

## Appendix E

# The Bochner Integral

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space, let  $E$  be a real or complex Banach space (that is, a Banach space over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ), and let  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $E$  (that is, let  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $E$  generated by the open subsets of  $E$ ). We will sometimes denote the norm on  $E$  by  $|\cdot|$ , rather than by the more customary  $\|\cdot\|$ . This will allow us to use  $\|\cdot\|$  for the norm of elements of certain spaces of  $E$ -valued functions; see, for example, formula (7) below. A function  $f: X \rightarrow E$  is *Borel measurable* if it is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ , and is *strongly measurable* if it is Borel measurable and has a separable range (here by the range of  $f$  we mean the subset  $f(X)$  of  $E$ ). The function  $f$  is *simple* if it has only finitely many values. Of course, a simple function is strongly measurable if and only if it is Borel measurable.

It is easy to see that if  $f$  is Borel measurable, then  $x \mapsto |f(x)|$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable (use Lemma 7.2.1 and Proposition 2.6.1).

Note that if  $E$  is separable, then every  $E$ -valued Borel measurable function is strongly measurable. On the other hand, if  $E$  is not separable and if  $(X, \mathcal{A}) = (E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ , then the identity map from  $X$  to  $E$  is Borel measurable, but is not strongly measurable.

**E.1. (Proposition)** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space, and let  $E$  be a real or complex Banach space. Then*

- (a) *the collection of Borel measurable functions from  $X$  to  $E$  is closed under the formation of pointwise limits, and*
- (b) *the collection of strongly measurable functions from  $X$  to  $E$  is closed under the formation of pointwise limits.*

*Proof.* Part (a) is a special case of Proposition 8.1.10, and so we can turn to part (b).

Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of strongly measurable functions from  $X$  to  $E$ , and suppose that  $\{f_n\}$  converges pointwise to  $f$ . It follows from the separability of the sets  $f_n(X)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , that  $\cup_n f_n(X)$  is separable, that the closure of  $\cup_n f_n(X)$  is separable, and finally that  $f(X)$  is separable (see D.33). Since  $f$  is Borel measurable (part (a)), the proof is complete.  $\square$

**E.2. (Proposition)** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space, let  $E$  be a real or complex Banach space, and let  $f: X \rightarrow E$  be strongly measurable. Then there is a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of strongly measurable simple functions such that*

$$f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$$

and

$$|f_n(x)| \leq |f(x)|, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

hold at each  $x$  in  $X$ .

*Proof.* We can certainly assume that  $f(X)$  contains at least one nonzero element of  $E$ . Let  $C$  be a countable dense subset of  $f(X)$ , let  $C^\sim$  be the set of rational multiples of elements of  $C$ , and let  $\{y_n\}$  be an enumeration of  $C^\sim$ . We can assume that  $y_1 = 0$ . It is easy to check (do so) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for each } y \text{ in } f(X) \text{ and each positive number } \varepsilon \text{ there is a term} \\ &y_m \text{ of } \{y_n\} \text{ that satisfies } |y_m| \leq |y| \text{ and } |y_m - y| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

For each  $x$  in  $X$  and each positive integer  $n$  define a subset  $A_n(x)$  of  $E$  by

$$A_n(x) = \{y_j : j \leq n \text{ and } |y_j| \leq |f(x)|\}.$$

Since  $y_1 = 0$ , each  $A_n(x)$  is nonempty.

We now construct the required sequence  $\{f_n\}$  by letting  $f_n(x)$  be the element of  $A_n(x)$  that lies closest to  $f(x)$  (in case

$$|f(x) - y_j| = \inf \{|f(x) - y_i| : y_i \in A_n(x)\} \quad (2)$$

holds for several elements  $y_j$  of  $A_n(x)$ , let  $f_n(x)$  be  $y_{j_0}$ , where  $j_0$  is the smallest value of  $j$  for which  $y_j$  belongs to  $A_n(x)$  and satisfies (2)). It is clear that each  $f_n$  is a simple function and that  $|f_n(x)| \leq |f(x)|$  holds for each  $n$  and  $x$ . Since the sets  $\{x \in X : f_n(x) = y_j\}$  can be described by means of inequalities involving  $|f(x)|$ ,  $|y_i|$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $|f(x) - y_i|$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , each  $f_n$  is strongly measurable. Finally, observation (1) implies that  $\{f_n\}$  converges pointwise to  $f$  (if  $y_m$  satisfies the inequalities  $|y_m| \leq |f(x)|$  and  $|y_m - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ , then  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  holds whenever  $n \geq m$ ).  $\square$

Let us note two consequences of Propositions E.1 and E.2. The first is immediate: a function from  $X$  to  $E$  is strongly measurable if and only if it is the pointwise limit of a sequence of Borel (or strongly) measurable simple functions. The second is given by the following corollary (see, however, Exercise 2).

**E.3. (Corollary)** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space, and let  $E$  be a real or complex Banach space. Then the set of all strongly measurable functions from  $X$  to  $E$  is a vector space.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are strongly measurable and that  $a$  and  $b$  are real (or complex) numbers. Choose sequences  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{g_n\}$  of strongly measurable simple functions that converge pointwise to  $f$  and  $g$  respectively (Proposition E.2). Since  $\{af_n + bg_n\}$  converges pointwise to  $af + bg$ , and since each  $af_n + bg_n$  is strongly measurable (it is simple and each of its values is attained on a measurable set), Proposition E.1 implies that  $af + bg$  is strongly measurable.  $\square$

We turn to the integration of functions with values in a Banach space. Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and let  $E$  be a real or complex Banach space. A function  $f: X \rightarrow E$  is *integrable* (or *strongly integrable*, or *Bochner integrable*) if it is strongly measurable and the function  $x \mapsto |f(x)|$  is integrable.<sup>1</sup>

The integral of such functions is defined as follows. First suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow E$  is simple and integrable. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be the nonzero values of  $f$ , and suppose that these values are attained on the sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ . Then Proposition 2.3.10, applied to the real-valued function  $x \mapsto |f(x)|$ , implies that each  $A_i$  has finite measure under  $\mu$ . Thus the expression  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu(A_i)$  makes sense; we define the *integral* of  $f$ , written  $\int f d\mu$ , to be this sum. It is easy to see that

$$\left| \int f d\mu \right| \leq \int |f| d\mu. \quad (3)$$

It is also easy to see that if  $f$  and  $g$  are simple integrable functions and  $a$  and  $b$  are real (or complex) numbers, then  $af + bg$  is a simple integrable function, and

$$\int (af + bg) d\mu = a \int f d\mu + b \int g d\mu. \quad (4)$$

Now suppose that  $f$  is an arbitrary integrable function. Choose a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of simple integrable functions such that  $f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$  holds at each  $x$  in  $X$  and such that the function  $x \mapsto \sup_n |f_n(x)|$  is integrable (see Proposition E.2). The dominated convergence theorem for real-valued functions (Theorem 2.4.5) implies that  $\lim_n \int |f_n - f| d\mu = 0$ , and hence that  $\lim_{m,n} \int |f_m - f_n| d\mu = 0$ . Thus (see (3) and (4))  $\{\int f_n d\mu\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E$ , and so is convergent. The *integral* (or *Bochner integral*) of  $f$ , written  $\int f d\mu$ , is defined to be the limit of the sequence  $\{\int f_n d\mu\}$ . (It is easy to check that the value of  $\int f d\mu$  does not depend on the choice of the sequence  $\{f_n\}$ : if  $\{g_n\}$  is another sequence having the properties required of  $\{f_n\}$ , then  $\lim_n \int |f_n - g_n| d\mu = 0$ , from which it follows that  $\lim_n \int (f_n - g_n) d\mu = 0$  and hence that  $\lim_n \int f_n d\mu = \lim_n \int g_n d\mu$ .)

Let us note a few basic properties of the Bochner integral.

**E.4. (Proposition)** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and let  $E$  be a real or complex Banach space. Suppose that  $f, g: X \rightarrow E$  are integrable and that  $a$  and  $b$  are real (or complex) numbers. Then  $af + bg$  is integrable, and*

<sup>1</sup>See Exercise 4 for an indication of another standard definition of Bochner integrability.

$$\int (af + bg) d\mu = a \int f d\mu + b \int g d\mu. \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* The integrability of  $af + bg$  follows from Corollary E.3 and the inequality  $|(af + bg)(x)| \leq |a||f(x)| + |b||g(x)|$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{g_n\}$  be sequences of simple integrable functions that converge pointwise to  $f$  and  $g$  respectively and are such that  $x \mapsto \sup_n |f_n(x)|$  and  $x \mapsto \sup_n |g_n(x)|$  are integrable. Then the functions  $af_n + bg_n$  are simple and integrable, and they satisfy

$$\int (af_n + bg_n) d\mu = a \int f_n d\mu + b \int g_n d\mu \quad (6)$$

(see (4)). Furthermore  $x \mapsto \sup_n |(af_n + bg_n)(x)|$  is integrable, and so according to the definition of the integral, we can take limits in (6), obtaining (5).  $\square$

**E.5. (Proposition)** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and let  $E$  be a real or complex Banach space. If  $f: X \rightarrow E$  is integrable, then  $|\int f d\mu| \leq \int |f| d\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be an integrable function, and let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of simple integrable functions such that  $\sup_n |f_n(x)| \leq |f(x)|$  and  $f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$  hold at each  $x$  in  $X$  (Proposition E.2). Then

$$\left| \int f_n d\mu \right| \leq \int |f_n| d\mu \leq \int |f| d\mu$$

(see (3)); since  $\int f d\mu = \lim_n \int f_n d\mu$ , the proposition follows.  $\square$

The dominated convergence theorem can be formulated as follows for  $E$ -valued functions.

**E.6. (Theorem)** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space, let  $E$  be a real or complex Banach space, and let  $g$  be a  $[0, +\infty]$ -valued integrable function on  $X$ . Suppose that  $f$  and  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  are strongly measurable  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$  such that the relations*

$$f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$$

*and*

$$|f_n(x)| \leq g(x), \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

*hold at almost every  $x$  in  $X$ . Then  $f$  and  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  are integrable, and  $\int f d\mu = \lim_n \int f_n d\mu$ .*

*Proof.* The integrability of  $f$  and  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  is immediate. Since  $|f_n - f| \leq 2g$  holds almost everywhere, the dominated convergence theorem for real-valued functions (Theorem 2.4.5) implies that  $\lim_n \int |f_n - f| d\mu = 0$ . In view of Propositions E.4 and E.5, this implies that  $\int f d\mu = \lim_n \int f_n d\mu$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$  be the set of all  $E$ -valued integrable functions on  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$  is a vector space (see Proposition E.4). It is easy to check that the

collection  $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$  of equivalence classes (under almost everywhere equality) of elements of  $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$  can be made into a vector space in the natural way, and that the formula

$$\|f\|_1 = \int |f| d\mu \quad (7)$$

induces a norm on  $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$  (and, of course, a seminorm on  $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$ ). The proof of Theorem 3.4.1 can be modified so as to show that  $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$  is complete under  $\|\cdot\|_1$ .

One often finds it useful to be able to deal with vector-valued functions in terms of real- (or complex-) valued functions. For this we need to recall the Hahn–Banach theorem.

**E.7. (Hahn–Banach Theorem)** *Let  $E$  be a real or complex normed linear space, let  $F$  be a linear subspace of  $E$ , and let  $\varphi_0$  be a continuous linear functional on  $F$ . Then there is a continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $E$  such that  $\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi_0\|$  and such that  $\varphi_0$  is the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $F$ . In other words,  $\varphi_0$  can be extended to a continuous linear functional on all of  $E$  without increasing its norm.*

A proof of the Hahn–Banach theorem can be found in almost any basic text on functional analysis (see, for example, Conway [31], Kolmogorov and Fomin [73], Royden [102], or Simmons [109]).

We also need the following consequence of the Hahn–Banach theorem.

**E.8. (Corollary)** *Let  $E$  be a real or complex normed linear space that does not consist of 0 alone. Then for each  $y$  in  $E$  there is a continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $E$  such that  $\|\varphi\| = 1$  and  $\varphi(y) = \|y\|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y$  be a nonzero element of  $E$ , let  $F$  be the subspace of  $E$  consisting of all scalar multiples of  $y$ , and let  $\varphi_0$  be the linear functional on  $F$  defined by  $\varphi_0(ty) = t\|y\|$ . Then  $\varphi_0$  satisfies  $\|\varphi_0\| = 1$  and  $\varphi_0(y) = \|y\|$ , and we can produce the required functional  $\varphi$  by applying Theorem E.7 to  $\varphi_0$ . (In case  $y = 0$ , let  $\varphi$  be an arbitrary linear functional on  $E$  that satisfies  $\|\varphi\| = 1$ .)  $\square$

Let us now apply Theorem E.7 and Corollary E.8 to the study of vector-valued functions.

**E.9. (Theorem)** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space, and let  $E$  be a real or complex Banach space. A function  $f: X \rightarrow E$  is strongly measurable if and only if*

- (a) *the image  $f(X)$  of  $X$  under  $f$  is separable, and*
- (b) *for each  $\varphi$  in  $E^*$  the function  $\varphi \circ f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable.*

We will use the following lemma in our proof of Theorem E.9.

**E.10. (Lemma)** *Let  $E$  be a separable normed linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}$  of elements of  $E^*$  such that*

$$\|y\| = \sup\{|\varphi_n(y)| : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \quad (8)$$

*holds for each  $y$  in  $E$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume that  $E$  does not consist of 0 alone. Choose a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  whose terms form a dense subset of  $E$ . According to Corollary E.8, we can choose, for each  $n$ , an element  $\varphi_n$  of  $E^*$  that satisfies  $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$  and  $\varphi_n(y_n) = \|y_n\|$ . Let us check that the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}$  meets the requirements of the lemma. Since each  $\varphi_n$  satisfies  $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$ , it follows that

$$\sup\{|\varphi_n(y)| : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \leq \|y\|$$

holds for each  $y$  in  $E$ . For an arbitrary  $y$  in  $E$  we can find terms in the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  that lie arbitrarily close to  $y$ , and so the calculations

$$\varphi_n(y) = \varphi_n(y - y_n) + \varphi_n(y_n) = \varphi_n(y - y_n) + \|y_n\|$$

and  $|\varphi_n(y - y_n)| \leq \|\varphi_n\| \|y - y_n\| = \|y - y_n\|$  imply that

$$\|y\| = \sup\{|\varphi_n(y)| : n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Relation (8) follows. □

*Proof of Theorem E.9.* Let us assume that we are dealing with Banach spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ ; the case of Banach spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$  is similar.

If  $f$  is strongly measurable, then (a) is immediate and (b) follows from Lemma 7.2.1 and Proposition 2.6.1.

Now suppose that  $f$  satisfies (a) and (b). In view of (a), it suffices to show that  $f$  is Borel measurable. Let  $E_0$  be the smallest closed linear subspace of  $E$  that includes  $f(X)$ . Then  $E_0$  is separable (if  $C$  is a countable dense subset of  $f(X)$ , then  $E_0$  is the closure of the set of finite sums of rational multiples of elements of  $C$ ). We can show that  $f$  is Borel measurable (that is, measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ ) by showing that it is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(E_0)$  (Lemma 7.2.2).

Let  $\{\varphi_n\}$  be a sequence in  $(E_0)^*$  such that

$$\|y\| = \sup\{|\varphi_n(y)| : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \tag{9}$$

holds for each  $y$  in  $E_0$  (Lemma E.10). Since each continuous linear functional on  $E_0$  is the restriction to  $E_0$  of an element of  $E^*$  (Theorem E.7), condition (b) implies that for each  $n$  the function  $\varphi_n \circ f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable. If  $B$  is a closed ball in  $E_0$ , say with center  $y_0$  and radius  $r$ , then  $f^{-1}(B)$  is equal to

$$\bigcap_n \{x : |\varphi_n(f(x)) - \varphi_n(y_0)| \leq r\},$$

and so belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since each open ball in  $E_0$  is the union of a countable collection of closed balls, and since each open subset of  $E_0$  is the union of a countable collection of open balls (recall that  $E_0$  is separable), the collection of closed balls generates  $\mathcal{B}(E_0)$ . It now follows from Proposition 2.6.2 that  $f$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(E_0)$  and the proof is complete. □

**E.11. (Proposition)** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space, let  $E$  be a real or complex Banach space, and let  $f: X \rightarrow E$  be integrable. Then

$$\int \varphi \circ f d\mu = \varphi \left( \int f d\mu \right) \quad (10)$$

holds for each  $\varphi$  in  $E^*$ .

The reader should see Exercise 3 for a strengthened form of Proposition E.11.

*Proof.* It is easy to check (do so) that the integrability of  $\varphi \circ f$  follows from that of  $f$ . If  $f$  is a simple integrable function, attaining the nonzero values  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  on the sets  $A_1, \dots, A_k$ , then each side of (10) is equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(a_i) \mu(A_i)$ ; hence (10) holds for simple integrable functions. Next suppose that  $f$  is an arbitrary integrable function and that  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of simple integrable functions such that  $f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$  and  $\sup_n |f_n(x)| \leq |f(x)|$  hold at each  $x$  in  $X$  (Proposition E.2). Then Theorems E.6 and 2.4.5 enable us to take limits in the relation  $\int \varphi \circ f_n d\mu = \varphi(\int f_n d\mu)$ , and (10) follows for arbitrary integrable functions.  $\square$

The reader should note Exercises 5 and 7, which show some difficulties that arise in the extension of integration theory to vector-valued functions. The issues hinted at in these exercises have been the subject of much research over the years; see Diestel and Uhl [37] for a summary and for further references.

## Exercises

1. Show that a simpler proof of Proposition E.2 could be given if the  $f_n$ 's were not required to satisfy the inequality  $|f_n(x)| \leq |f(x)|$ .
2. Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a measurable space and that  $E$  is a Banach space. Show by example that the set of Borel measurable functions from  $X$  to  $E$  can fail to be a vector space. (Hint: Let  $E$  be a Banach space with cardinality greater than that of the continuum, and let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be  $(E \times E, \mathcal{B}(E) \times \mathcal{B}(E))$ . See Exercise 5.1.8.)
3. Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space, let  $E$  be a Banach space, and let  $f: X \rightarrow E$  be Bochner integrable. Show that  $\int f d\mu$  is the *only* element  $x_0$  of  $E$  that satisfies  $\varphi(x_0) = \int \varphi \circ f d\mu$  for each  $\varphi$  in  $E^*$ . (Hint: Use Corollary E.8.)
4. (This exercise hints at another, rather common, way to define strong measurability and Bochner measurability.) Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a measure space and that  $E$  is a Banach space. Let  $f: X \rightarrow E$  be a function for which there is a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of strongly measurable simple functions such that  $f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$  holds at  $\mu$ -almost every  $x$  in  $X$ .
  - (a) Show by example that  $f$  need not have a separable range.
  - (b) Show that there is a strongly measurable function  $g: X \rightarrow E$  that agrees with  $f$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere.

- (c) Show that  $x \mapsto |f(x)|$  is measurable with respect to the completion  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  under  $\mu$ .
- (d) How should  $\int f d\mu$  be defined if  $\int |f| d\bar{\mu}$  is finite? (Of course  $\bar{\mu}$  is the completion of  $\mu$ .)
5. Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space, and let  $E$  be a Banach space. An  $E$ -valued measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a function  $\nu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  such that  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$  and such that  $\nu(\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \nu(A_i)$  holds for each infinite sequence  $\{A_i\}$  of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The variation  $|\nu|: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  of the  $E$ -valued measure  $\nu$  is defined by letting  $|\nu|(A)$  be the supremum of the sums  $\sum_{i=1}^n |\nu(A_i)|$ , where  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  ranges over all finite partitions of  $A$  into  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable sets.
- (a) Show that the variation of an  $E$ -valued measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a positive measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ .
- (b) Show by example that the variation of an  $E$ -valued measure may not be finite. (Hint: Let  $X$  be  $\mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}$  be  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ , let  $E$  be  $\ell^2$ , and define  $\nu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  by letting  $\nu(A)$  be the sequence

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin A. \end{cases}$$

6. Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space, let  $E$  be a Banach space, and let  $f: X \rightarrow E$  be Bochner integrable. Define  $\nu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  by  $\nu(A) = \int \chi_A f d\mu$ .
- (a) Show that  $\nu$  is an  $E$ -valued measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ .
- (b) Show that the variation  $|\nu|$  of  $\nu$  is finite.
7. Let  $\lambda$  be Lebesgue measure on  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]))$ , and let  $E$  be the Banach space  $L^1([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), \lambda, \mathbb{R})$ . Define  $\nu: \mathcal{B}([0, 1]) \rightarrow E$  by letting  $\nu(A)$  be the element of  $E$  determined by the characteristic function  $\chi_A$  of  $A$ .
- (a) Show that  $\nu$  is an  $E$ -valued measure on  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]))$ .
- (b) Show that  $|\nu|$  is finite.
- (c) Show that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$  (in other words, show that  $\nu(A) = 0$  holds whenever  $A$  satisfies  $\lambda(A) = 0$ ).
- (d) Show that there is no Bochner integrable function  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow E$  that satisfies  $\nu(A) = \int \chi_A f d\lambda$  for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ . Thus the Radon–Nikodym theorem fails for the Bochner integral. (Hint: Use Proposition E.11.)