

# Machine Learning Problemset 2

Aimee Barciauskas

12 February 2016

**7. Let the joint distribution of  $(X, Y)$  be such that  $X$  is uniform on the interval  $[0, 1]$ , and for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\eta(x) = x$ . Determine the prior probabilities  $\mathbb{P}\{Y = 0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}\{Y = 1\}$  and the class-conditional densities  $f(x|Y = 0)$  and  $f(x|Y = 1)$ . Calculate  $R^*$ ,  $R_{1-NN}$ ,  $R_{3-NN}$  (i.e., the Bayes risk and the asymptotic risk of the 1- and 3-nearest neighbor rules).**

$R^*$

$$\begin{aligned} R^* &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta(x) dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1 - \eta(x)) dx \\ &= \frac{x^2}{2} \Big|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left[ x - \frac{x^2}{2} \right] \Big|_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 = \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

$R_{1-NN}$

From in-class calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1-NN} &= \eta(x)(1 - \eta(x)) + (1 - \eta(x))\eta(x) \\ &= 2\eta(x)(1 - \eta(x)) \\ &= \int [2\eta(x)(1 - \eta(x))] dx \end{aligned}$$

Substitute  $x = \eta(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2 \int x(1 - x) dx \\ &= 2 \left[ \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{3}x^3 \right] \Big|_0^1 \\ R_{1-NN} &= \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

$R_{3-NN}$

From in-class calculation we know:

$$R_{3-NN} = \mathbb{E}[\eta(x)(1 - \eta(x))] + 4\mathbb{E}[\eta(x)^2(1 - \eta(x))^2]$$

Following similar steps from  $R_{1-NN}$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{3}{3}x^3 - \frac{8}{4}x^4 + \frac{4}{5}x^5 \Big|_0^1 \\ R_{3-NN} &= \frac{3}{10} \end{aligned}$$

8. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent random variables taking values in  $[0, 1]$ . Denote  $m = \mathbb{E}_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Prove that for any  $t$ :

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq t\right\} \leq \left(\frac{m}{t}\right)^t e^{t-m}$$

**Hint:** Use Chernoff's bounding technique. Use the fact that by convexity of  $e^{\lambda x}, e^{\lambda x} \leq xe^{\lambda} + (1-x)$

Start with the equality:

$$\mathbb{P}\{X \geq t\} = \mathbb{P}\{e^{\lambda X} \geq e^{\lambda t}\}$$

Using Chernoff, we know this probability is less than or equal to:

$$\mathbb{P}\{e^{\lambda X} \geq e^{\lambda t}\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}{e^{\lambda t}}$$

We can set  $\lambda = \log(\frac{t}{m})$  because  $t \geq m$  this will always be positive.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}{\left(\frac{t}{m}\right)^t} \\ &= \left(\frac{m}{t}\right)^t \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \end{aligned}$$

Using the hint  $e^{\lambda x}, e^{\lambda x} \leq xe^{\lambda} + (1-x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left(\frac{m}{t}\right)^t \mathbb{E}[Xe^{\lambda} + 1 - X] \\ &= \left(\frac{m}{t}\right)^t [me^{\lambda} + 1 - m] \end{aligned}$$

Substitute lambda:

$$= \left(\frac{m}{t}\right)^t [t - m + 1]$$

The second term is always less than  $e^{t-m}$ :

$$\leq \left(\frac{m}{t}\right)^t e^{t-m}$$

9. Let  $R_{k-NN}$  denote the asymptotic risk of the k-nearest neighbor classifier, where  $k$  is an odd positive integer. Use the expression of  $R_{k-NN}$  found in class to show that:

$$R_{k-NN} - R^* \leq \sup_{p \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]} (1-2p) \mathbb{P}\{\text{Bin}(k, p) > k/2\}$$

Part 1:

$$R_{k-NN} = \mathbb{E}[\min(\eta(x), 1 - \eta(x))] + \mathbb{E}[|2\eta(x) - 1| \mathbb{P}\{Bin(k, \min(\eta(x), 1 - \eta(x))) > \frac{k}{2} | X\}]$$

$$p = \min(\eta(x), 1 - \eta(x)) = \frac{1 - |2\eta(x) - 1|}{2}$$

$$1 - 2p = |2\eta(x) - 1|$$

$$R_{k-NN} = \mathbb{E}[\min(\eta(x), 1 - \eta(x))] + \mathbb{E}[(1 - 2p) \mathbb{P}\{Bin(k, p) > \frac{k}{2} | X\}]$$

The first term is  $R^*$ . The second term can be upper bounded by the supremum  $p \in [0, 1/2]$ :

$$R_{k-NN} - R^* \leq \sup_{p \in [0, 1/2]} (1 - 2p) \mathbb{P}\{Bin(k, p) > \frac{k}{2}\}$$

Part 2:

$$R_{k-NN} - R^* \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{ke}}$$

For simplicity, define  $B = Bin(k, p)$ , and  $p = \min(\eta, 1 - \eta)$  and we can reduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{Bin(k, \min(\eta, 1 - \eta)) > \frac{k}{2}\} &= \mathbb{P}\{B > \frac{k}{2}\} \\ \mathbb{P}\{B > \frac{k}{2}\} &= \mathbb{P}\{B - kp > k(\frac{1}{2} - p)\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\frac{B - kp}{k} > \frac{1}{2} - p\} \end{aligned}$$

Using Hoeffding's inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\{\frac{B - kp}{k} > \frac{1}{2} - p\} \leq e^{-2k(\frac{1}{2} - p)^2}$$

Substituting  $u = 1 - 2p$ :

$$\sup_{p \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]} (1 - 2p) \mathbb{P}\{Bin(k, p) > k/2\} \leq \sup_{0 \leq u \leq 1} u e^{-ku^2/2}$$

differentiating with respect to  $u$  and setting equal to 0, you find the supremum when  $u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ . Substituting this value for  $u$ :

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{ke}}$$

$$R_{k-NN} - R^* \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{ke}}$$

**10. (RADEMACHER AVERAGES)** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a bounded subset of  $R_n$ . Define the Rademacher Average:

$$R_n(A) = \mathbb{E} \sup_{a \in A} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i a_i \right|$$

where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  are independent random variables with  $\mathbb{P}\{\sigma_i = 1\} = \mathbb{P}\{\sigma_i = -1\} = \frac{1}{2}$ . Prove the following “structural” results:

*Part 1:*

**Prove:**

$$R_n(A \cup B) \leq R_n(A) + R_n(B)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(A \cup B) &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{v \in A \cup B} \frac{1}{n} |\sigma_i v_i| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{a \in A} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i a_i \right| + \mathbb{E} \sup_{b \in B} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i b_i \right| \\ &= R_n(A) + R_n(B) \end{aligned}$$

*Part 2:*

**Prove:**

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(c * A) &= |c| R_n A \\ R_n(*A) &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{a \in c * A} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i c a_i \right| \\ &= |c| \mathbb{E} \sup_{a \in *A} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i a_i \right| \\ &= |c| R_n A \end{aligned}$$

*Part 3:*

**Prove**

$$R_n(A \oplus B) = R_n(A) + R_n(B)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(A \oplus B) &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{v \in A \oplus B} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i v_i \right| \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{a \in A, b \in B} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i (a_i + b_i) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{a \in A} \frac{1}{n} |\sigma_i a_i| + \mathbb{E} \sup_{b \in B} \frac{1}{n} |\sigma_i b_i|$$

$$= R_n(A) + R_n(B)$$

Part 4:

**Prove:** If  $\text{absconv}(A) = \{\sum_{j=1}^N c_j a^j : N \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j| \leq 1, a^j \in A\}$  is the absolute convex hull of  $A$ , then:

The absolute convex hull of  $A$  is the union of all sets:

$$c_1 A_1 + \dots + c_N A_N = \{c_1 a_1 + \dots + c_N a_N : a_1, \dots, a_N \in A\}$$

then, the Rademacher average of a given set:

$$R_n(c_1 A_1 + \dots + c_N A_N) = \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j| R_n(A) \leq R_n(A)$$

For all  $N$  choices of  $c_j$ , the Rademacher average of absolute convex hull of the set  $A$  is less than or equal to the Rademacher average of  $A$ , so the Rademacher average of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equal to the Rademacher average of the absolute convex hull of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**11. A half plane is a set of the form  $H_{a,b,c} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : ax + by \geq c\}$  for some real numbers  $a, b, c$ . Determine the  $n$ -th shatter coefficient of the classes:**

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \{H_{a,b,0} : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_1 = \{H_{a,b,c} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Part 1:

In the first case,  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{H_{a,b,0} : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , a half plane must pass through the origin so it can only rotate around the origin.  $n$  points can be subset into  $n + 1$  different ways. Thus, the  $n$ -th shatter coefficient is  $n + 1$ .

Part 2:

*Corollary 13.1* defines the shatter coefficient for the class of all half-spaces. Adapting the equation for the class of half-spaces in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  gives:

$$s(\mathcal{A}, n) = 2 \sum_{i=0}^2 \binom{n-1}{i}$$

Expanding the sum we find the  $n$ -th shatter coefficient for the class of half-spaces in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$s(\mathcal{A}, n) = 2n + (n-1)(n-2)$$