We will prove that US is a matrix group:

G1: We choose $S = 1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, therefore we get:

$$Q = SI_{n \times n} = I_{n \times n}, \det(Q) = \det(I_{n \times n}) = 1 \Rightarrow I_{n \times n} \in US(n)$$

G2: Let $A, B \in US(n)$ Hence the definition of A, B will be:

$$A = S_1 I_{n \times n}, S_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

$$B = S_2 I_{n \times n}, S_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

Therefore the definition of AB will be:

$$AB = S_1 I_{n \times n} S_2 I_{n \times n} = S_1 S_2 I_{n \times n} I_{n \times n} = S_1 S_2 I_{n \times n}$$

Where $S_1 S_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \Rightarrow AB \in US(n)$

G3: Let $A \in US(n)$, therefore:

$$A = SI_{n \times n}, S \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

It is easy to see that $\det(A) = \det(SI) = S^n \neq 0$, hence A^{-1} exist.
Moreover:

$$A^{-1} = (SI_{n \times n})^{-1} = \frac{1}{S}(I_{n \times n})^{-1} = \frac{1}{S}I_{n \times n}$$

Since $S \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{S} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \Rightarrow A^{-1} \in US(n)$.