

Sieve of Eratosthenes

Algorithm 1: Sieve of Eratosthenes

Data: A positive integer $n \geq 2$

Result: An array $M[2 .. n]$ such that $M[i] = 0$ if i is prime and 1 if i is composite

```
1 begin
2   Let  $M[2 .. n]$  be initialized with all elements = 0;
3   for  $i \leftarrow 2$  to  $n$  do
4     if  $M[i] \neq 1$  then
5       for  $j \leftarrow 2i$  and  $j \leq n$  do
6          $M[j] \leftarrow 1$ ;
7          $j \leftarrow j + i$ ;
8       end
9     end
10     $i \leftarrow i + 1$ ;
11  end
12  return  $M$ ;
13 end
```

1 Proof of Correctness (By Induction)

1.1 Inductive Hypothesis

Let $P(i) :=$ At the beginning of the outer for loop, all prime numbers $< i$ have been found (set to 0 in M) and the multiples of all these primes have been marked (set to 1) in M .

1.2 Base Case

Initially, $i = 2$. As there are no prime numbers less than 2 and our indexing for M begins from 2, $P(2)$ is trivially true.

1.3 Inductive Step

Assume the $P(k)$ to be true for some $k \geq 2$. When $i = k + 1$, we have two cases. If k is composite, it can be expressed as the product of primes i.e $k = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ where p_j is a prime number $< k$. According to our assumption, this would mean that k has already been marked (set to 1 in M). The inner loop will not execute.

If k is indeed prime, then none of the previous marking procedures would have affected $M[k]$. As $M[k]$ was initially set 0, it will continue to remain 0. In addition to this, the inner loop will execute successfully and mark all multiples of k .

In both the cases, we have now found all prime numbers less than $k + 1$ and marked their multiples i.e $P(k + 1)$ holds true.

1.4 Termination

The procedure terminates when $i > n$. As i is always incremented by 1, i will always be equal to $n + 1$ when the procedure terminates. $\therefore P(n + 1)$ is true i.e all prime numbers $< n + 1$ have been found. ■