Course Homework

Prof. Dr. Dirk Riehle

Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg

FLOSS A02

Course Schedule

#	Class Content	Deliverables
01	Course introduction	-
02	Intellectual property	-
03	Corporate governance	-
04	Project communities	-
05	Software development	-
06	Inner source	-
07	Corporate open sourcing	-
08	The software industry	-
09	Developer foundations	-
10	User foundations	-
11	Service and support firms	-
12	Distributor firms	-
13	Single-vendor open source firms	-
14	Labor economics	-
15	Cource conclusions	-

- Course week terminology

  - This week = current course week (starts with lecture)
  - Last week = the course week before this week
  - Next week = the course week after this week

- Suggested work rhythm

  1. Rate last week's reviews
  2. Review last week's deliverables
  3. Submit this week's deliverables
  4. Prepare next week's class

this week
(work period)

last week

next week

until right before class

until Friday midnight
before next week starts

| ratings | main deliver-ables | VL | ratings | main deliver-ables | VL | ratings |
| reviews | | | reviews | | | reviews |

this week's **lecture**

this week's **ratings** of last week's reviews

this week's **reviews** of last week's deliverables

this week's **deliverables**

4

- Rate last week's reviews
    - Thumbs up or down is sufficient

2. Weekly Deliverables Review

- Review last week's deliverables
  - Write a paragraph to half-a-page review of the work of 5 other students

3. Weekly Deliverables

- Submit this week's deliverables as PDF to Crowdgrader
  - Submission should be anonymous
    - Don't put your name into the file's body
    - Don't put your name into the file's meta-data

  - Length of submission depends on type of deliverable

4. Weekly Preparation

- Prepare assigned reading for next week's class, if any
  - Read and take notes to prepare for class discussion

Types of Deliverables

- Literature review (max. 2 pages)

  - Contains at least five references; for each reference
    - Write one summarizing paragraph why the reference is relevant
    - Name the reference and include, if possible, a link

- Question answer (max. 2 pages)

  - An answer to a question utilizing best practices; has two parts
    - First part answers the question using best practices
    - Second part contains a list of best practices (for the answer)

Types of Deliverables (Review of Summary)

- Review of summary (of homework)
  - Provide (anonymous) feedback on other student's summary
    - Review summary using components of grading rubric
      - Spend most of the effort on quality of solution
    - In writing your review, be professional and polite
      - No offenses, no cursing, no lashing out

  - Grade homework using grading rubric
    - Grade by component, then add up points (up to 10 points), where
    - 0 | 1 contributes zero or one point, where
      - 0 means no or insufficient work was done
      - 1 means work was reasonably complete (does not require perfection)
    - 0..2 contributes zero, one, or two points, where
      - 0 means no or insufficient work was done
      - 1 means a reasonable attempt was made but solution has non-trivial flaws
      - 2 means a reasonably complete solution is presented (does not require perfection)

  - Your grade is used for feedback only, not for homework grading
    - We match our grade with yours to assess review quality

Time of Day for Weekly Deliverables

- By Friday midnight (before next week)
    - Rate last week's reviews
    - Review last week's deliverables

- Right before class
    - Submit this week's deliverables
    - Prepare next week's class

Plagiarism

- Plagiarism
  - is providing as your work the work of others
  - with or without those other people's consent

- Other people
  - Other people may be other students in the course
  - May be any web source, including Wikipedia

- Citing sources
  - You can cite or use others if you clearly list the source
  - In most cases, you should limit any verbatim use

Handling Plagiarism

- Detecting plagiarism

  - We review your work and will detect plagiarism
  - Students are required to report any plagiarism they see

- Handling plagiarism

  - All involved students will receive 0 points for their work
  - We will review the involved students' full submission history

- Review components

  - For reviews, it is acceptable to reuse your own text components
  - This is called self-plagiarism (and usually not acceptable)

CW #01 Homework Due

- None

CW #02 Homework Due

- None

CW #03 Homework Due

- None

CW #04 Homework Due

- None

CW #05 Homework Due

- None

CW #06 Homework Due

- None

CW #07 Homework Due

- None

- None

CW #09 Homework Due

- None

CW #10 Homework Due

- None

CW #11 Homework Due

- None

CW #12 Homework Due

- None

CW #13 Homework Due

- None

CW #14 Homework Due

- None

- None

Thank you! Questions?

dirk.riehle@fau.de – **http://osr.cs.fau.de**

dirk@riehle.org – http://dirkriehle.com – @dirkriehle

DR

Credits and License

- Original version

  - © 2012-2019 Dirk Riehle, all rights reserved

- Contributions

  - ...