

The University of New South Wales  
School of Computer Science and Engineering

Software Engineering Workshops 3

**Peer Group Assessment Form**

1. If it is your teams judgement that the contribution of your teams members is not of equal value you are required to fill out this form indicating the contribution of each team member to the task, checkpoint, assignment undertaken.

2. The form must be submitted with the assignment you are submitting it for. Peer Group Assessment Forms submitted after the submission of an assignment will not be considered.

**Project Final Submission**

**Date Due:** 27/4/2020

**Group Number or Team Name:** Left4Dead

You have three methods of a submitting a Peer Assessment:

- (1) Your group agrees that everyone's contribution is of equal value: ***Tick the box on the signed cover sheet for the assignment***
- (2) Your group agrees on different levels of contribution for each member: ***Fill out Table A below***
- (3) Members cannot agree upon the contribution of each member: ***Fill out Table B below***

Three examples will explain the effect of peer group assessment

- (1) Group receives 10/15 for their assignment
  - Members tick box on cover sheet
  - Everyone's contribution is rated at 100%
  - Each member scores 10/15
- (2) Group receives 10/15 for their assignment
  - Members use Table A
  - Three members (A, B, C,) are rated with a contribution 100%
  - One member (D) is rated with a contribution of 50%
  - Three members (A, B, C,) score 10/15 and one member (D) scores 5/15
- (3) Group receives 10/15 for their assignment
  - Members use Table B
  - Member contributions average 100%, 75%, 50% and 20%
  - Members score (respectively) 10/15; 7.5/15; 5/15; and 2/15

Table A: Different levels of contribution agreed upon

- Instructions
- Column 1: print the Student ID
  - Column 2: print the name of each team member
  - Column 3: print their contribution (a percentage out of 100%)
  - Column 4: each team member then signs against their own name

Student ID	Name of Team Member	Contribution	Signature

## PEER GROUP ASSESSMENT (Continued)

**Table B: Different levels of contribution not agreed upon**






Instructions - Column 1, print the name of each member

- Each member then prints their own name in only one column (from 2 to 6), and beneath prints their assessment of the contribution of each member
- Complete the member declaration
- The tutor will average the assessment for each team member based on the peer assessment
- If the tutor is unclear on the grounds for the assessment, the tutor will hold a team meeting so that the situation can be resolved amicably

Student Name	Anthony Barakat	Thomas Xin	YunChuan Li	Yunyi Hu	Dawei Dong
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Average
Anthony Barakat	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Thomas Xin	70%	80%	70%	80%	80%
YunChuan Li	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yunyi Hu	70%	80%	70%	80%	80%
Dawei Dong	60%	60%	70%	80%	80%

### Member declaration:

I declare that my peer group rating shown in the table above has been explained to the other members in the presence of the full group. The rating is based solely on my assessment of each member's contribution of effort, quality of work and participation at team meetings/activities for the assignment.

Member's Student ID	z5258213	z5259937	z5223809	z5223749	z5223810
Member Name	Anthony Barakat	Thomas Xin	YunChuan Li	Yunyi Hu	Dawei Dong
Member Signature					

### Criteria

The following criteria should be used as the basis for your evaluation:

1. Attendance: Includes all meetings
2. Quality of work: This should be compared to the expectations for an individual and their tasks (its is not an ideal)
3. Cooperation: Did the individual compromise, pitch-in and work for the team or complain and work in isolation
4. Ability to meet deadlines: Were tasks done ahead of time, on time, or behind time? Were excuses legitimate?
5. Leadership or self-discipline: Was the individual able to take charge where appropriate, work independently and be creative?

### Note

If you are not satisfied by the assessment decision reached by your peers **do not sign this form.**

**In this scenario the team will be required to meet with the lecturer -in-charge, before the final exam, to resolve the issue Peer assessments not resolved before the final exam may result in a mark of 0 being awarded for the assignment**