

- (c) Show that $x \mapsto |f(x)|$ is measurable with respect to the completion \mathcal{A}_μ of \mathcal{A} under μ .
- (d) How should $\int f d\mu$ be defined if $\int |f| d\bar{\mu}$ is finite? (Of course $\bar{\mu}$ is the completion of μ .)
5. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space, and let E be a Banach space. An E -valued measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) is a function $\nu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ such that $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$ and such that $\nu(\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \nu(A_i)$ holds for each infinite sequence $\{A_i\}$ of disjoint sets in \mathcal{A} . The variation $|\nu|: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ of the E -valued measure ν is defined by letting $|\nu|(A)$ be the supremum of the sums $\sum_{i=1}^n |\nu(A_i)|$, where $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ ranges over all finite partitions of A into \mathcal{A} -measurable sets.
- (a) Show that the variation of an E -valued measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) is a positive measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) .
- (b) Show by example that the variation of an E -valued measure may not be finite. (Hint: Let X be \mathbb{N} , let \mathcal{A} be $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, let E be ℓ^2 , and define $\nu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ by letting $\nu(A)$ be the sequence

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin A. \end{cases}$$

6. Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space, let E be a Banach space, and let $f: X \rightarrow E$ be Bochner integrable. Define $\nu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ by $\nu(A) = \int \chi_A f d\mu$.
- (a) Show that ν is an E -valued measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) .
- (b) Show that the variation $|\nu|$ of ν is finite.
7. Let λ be Lebesgue measure on $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]))$, and let E be the Banach space $L^1([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), \lambda, \mathbb{R})$. Define $\nu: \mathcal{B}([0, 1]) \rightarrow E$ by letting $\nu(A)$ be the element of E determined by the characteristic function χ_A of A .
- (a) Show that ν is an E -valued measure on $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]))$.
- (b) Show that $|\nu|$ is finite.
- (c) Show that ν is absolutely continuous with respect to λ (in other words, show that $\nu(A) = 0$ holds whenever $\lambda(A) = 0$).
- (d) Show that there is no Bochner integrable function $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ that satisfies $\nu(A) = \int \chi_A f d\lambda$ for each A in $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$. Thus the Radon–Nikodym theorem fails for the Bochner integral. (Hint: Use Proposition E.11.)

Appendix E

The Bochner Integral

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space, let E be a real or complex Banach space (that is, a Banach space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}), and let $\mathcal{B}(E)$ be the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of E (that is, let $\mathcal{B}(E)$ be the σ -algebra on E generated by the open subsets of E). We will sometimes denote the norm on E by $|\cdot|$, rather than by the more customary $\|\cdot\|$. This will allow us to use $\|\cdot\|$ for the norm of elements of certain spaces of E -valued functions; see, for example, formula (7) below. A function $f: X \rightarrow E$ is *Borel measurable* if it is measurable with respect to \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{B}(E)$, and is *strongly measurable* if it is Borel measurable and has a separable range (here by the range of f we mean the subset $f(X)$ of E). The function f is *simple* if it has only finitely many values. Of course, a simple function is strongly measurable if and only if it is Borel measurable.

It is easy to see that if f is Borel measurable, then $x \mapsto |f(x)|$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable (use Lemma 7.2.1 and Proposition 2.6.1).

Note that if E is separable, then every E -valued Borel measurable function is strongly measurable. On the other hand, if E is not separable and if $(X, \mathcal{A}) = (E, \mathcal{B}(E))$, then the identity map from X to E is Borel measurable, but is not strongly measurable.

E.1. (Proposition) *Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space, and let E be a real or complex Banach space. Then*

- (a) *the collection of Borel measurable functions from X to E is closed under the formation of pointwise limits, and*
- (b) *the collection of strongly measurable functions from X to E is closed under the formation of pointwise limits.*

Proof. Part (a) is a special case of Proposition 8.1.10, and so we can turn to part (b).

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of strongly measurable functions from X to E , and suppose that $\{f_n\}$ converges pointwise to f . It follows from the separability of the sets $f_n(X)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, that $\cup_n f_n(X)$ is separable, that the closure of $\cup_n f_n(X)$ is separable, and finally that $f(X)$ is separable (see D.33). Since f is Borel measurable (part (a)), the proof is complete. \square

E.2. (Proposition) *Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space, let E be a real or complex Banach space, and let $f: X \rightarrow E$ be strongly measurable. Then there is a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of strongly measurable simple functions such that*

$$f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$$

and

$$|f_n(x)| \leq |f(x)|, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

hold at each x in X .

Proof. We can certainly assume that $f(X)$ contains at least one nonzero element of E . Let C be a countable dense subset of $f(X)$, let C^\sim be the set of rational multiples of elements of C , and let $\{y_n\}$ be an enumeration of C^\sim . We can assume that $y_1 = 0$. It is easy to check (do so) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for each } y \text{ in } f(X) \text{ and each positive number } \varepsilon \text{ there is a term} \\ &y_m \text{ of } \{y_n\} \text{ that satisfies } |y_m| \leq |y| \text{ and } |y_m - y| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

For each x in X and each positive integer n define a subset $A_n(x)$ of E by

$$A_n(x) = \{y_j : j \leq n \text{ and } |y_j| \leq |f(x)|\}.$$

Since $y_1 = 0$, each $A_n(x)$ is nonempty.

We now construct the required sequence $\{f_n\}$ by letting $f_n(x)$ be the element of $A_n(x)$ that lies closest to $f(x)$ (in case

$$|f(x) - y_j| = \inf \{|f(x) - y_i| : y_i \in A_n(x)\} \quad (2)$$

holds for several elements y_j of $A_n(x)$, let $f_n(x)$ be y_{j_0} , where j_0 is the smallest value of j for which y_j belongs to $A_n(x)$ and satisfies (2)). It is clear that each f_n is a simple function and that $|f_n(x)| \leq |f(x)|$ holds for each n and x . Since the sets $\{x \in X : f_n(x) = y_j\}$ can be described by means of inequalities involving $|f(x)|$, $|y_i|$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $|f(x) - y_i|$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, each f_n is strongly measurable. Finally, observation (1) implies that $\{f_n\}$ converges pointwise to f (if y_m satisfies the inequalities $|y_m| \leq |f(x)|$ and $|y_m - f(x)| < \varepsilon$, then $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ holds whenever $n \geq m$). \square

Let us note two consequences of Propositions E.1 and E.2. The first is immediate: a function from X to E is strongly measurable if and only if it is the pointwise limit of a sequence of Borel (or strongly) measurable simple functions. The second is given by the following corollary (see, however, Exercise 2).

E.3. (Corollary) *Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space, and let E be a real or complex Banach space. Then the set of all strongly measurable functions from X to E is a vector space.*

E.11. (Proposition) Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space, let E be a real or complex Banach space, and let $f: X \rightarrow E$ be integrable. Then

$$\int \varphi \circ f d\mu = \varphi \left(\int f d\mu \right) \quad (10)$$

holds for each φ in E^* .

The reader should see Exercise 3 for a strengthened form of Proposition E.11.

Proof. It is easy to check (do so) that the integrability of $\varphi \circ f$ follows from that of f . If f is a simple integrable function, attaining the nonzero values a_1, \dots, a_k on the sets A_1, \dots, A_k , then each side of (10) is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(a_i) \mu(A_i)$; hence (10) holds for simple integrable functions. Next suppose that f is an arbitrary integrable function and that $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of simple integrable functions such that $f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$ and $\sup_n |f_n(x)| \leq |f(x)|$ hold at each x in X (Proposition E.2). Then Theorems E.6 and 2.4.5 enable us to take limits in the relation $\int \varphi \circ f_n d\mu = \varphi(\int f_n d\mu)$, and (10) follows for arbitrary integrable functions. \square

The reader should note Exercises 5 and 7, which show some difficulties that arise in the extension of integration theory to vector-valued functions. The issues hinted at in these exercises have been the subject of much research over the years; see Diestel and Uhl [37] for a summary and for further references.

Exercises

1. Show that a simpler proof of Proposition E.2 could be given if the f_n 's were not required to satisfy the inequality $|f_n(x)| \leq |f(x)|$.
2. Suppose that (X, \mathcal{A}) is a measurable space and that E is a Banach space. Show by example that the set of Borel measurable functions from X to E can fail to be a vector space. (Hint: Let E be a Banach space with cardinality greater than that of the continuum, and let (X, \mathcal{A}) be $(E \times E, \mathcal{B}(E) \times \mathcal{B}(E))$. See Exercise 5.1.8.)
3. Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space, let E be a Banach space, and let $f: X \rightarrow E$ be Bochner integrable. Show that $\int f d\mu$ is the *only* element x_0 of E that satisfies $\varphi(x_0) = \int \varphi \circ f d\mu$ for each φ in E^* . (Hint: Use Corollary E.8.)
4. (This exercise hints at another, rather common, way to define strong measurability and Bochner measurability.) Suppose that (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a measure space and that E is a Banach space. Let $f: X \rightarrow E$ be a function for which there is a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of strongly measurable simple functions such that $f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$ holds at μ -almost every x in X .
 - (a) Show by example that f need not have a separable range.
 - (b) Show that there is a strongly measurable function $g: X \rightarrow E$ that agrees with f μ -almost everywhere.

Proof. We can assume that E does not consist of 0 alone. Choose a sequence $\{y_n\}$ whose terms form a dense subset of E . According to Corollary E.8, we can choose, for each n , an element φ_n of E^* that satisfies $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$ and $\varphi_n(y_n) = \|y_n\|$. Let us check that the sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ meets the requirements of the lemma. Since each φ_n satisfies $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$, it follows that

$$\sup\{|\varphi_n(y)| : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \leq \|y\|$$

holds for each y in E . For an arbitrary y in E we can find terms in the sequence $\{y_n\}$ that lie arbitrarily close to y , and so the calculations

$$\varphi_n(y) = \varphi_n(y - y_n) + \varphi_n(y_n) = \varphi_n(y - y_n) + \|y_n\|$$

and $|\varphi_n(y - y_n)| \leq \|\varphi_n\| \|y - y_n\| = \|y - y_n\|$ imply that

$$\|y\| = \sup\{|\varphi_n(y)| : n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Relation (8) follows. □

Proof of Theorem E.9. Let us assume that we are dealing with Banach spaces over \mathbb{R} ; the case of Banach spaces over \mathbb{C} is similar.

If f is strongly measurable, then (a) is immediate and (b) follows from Lemma 7.2.1 and Proposition 2.6.1.

Now suppose that f satisfies (a) and (b). In view of (a), it suffices to show that f is Borel measurable. Let E_0 be the smallest closed linear subspace of E that includes $f(X)$. Then E_0 is separable (if C is a countable dense subset of $f(X)$, then E_0 is the closure of the set of finite sums of rational multiples of elements of C). We can show that f is Borel measurable (that is, measurable with respect to \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{B}(E)$) by showing that it is measurable with respect to \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{B}(E_0)$ (Lemma 7.2.2).

Let $\{\varphi_n\}$ be a sequence in $(E_0)^*$ such that

$$\|y\| = \sup\{|\varphi_n(y)| : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \tag{9}$$

holds for each y in E_0 (Lemma E.10). Since each continuous linear functional on E_0 is the restriction to E_0 of an element of E^* (Theorem E.7), condition (b) implies that for each n the function $\varphi_n \circ f$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable. If B is a closed ball in E_0 , say with center y_0 and radius r , then $f^{-1}(B)$ is equal to

$$\bigcap_n \{x : |\varphi_n(f(x)) - \varphi_n(y_0)| \leq r\},$$

and so belongs to \mathcal{A} . Since each open ball in E_0 is the union of a countable collection of closed balls, and since each open subset of E_0 is the union of a countable collection of open balls (recall that E_0 is separable), the collection of closed balls generates $\mathcal{B}(E_0)$. It now follows from Proposition 2.6.2 that f is measurable with respect to \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{B}(E_0)$ and the proof is complete. □

Proof. Suppose that f and g are strongly measurable and that a and b are real (or complex) numbers. Choose sequences $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$ of strongly measurable simple functions that converge pointwise to f and g respectively (Proposition E.2). Since $\{af_n + bg_n\}$ converges pointwise to $af + bg$, and since each $af_n + bg_n$ is strongly measurable (it is simple and each of its values is attained on a measurable set), Proposition E.1 implies that $af + bg$ is strongly measurable. \square

We turn to the integration of functions with values in a Banach space. Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space, and let E be a real or complex Banach space. A function $f: X \rightarrow E$ is *integrable* (or *strongly integrable*, or *Bochner integrable*) if it is strongly measurable and the function $x \mapsto |f(x)|$ is integrable.¹

The integral of such functions is defined as follows. First suppose that $f: X \rightarrow E$ is simple and integrable. Let a_1, \dots, a_n be the nonzero values of f , and suppose that these values are attained on the sets A_1, \dots, A_n . Then Proposition 2.3.10, applied to the real-valued function $x \mapsto |f(x)|$, implies that each A_i has finite measure under μ . Thus the expression $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu(A_i)$ makes sense; we define the *integral* of f , written $\int f d\mu$, to be this sum. It is easy to see that

$$\left| \int f d\mu \right| \leq \int |f| d\mu. \quad (3)$$

It is also easy to see that if f and g are simple integrable functions and a and b are real (or complex) numbers, then $af + bg$ is a simple integrable function, and

$$\int (af + bg) d\mu = a \int f d\mu + b \int g d\mu. \quad (4)$$

Now suppose that f is an arbitrary integrable function. Choose a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of simple integrable functions such that $f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$ holds at each x in X and such that the function $x \mapsto \sup_n |f_n(x)|$ is integrable (see Proposition E.2). The dominated convergence theorem for real-valued functions (Theorem 2.4.5) implies that $\lim_n \int |f_n - f| d\mu = 0$, and hence that $\lim_{m,n} \int |f_m - f_n| d\mu = 0$. Thus (see (3) and (4)) $\{\int f_n d\mu\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in E , and so is convergent. The *integral* (or *Bochner integral*) of f , written $\int f d\mu$, is defined to be the limit of the sequence $\{\int f_n d\mu\}$. (It is easy to check that the value of $\int f d\mu$ does not depend on the choice of the sequence $\{f_n\}$: if $\{g_n\}$ is another sequence having the properties required of $\{f_n\}$, then $\lim_n \int |f_n - g_n| d\mu = 0$, from which it follows that $\lim_n \int (f_n - g_n) d\mu = 0$ and hence that $\lim_n \int f_n d\mu = \lim_n \int g_n d\mu$.)

Let us note a few basic properties of the Bochner integral.

E.4. (Proposition) *Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space, and let E be a real or complex Banach space. Suppose that $f, g: X \rightarrow E$ are integrable and that a and b are real (or complex) numbers. Then $af + bg$ is integrable, and*

¹See Exercise 4 for an indication of another standard definition of Bochner integrability.

$$\int (af + bg) d\mu = a \int f d\mu + b \int g d\mu. \quad (5)$$

Proof. The integrability of $af + bg$ follows from Corollary E.3 and the inequality $|(af + bg)(x)| \leq |a||f(x)| + |b||g(x)|$. Let $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$ be sequences of simple integrable functions that converge pointwise to f and g respectively and are such that $x \mapsto \sup_n |f_n(x)|$ and $x \mapsto \sup_n |g_n(x)|$ are integrable. Then the functions $af_n + bg_n$ are simple and integrable, and they satisfy

$$\int (af_n + bg_n) d\mu = a \int f_n d\mu + b \int g_n d\mu \quad (6)$$

(see (4)). Furthermore $x \mapsto \sup_n |(af_n + bg_n)(x)|$ is integrable, and so according to the definition of the integral, we can take limits in (6), obtaining (5). \square

E.5. (Proposition) *Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space, and let E be a real or complex Banach space. If $f: X \rightarrow E$ is integrable, then $|\int f d\mu| \leq \int |f| d\mu$.*

Proof. Let f be an integrable function, and let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of simple integrable functions such that $\sup_n |f_n(x)| \leq |f(x)|$ and $f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$ hold at each x in X (Proposition E.2). Then

$$\left| \int f_n d\mu \right| \leq \int |f_n| d\mu \leq \int |f| d\mu$$

(see (3)); since $\int f d\mu = \lim_n \int f_n d\mu$, the proposition follows. \square

The dominated convergence theorem can be formulated as follows for E -valued functions.

E.6. (Theorem) *Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space, let E be a real or complex Banach space, and let g be a $[0, +\infty]$ -valued integrable function on X . Suppose that f and f_1, f_2, \dots are strongly measurable E -valued functions on X such that the relations*

$$f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$$

and

$$|f_n(x)| \leq g(x), \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

hold at almost every x in X . Then f and f_1, f_2, \dots are integrable, and $\int f d\mu = \lim_n \int f_n d\mu$.

Proof. The integrability of f and f_1, f_2, \dots is immediate. Since $|f_n - f| \leq 2g$ holds almost everywhere, the dominated convergence theorem for real-valued functions (Theorem 2.4.5) implies that $\lim_n \int |f_n - f| d\mu = 0$. In view of Propositions E.4 and E.5, this implies that $\int f d\mu = \lim_n \int f_n d\mu$. \square

Let $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$ be the set of all E -valued integrable functions on X . Then $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$ is a vector space (see Proposition E.4). It is easy to check that the

collection $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$ of equivalence classes (under almost everywhere equality) of elements of $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$ can be made into a vector space in the natural way, and that the formula

$$\|f\|_1 = \int |f| d\mu \quad (7)$$

induces a norm on $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$ (and, of course, a seminorm on $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$). The proof of Theorem 3.4.1 can be modified so as to show that $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, E)$ is complete under $\|\cdot\|_1$.

One often finds it useful to be able to deal with vector-valued functions in terms of real- (or complex-) valued functions. For this we need to recall the Hahn–Banach theorem.

E.7. (Hahn–Banach Theorem) *Let E be a real or complex normed linear space, let F be a linear subspace of E , and let φ_0 be a continuous linear functional on F . Then there is a continuous linear functional φ on E such that $\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi_0\|$ and such that φ_0 is the restriction of φ to F . In other words, φ_0 can be extended to a continuous linear functional on all of E without increasing its norm.*

A proof of the Hahn–Banach theorem can be found in almost any basic text on functional analysis (see, for example, Conway [31], Kolmogorov and Fomin [73], Royden [102], or Simmons [109]).

We also need the following consequence of the Hahn–Banach theorem.

E.8. (Corollary) *Let E be a real or complex normed linear space that does not consist of 0 alone. Then for each y in E there is a continuous linear functional φ on E such that $\|\varphi\| = 1$ and $\varphi(y) = \|y\|$.*

Proof. Let y be a nonzero element of E , let F be the subspace of E consisting of all scalar multiples of y , and let φ_0 be the linear functional on F defined by $\varphi_0(ty) = t\|y\|$. Then φ_0 satisfies $\|\varphi_0\| = 1$ and $\varphi_0(y) = \|y\|$, and we can produce the required functional φ by applying Theorem E.7 to φ_0 . (In case $y = 0$, let φ be an arbitrary linear functional on E that satisfies $\|\varphi\| = 1$.) \square

Let us now apply Theorem E.7 and Corollary E.8 to the study of vector-valued functions.

E.9. (Theorem) *Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space, and let E be a real or complex Banach space. A function $f: X \rightarrow E$ is strongly measurable if and only if*

- (a) *the image $f(X)$ of X under f is separable, and*
- (b) *for each φ in E^* the function $\varphi \circ f$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable.*

We will use the following lemma in our proof of Theorem E.9.

E.10. (Lemma) *Let E be a separable normed linear space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Then there is a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ of elements of E^* such that*

$$\|y\| = \sup\{|\varphi_n(y)| : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \quad (8)$$

holds for each y in E .