COSC 290 Discrete Structures

Lecture 23: Recurrence Relations

Prof. Michael Hay Friday, Oct. 27, 2017 Colgate University

More analysis of non-recursive algorithms

Plan for today

- 1. More analysis of non-recursive algorithms
- 2. Recurrence relations
- 3. Solving recurrence relations

Analyzing while loops

13: return -1

```
How do we analyze algorithms with while loops? Example:
 1: procedure BINARYSEARCH(A, x)
                               ⊳ Find x in sorted array A of length n
      l := 0, u := n - 1.
      while True do
          if l > u then
             break
                                                  m := |(l + u)/2|.
          if x < A[m] then
 7:
             u := m - 1.
 8:
          else if x > A[m] then
 9:
             l := m + 1.
101
          else
                                         \triangleright It must be that A[m] = x
11:
             return m
12:
```

Analysis: binary search runtime

Claim: the runtime is at most $c \cdot (\log_2 n + 1)$ where c is some constant (representing work done per iteration of while loop).

Proof: Let w denote the width of the interval that remains to be searched.

$$w := u - l + 1$$

Lemma: After *i* iterations of while loop, $w \leq \frac{n}{2^i}$.

Assume (for now) lemma is true. Then after $\log_2 n$ iterations, $w \le 1$ (because $\frac{n}{2\log n} = 1$).

Once $w \le 1$, the algorithm iterates at most once more.

Thus, algorithm terminates after at most $\log_2 n + 1$ iterations and each iteration incurs constant runtime cost

Poll: Asymptotic notation

We have just shown that the runtime of BINARYSEARCH is

- A) O(log n) only
- B) $\Omega(\log n)$ only
- C) $\Theta(\log n)$ only
- D) $O(\log n)$ and $\Omega(\log n)$
- E) We haven't shown anything since BINARYSEARCH *might* terminate in a single iteration (if x is in the exact middle of the array)

Proof of lemma

Lemma: After *i* iterations of while loop, $w < \frac{n}{-i}$.

Proof by induction on i.

Base case:
$$i=0$$
, then $w=u-l+1=(n-1)-0+1=n$ and $w\leq \frac{n}{2^{o}}=n$.

Inductive case: assume lemma is true for iteration i. Want to show it is true for iteration i+1.

Let $\mathbf{w}=u-l+\mathbf{1}$ denote width after i iterations of while loop. Inductive hypothesis: $\mathbf{w}\leq \frac{n}{2^j}$

If w is odd, then there are (w-1)/2 elements on either side of midpoint m. If w is even, then right side has w/2 elements and the left side has w/2 - 1 = (w-2)/2 elements.

In the worst case, the interval is w/2 at the end of the loop. Thus, it is $w < \frac{n}{1+n}$ after $(i+1)^{th}$ iteration.

Recursive algorithms

How do we analyze runtime when the algorithm is recursive? Example:

```
1: function RECBINARYSEARCH(A. x. l. u)
```

□ Given sorted array A, find x within A[l...u]

2: **if** *l* > *u* **then** 3: **return** -1

4: $m := \lfloor (l+u)/2 \rfloor$ 5: **if** x = A[m] **then**

6: return m

else if x < A[m] then

10: return RECBINARYSEARCH(A, x, m + 1, u)

Initially called with arguments RecBINARYSEARCH(A, x, O, n-1).

Recurrence relations

Recurrence relation for RECBINARYSEARCH

To analyze the runtime of RECBINARYSEARCH, we will not use the size of the array A. (why not?)

Instead, we will use w := u - l + 1, the width of the interval that needs to be searched.

Let T(w) denote an upper bound on the runtime of the algorithm on an input with width w. Let c be some constant.

- T(o) := c (w = o means l > u and algorithm terminates)
- $\bullet \ T(w) := \underbrace{T(\lfloor w/2 \rfloor)}_{} + c$

recursive call (we can apply the same kind of analysis we used for the non-recursive case to show that new width at most $\lfloor w/2 \rfloor$ after checking midpoint)

Recurrence relations

To analyze runtime of recursive algorithm, we can express runtime recursively.

A recurrence relation is a function T(n) that is defined (for some n) in terms of the values T(k) for input values k < n.

We will express runtime using a recurrence relation T(n) (where n typically captures some measure of input size).

Poll: Recurrence relation

7: else

8: result := STACKSEARCH(S, x)

9: S.push(y)
10: return result

Let T(n) denote runtime of STACKSEARCH(S, x) on an input of size n. Given T(o) = c, what is the correct recurrence for T(n)?

A) T(n) = cB) T(n) = T(|n/2|) + c

B) $T(n) = T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + c$ C) T(n) = T(n-1) + c

D) T(n) = T(n) + c

E) More than one / None of the above

0

Poll: Recurrence relation

Let T(h) denote the worst-case runtime of TREESARCH(T, x) on a tree of height h. Given T(-1) = c, what is the correct recurrence for T(h)?

B)
$$T(h) = C$$

C)
$$T(h) = T(h-1) + c$$

D) $T(h) = 2 \cdot T(h-1) + c$

of the above

Solving a recurrence relation

Suppose we have the following recurrence

•
$$T(n) = T(|n/2|) + c$$

How do we solve it? I.e., express it is a (non-recursive) function of n.

Two methods:

- 1. Guess. Then verify (using proof by induction).
- 2. Master method.

In COSC 290, we will only look at option 1. (The master method is discussed in Ch. 6.5, and covered in COSC 302.)

Solving recurrence relations

Guess + verify

Recurrence for RECBINARYSEARCH:

Guess: "Iterate" the recurrence starting at T(o) and look for a pattern. $T(n) = c \cdot (\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 2)$.

Verify: We will prove that $\forall n \ge 1 : T(n) = c \cdot (\lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 2)$

Base case: n = 1, T(1) = T(0) + c = 2c and $c \cdot (\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 2) = 2c$.

Inductive case: assume true for $1 \le m \le n-1$. Show it's true for n.

$$T(n) = T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + c$$
 def of recurrence
= $c \cdot (\lceil \log_2 \lceil n/2 \rceil \rceil + 2) + c$ inductive hypothesis

$$= c \cdot (\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor - 1 + 2) + c \quad \text{math tricks with logs and floors}$$

$$= c \cdot (\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 2)$$
 simplify

12

11

Poll: guess for stack search

Recurrence for STACKSEARCH:

What is your guess for the solution to T(n)?

2.
$$T(n) = c \cdot n$$

3.
$$T(n) = c \cdot (n+1)$$

4. $T(n) = c \cdot (n+2)$

Poll: guess for tree search

Recurrence for TREESEARCH:

What is your guess for asymptotic solution to
$$T(h)$$
?

4.
$$T(h) = O(2^h)$$