Final Project Code + Report Rubric

Criteria	Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Improvement	Not Evident	Points
Part A: Final Code Repository	Final model is retrained cleanly from scratch using the full dataset; architecture, training process, and hyperparameters are fully documented; includes regularization, hyperparameter tuning, and callbacks where appropriate. Code is clean and, well-commented. Visualizations (loss/accuracy curves, confusion matrix, etc.) are complete and well-interpreted.	Final model is retrained with most required elements documented; code is mostly clean with minor issues; includes some but not all elements (e.g., limited hyperparameter tuning or incomplete visualizations).	Model is partially retrained or documentation is incomplete; code runs but has issues with clarity and, organization; visualizations are minimal or missing key elements.	Final code is missing, non-functional, or lacks critical documentation; no evidence of retraining or evaluation.	80
Part B: Technical Report	Report is professional, well-structured, and clearly written for a technical but unfamiliar audience. Includes all key sections: problem definition, data overview, modeling approach, training strategy, evaluation, results/analysis, and limitations/future work. Explanations show deep insight, with clear visualizations and thoughtful interpretation.	Report covers most required sections with clear explanations but may lack depth in analysis or polish in structure; visualizations and interpretations are present but somewhat limited.	Report is missing multiple sections or provides superficial explanations; structure and clarity are inconsistent; limited visualizations or interpretation.	Report missing or largely incomplete; poorly structured, unclear, or missing most required elements; little to no interpretation of results.	100