Assignment 4

Last updated: April 25, 2022

Name: Adam Bakopolus

Uniqname: abakop

Instructions

Please turn in:

- 1. A Jupyter Notebook file. This file should show all of the required work, including code, results, visualizations (if any), and necessary comments to your code. Irrelevant code and results should be deleted prior to submission.
- 2. An html file showing the preview of the Notebook. To create this file, select File -> Download as > HTML.

Before submitting, please select Kernel -> Restart & Run All.

```
In [1]: import re
    import time
    import pickle

from networkx.drawing.nx_pydot import graphviz_layout
    from networkx.algorithms import community
    from networkx.algorithms.community import modularity
    import networkx as nx

import pandas as pd
    import numpy as np

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
#import seaborn as sns
%matplotlib inline
```

Important

Please **AVOID** using community and modularity as your variable names. These are imported as preserved names for networkx submodules. Changing their representations would result in autograder failuers.

```
In [2]: # disable warnings
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
```

Part 1. Wikipedia Network with Communities

Data description

In this assignment, we are going to analyze the community structure of a network. We will use a Wikipedia based <u>Map of Science</u> (https://figshare.com/articles/A_Wikipedia_Based_Map_of_Science/11638932) network for our exploration. In this network, each node represents a Wikipedia page in a domain of science, such as natural science or social science. An edge exists between two nodes if the cosine similarity of their page contents reaches a pre-defined threshold.

```
In [3]: G = nx.read_gml('assets/MapOfScience.gml', label='id')
```

Each node in the graph contains the attributes:

- · "name:" the title of the article
- · "Class" the science domain
- "WikipediaUrl:" the Wikipedia URL

Let's look at some examples:

```
In [4]: list(G.nodes(data=True))[0:5]
Out[4]: [(0,
           {'label': '0',
            'name': 'Accounting',
            'Class': 'Applied',
            'WikipediaUrl': 'https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Accounting'}),
          (1,
           {'label': '1',
            'name': 'Aerospace engineering',
            'Class': 'Applied',
            'WikipediaUrl': 'https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aerospace engineering'}),
          (2,
           {'label': '2',
            'name': 'Agricultural engineering',
            'Class': 'Applied',
            'WikipediaUrl': 'https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Agricultural engineering'}),
         (3,
           {'label': '3',
            'name': 'Agricultural science',
            'Class': 'Applied',
            'WikipediaUrl': 'https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Agricultural science'}),
          (4,
           {'label': '4',
            'name': 'Agronomy',
            'Class': 'Applied',
            'WikipediaUrl': 'https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Agronomy'})]
```

The edges contain the cosine similarity of the text of the two articles:

Q1. (1 point, Autograded) Let's extract the largest connected component from the above graph. In the following questions, we will focus our analysis on this sub-graph. How many nodes are there in

this new network?

```
In [6]: # Extract the largest connected component of the original dataset
G = G.subgraph(max(nx.connected_components(G), key=len))
N = G.number_of_nodes() # stores the number of nodes in this graph
In [7]: #hidden tests for Question 1 are within this cell
```

Q2. (2 points, Autograded) If we think of science domains as communities, how many communities are there in the network and what are their Classes?

```
In [8]: temp_list = list(G.nodes(data=True))
    domains = []

for node in temp_list:
        domains.append(node[1]['Class'])

list_of_communities = set(domains) # set of unique community labels
    N = len(list_of_communities) # number of communities in total

In [9]: #hidden tests for Question 2 are within this cell
```

Q3. (4 points, Autograded) How many nodes does each community have?

Hint: you may want to use the Counter (https://docs.python.org/2/library/collections.html#counter-objects) object for this question.

```
In [10]: from collections import Counter
    node_list = []
    for node in temp_list:
        node_list.append(node[1]['Class'])
    dict_num_community = Counter(node_list) # a Counter object with the format {community_name: number_of_nodes}
In [11]: #hidden tests for Question 3 are within this cell
```

Part 2. Measures of Partition Quality

We discussed 5 ways to measure the quality of a partition: modularity, coverage, performance, separability, and density.

Modularity, coverage, and performance can be measured using networkx functions in the algorithms.community module. You can check all the functions provided by a module with the built-in dir() function:

```
from networkx.algorithms import community
dir(community)
>>> ...
'coverage',
'modularity',
'performance',
```

Descriptions of the three measures are provided in the source code,

- networkx.algorithms.community.modularity(G, communities, weight='weight')
- 2. networkx.algorithms.community.quality.coverage(G, partition)
 https://networkx.algorithms.community.quality.coverage.html/
- 3. networkx.algorithms.community.quality.performance(G, partition)
 (https://networkx.algorithms.community.quality.performance.h

←

For separability and density, you will implement your own functions.

Since separability and density first apply a measure to each community and then takes the average over all communities, we provide a helper function avg_measure(G, communities, measure) that computes the average value for a given measure over all communities in a graph:

Q4. (10 points, Autograded) Let's begin implementing the function to measure the separability for a single community. That is, measure the ratio of intra-community to inter-community edges.

If there are 0 inter-community edges, the function should assume that the actual number is 1, making the separability value equal to the number of intra-community edges.

Hint: G.edges(community), where community is a set of nodes, returns the edges that are incident to at least one node in community. That is, it returns the edges that have at least one endpoint in community.

```
In [13]: def separability one community(G, community):
             Calculate the separability of a community by finding the ratio of
              intra-community edges to inter-community edges.
             Args:
                 G - nx graph object; a graph to operate upon.
                 community - set; a collection of nodes that comprise a community.
              Returns:
                  result - float; the separability in a given community.
              .....
             intra edges = []
             total edges = G.edges(community)
             for edge in total edges:
                 if edge[0] in community and edge[1] in community:
                     intra edges.append(edge)
             if len(total edges) - len(intra edges) == 0:
                  result = len(intra edges)
              else:
                 result = len(intra edges)/(len(total edges) - len(intra edges))
             return result
```

In [14]: #hidden tests for Question 4 are within this cell

Now we can simply use avg_measure(G, communities, separability_one_community) to measure the separability of a partition.

Q5. (10 points, Autograded) Let's now implement the function to measure the density of a single community. That is, the fraction of intra-community edges out of all possible edges.

```
In [15]: def density one community(G, community):
             Calculate the density of a community by finding the fraction of
             intra-community edges out of all possible edges.
             Args:
                 G - nx graph object; a graph to operate upon.
                 community - set; a collection of nodes that comprise a community.
             Returns:
                 result - float; the density of a given community.
             .....
             intra edges = []
             community nodes = len(community)
             if len(community) == 1: # If the community has only one node, just return 1
                 return 1
             else:
                 total possible edges = (community nodes * (community nodes - 1))/2
                 for edge in G.edges(community):
                     if edge[0] in community and edge[1] in community:
                         intra edges.append(edge)
                 if total possible edges == 0:
                     result = len(intra edges)
                 else:
                     result = len(intra_edges)/total_possible_edges
                 return result
```

In [16]: #hidden tests for Question 5 are within this cell

Now we can simply use avg_measure(G, communities, density_one_community) to measure the density of a partition.

Q6. (5 points, Autograded) What is the modularity, coverage, performance, density, and separability of this network using the science domain as a partition?

```
In [17]: applied = []
         formal = []
         natural = []
         social = []
         for node in temp list:
             if node[1]['Class'] == 'Applied':
                 applied.append(node[0])
             elif node[1]['Class'] == 'Formal':
                 formal.append(node[0])
             elif node[1]['Class'] == 'Natural':
                 natural.append(node[0])
             else:
                 social.append(node[0])
         applied = set(applied)
         formal = set(formal)
         natural = set(natural)
         social = set(social)
         communities = [applied, formal, natural, social]
         mod = community.modularity(G, communities, weight='weight') # modularity
         cov = community.quality.coverage(G, communities) # coverage
         perf = community.quality.performance(G, communities) # performance
         den = avg measure(G, communities, density one community) # density
         sep = avg measure(G, communities, separability one community) # separability
         science domain = [mod, cov, perf, den, sep]
```

In [18]: #hidden tests for Question 6 are within this cell

Part 3. Community Detection Algorithms

Now let's apply community detection algorithms to the Wikipedia graph. For this part, we will ignore the science domains since we are trying to find communities purely based on the structure of the network.

We will begin with the Girvan-Newman algorithm and pick the partition that results in the largest modularity, as described in the lecture. Since computing this partition takes a long time, we have precomputed the communities in the notebook Girvan-Newman.ipynb (stored in the resources folder) and exported the partition to a pickle file. The pickle file is stored as assets/answer/max_mod_community. Note that you do not need to run the notebook Girvan-Newman.ipynb since we have already done it for you. We only provide it to you as a reference.

Q7. (1 point, Autograded) Load the partition from assets/answer/max_mod_community. How many communities are there in this partition?

Hint:

The partition is stored as a pickle file, which can be imported as the following example:

```
with open("my_pickle_file_name", 'rb') as f:
    my_data = pickle.load(f)
```

Q8. (5 points, Autograded) What is the modularity, coverage, performance, density, and separability of this partition?

In [22]: #hidden tests for Question 8 are within this cell

Q9. (12 points, Autograded) Find a partition of the network with the <u>label propagation algorithm</u> (https://networkx.github.io/documentation/stable/reference/algorithms/generated/networkx.algorithm and compute the number of communities in the partition and its modularity, coverage, performance, denisty, and separability. This function uses semi-synchronous updating.

```
In [23]: lp_generator = community.label_propagation.label_propagation_communities(G)
lp_partition = list(lp_generator)

num_community = len(lp_partition) # number of communities in the partition
mod = community.modularity(G, lp_partition, weight='weight') # modularity
cov = community.quality.coverage(G, lp_partition) # coverage
perf = community.quality.performance(G, lp_partition) # performance
den = avg_measure(G, lp_partition, density_one_community) # density
sep = avg_measure(G, lp_partition, separability_one_community) # separability
label_prop = [num_community, mod, cov, perf, den, sep]
```

In [24]: #hidden tests for Question 9 are within this cell

The <u>Clauset-Newman-Moore greedy modularity maximization algorithm</u>

(https://networkx.github.io/documentation/stable/reference/algorithms/generated/networkx.algorit

(https://networkx.github.io/documentation/stable/reference/algorithms/generated/networkx.algorithms.community.modularity_max.greedy_malgorithms-community-modularity-max-greedy-modularity-communities) implements an Agglomerative Hierarchical Clustering procedure to find a partition with high modularity.

Note: the function <code>greedy_modularity_communities</code> returns a list of <code>Frozensets</code>, where each <code>Frozenset</code> is simply an immutable Python set object (i.e. the elements cannot be modified).

←

Q10. (12 points, Autograded) Using Clauset-Newman-Moore greedy modularity maximization, find a partition of the network and compute the number of communities in the partition and its modularity, coverage, performance, density, and separability.

```
In [25]: gred_partition = community.greedy_modularity_communities(G)

num_community = len(gred_partition) # number of communities in the partition
mod = community.modularity(G, gred_partition, weight='weight') # modularity
cov = community.quality.coverage(G, gred_partition) # coverage
perf = community.quality.performance(G, gred_partition) # performance
den = avg_measure(G, gred_partition, density_one_community) # density
sep = avg_measure(G, gred_partition, separability_one_community) # separability

c_n_m = [num_community, mod, cov, perf, den, sep]
```

In [26]: #hidden tests for Question 10 are within this cell

Q11. (6 points, Autograded) Using <u>asynchronous Fluid Communities algorithm</u> (https://networkx.github.io/documentation/stable/reference/algorithms/generated/networkx.algorithm with parameters max_iter = 100 and seed = 233 (see Tutorial for details), find the parameter k between k = 3 and k = 40 that maximizes the modularity of the partition. Note that k represents the number of communities in the partition.

```
In [27]: partition_options = []
for k in range(3, 41):
    fluid_partition = list(community.asyn_fluidc(G, k, max_iter=100, seed=233))
    mod_testing = community.modularity(G, fluid_partition, weight='weight')
    partition_options.append((k, mod_testing))

best_k = max(partition_options, key= lambda x: x[1])[0] #optimal value of k
fluid_communities = list(community.asyn_fluidc(G, best_k, max_iter=100, seed=233)) #partition with k = best_k
In [28]: #hidden tests for Question 11 are within this cell
```

Q12. (6 points, Autograded) Using <u>asynchronous Fluid Communities algorithm</u> (https://networkx.github.io/documentation/stable/reference/algorithms/generated/networkx.algorithm with parameters max_iter = 100, seed = 233, and the value of k you found in the previous question, compute the number of communities in the partition and its modularity, coverage, performance, density, and separability.

4

```
In [29]: num_community = len(fluid_communities) # number of communities in the partition
    mod = community.modularity(G, fluid_communities, weight='weight') # modularity
    cov = community.quality.coverage(G, fluid_communities) # coverage
    perf = community.quality.performance(G, fluid_communities) # performance
    den = avg_measure(G, fluid_communities, density_one_community) # density
    sep = avg_measure(G, fluid_communities, separability_one_community) # separability
    fluid = [num_community, mod, cov, perf, den, sep]
```

In [30]: #hidden tests for Question 12 are within this cell

Q13. (6 points, Autograded) Create and print a pandas DataFrame where each row represents a community detection algorithm and each column is a quality measure, as described by the following scheme:

٠	Method name	num_community	modularity	coverage	performance	density	separability
0	Girvan–Newman						
1	Greedy modularity maximization						
2	Fluid Communities						
3	Label propogation						

```
In [31]: gn = ['Girvan-Newman', garvin newman[0], garvin newman[1], garvin newman[2], garvin newman[3], garvin newman[4],
         cnm = ['Greedy modularity maximization', c_n_m[0], c_n_m[1], c_n_m[2], c_n_m[3], c_n_m[4], c_n_m[5]]
         f = ['Fluid Communities', fluid[0], fluid[1], fluid[2], fluid[3], fluid[4], fluid[5]]
         lp = ['Label propogation', label prop[0], label prop[1], label prop[2], label prop[3], label prop[4], label prop
         tmp = [gn, cnm, f, lp]
         compare = pd.DataFrame(tmp, columns =['Method name', 'num community', 'modularity', 'coverage', 'performance',
         compare
```

Out[31]:

	Method name	num_community	modularity	coverage	performance	density	separability
0	Girvan-Newman	35	0.580687	0.815252	0.888706	0.654218	1.079485
1	Greedy modularity maximization	14	0.550356	0.805892	0.775095	0.608520	1.480856
2	Fluid Communities	11	0.590856	0.728249	0.915128	0.211423	1.374656
3	Label propogation	28	0.584188	0.808808	0.857888	0.618999	1.285533

In [32]: #hidden tests for Question 13 are within this cell

Q14. (10 points, Manually graded) Does it appear that an algorithm consistently performs better than the others across the different quality measures? Explain.

You do not need to explain your answer based on the details of the algorithms or quality measures. Do so only based on the quality scores on the dataframe in Q13.

You should not consider the number of communities to answer this question.

While no algorithm performed the best across ALL 5 quality measures, the Girvan-Nerman algorithm performed consistently better than the others, having the highest modularity, coverage, and density scores. The performance score was also second highest when using this algorithm. Therefore, this algorithm performed consistently better in this notebook's example. This top-down algorithm is very computationally expensive but does result in a partition with high quality scores.

Q15. (10 points, Manually graded) Comparing the quality of the partition based on the science domain with that of the partitions generated by the various algorithms, from a network structure perspective does the science domain appear to be a good way to partition the network into

communities? Explain.

Recall that you already computed the quality of the partition based on science domain in Q6. Be sure to use those results when answering this question.

You should not consider the number of communities to determine if a partition is "good." For this question, focus on the quality scores.

When comparing the quality of the science domain partition with the partitions generated by the various algorithms, it's clear that the science domain was not a good way to partition the network into communities. When compared to the algorithms, the modularity, coverage, performance, and density scores were the lowest, and the separability score was only better than the Girvan-Newman algorithm. With poor quality scores across the board, this partition should not be used in favor of the other algorithms.

End