


Facebook and Fake News

Consider each of the below proposals, and fill in each of the below TODOs.

Proposals

Proposal 1

Facebook relies on an algorithm as well as individual users' reports to identify content that is potentially "fake news." Once the content has been identified, it is sent to third party fact-checkers for verification. If the content is verified as fake news, it is publicly flagged with a warning that the content is disputed by fact-checkers.



Proposal 2

However, a designer at Facebook believes that there needs to be a different approach to content regulation. Their proposal is that content deemed problematic by third-party fact-checkers should be prevented from being shared on the platform altogether.

Questions

1. Which form of content regulation, Proposal 1 or Proposal 2, do you feel is better? Why?
 - a. The first one, because it allows you to see who shared this fake news, so we can know that this person is not trusted.
2. Which form of content regulation, Proposal 1 or Proposal 2, do you think best preserves or promotes the [five rights and opportunities necessary for a democratic public sphere](#)?
 - a. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Rights? Why?
 - i. The first one, because it doesn't delete the post.
 - b. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Opportunity for Expression? Why?
 - i. The first one, because it keeps the post as it is, you can like and share it too.
 - c. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Access? Why?
 - i. The first one, because it doesn't delete the post.
 - d. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Diversity? Why?
 - i. The first one, because it doesn't delete the post.
 - e. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Communicative Power? Why?
 - i. The first one, because it doesn't delete the post.