GRVC Lab
Biweekly seminars

Abdalraheem Abdullah Yousef Ijieh
16 Enero 2026

Robotics
Vision
Control

Universidad
de Sevilla

TEMA

TRUSTED EXTREMELY PRECISE MAPPING AND PREDICTION FOR EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

Roadmap

1. TEMA context: partners and technologies (USE scope)
2. PDM-tech-05: Information Fusion platform (problem → approach → outputs)
3. Fusion methods: OGM (hazard occupancy) and OPM (multi-object tracking)
4. Flood case study (Ahrtal): inputs, fusion evolution, outputs and lessons learned

TEMA in a Nutshell

Consortium partners

TEMA at a glance

20 Partners 4 Years 11 M€

Mission

Deliver actionable situational awareness for disaster response by turning multi-source data into decision-ready information.

- Bring real-time situational data to responders and relevant end-users.
- Support operational decision-making during evolving incidents.
- Transferable across hazards (e.g., flood, wildfire) and geographic regions.

TEMA technologies

Trustworthy Federated Analytics

ID	Technology
TFA-tech-01	Concept discovery for latent space interpretability of deep neural networks
TFA-tech-02	Human-comprehensible presentation of concept-based explanations
TFA-tech-03	DNN robustness
TFA-tech-04	Explainability for transformer base neural networks
TFA-tech-05	Fire/smoke/flood/person detection
TFA-tech-06	Fire/flood/background segmentation
TFA-tech-07	Person re-identification
TFA-tech-08	Satellite-based flood detection and assessment
TFA-tech-09	Satellite-based Forest fire detection and assessment
TFA-tech-10	Privacy preservation during visual analysis
TFA-tech-11	Geo-social media analysis
TFA-tech-12	Sentiment analysis for short texts
TFA-tech-13	Contrastive image-language models
TFA-tech-14	Federated Learning
TFA-tech-15	Data scarcity, synthetic data generation pipeline

Phenomenon Prediction and Decision-Macking

ID	Technology
PDM-tech-01	Forest Fire Simulation
PDM-tech-02	3Di Hydrodynamic simulation
PDM-tech-03	Realistic 3D smoke modelling and fire detection
PDM-tech-04	**Drone planning**
PDM-tech-05	**Information fusion**
PDM-tech-06	Data-fusion-based decision support and process triggering

Simulation and Visualization

ID	Technology
SV-tech-01	**Drone-based image and data acquisition**
SV-tech-02	Digital Enabler
SV-tech-03	3D computer vision (SfM)/ Photogrammetry
SV-tech-04	Geovisual Analytics
SV-tech-05	Geospatial information retrieval
SV-tech-06	Extended Reality-based interactive visualisation system
SV-tech-07	Smartdesk

University of Seville (USE) technologies: SV-tech-01, PDM-tech-04, PDM-tech-05.

TEMA

INFORMATION FUSION (PDM-TECH-05)

Motivation: why we need information fusion

- In disasters, the bottleneck is not data availability, but **coherence**.
- UAV: detailed but local and intermittent.
- Satellite: wide-area but delayed and sometimes uncertain.
- Simulations: predictive but model-dependent.
- Geo-social: fast but noisy and biased.

Goal

Provide **one operational picture** that updates whenever new evidence arrives.

Key challenges addressed by PDM-tech-05

- **Heterogeneity:** mixing observations (UAV/satellite/geosocial) with model predictions
- **Asynchrony:** different refresh rates and latencies (seconds to hours).
- **Spatial mismatch:** different projections/resolutions $\rightarrow$ common reference grid
- **Uncertainty:** every source is imperfect; disagreement is normal.
- **Georeferencing:** UAV sensors + terrain $\Rightarrow$ spatial alignment is non-trivial.
- **Dynamics:** evolving hazard fronts and moving objects $\Rightarrow$ temporal consistency matters.

How PDM-tech-05 connects to other TEMA technologies

Two primary outputs: OGM and OPM

Output 1: Occupancy Grid Map (OGM)

- Discretize the AOI into grid cells.
- Each cell stores a probability of occupancy:
  - **Flood OGM:** probability of water presence.
  - **Fire OGM:** probability of fire/smoke/burnt area presence.
- Updated asynchronously as new measurement & prediction arrives.

Output 2: Object Presence Map (OPM)

- Tracks persons/vehicles in geodetic coordinates.
- Data association (Hungarian) + state estimation (Kalman).
- Produces stable trajectories and uncertainty..

Operational view (web app)

OGM + OPM are served as the evolving operational picture to the command center.

What the Information Fusion platform does

PDM-tech-05: multimodal fusion framework for NDM

- Select an Area of Interest (AOI) and a reference grid
- Ingest NGSI-LD entities (measurements + predictions) with timestamps and georeferencing metadata
- Fuse evidence probabilistically (event-driven updates).
- Maintain a persistent probabilistic state (**OGM** + **OPM**)
- Publish updated products to the Digital Enabler / SmartDesk and trigger workflows

Web app deployment view

Design principle

Same engine across hazards ⇒ Convert everything into consistent probabilities on a common spatial reference, then fuse.

Core engine: asynchronous occupancy-grid mapping

- Maintain a grid of **log-odds** values (numerically stable)
- **Correction (sensor fusion):** apply an update when a new measurement arrives
- **Prediction (model-based):** propagate using predictions when measurements are absent
- Asynchrony is handled naturally (no need to wait for all sources).

OGM formalism: probability and log-odds

Discretize the AOI into cells m_i and maintain an occupancy probability $p_t(i) = P(m_i \text{ occupied at time } t)$.

$$\ell_t(i) = \log \frac{p_t(i)}{1 - p_t(i)} \qquad \text{(log-odds)}$$

$$p_t(i) = \sigma(\ell_t(i)) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\ell_t(i)}} \qquad \text{(logistic)}$$

$$\ell_0(i) = \log \frac{P(m_i)}{1 - P(m_i)} \qquad \text{(prior; } P(m_i) = 0.5\text{, so } \ell_0(i) = 0\text{)}$$

Why log-odds?

Numerical stability and additive updates across asynchronous sources.

OGM fusion rule: Bayesian update in log-odds

For an incoming observation z_t (from any source) and platform state x_t:

$$\ell_t(i) = \ell_{t-}(i) + \underbrace{(P(m_i \mid z_t, x_t))}_{\text{source evidence}} - \ell_0(i)$$

Interpretation

Each source produces a per-cell occupancy probability; we convert it to a log-odds increment and add it to the current map (subtracting the prior once to avoid double counting). In practice, we initialize $p_0(i) = 0.5$ inside the AOI ($\ell_0(i) = 0$), so the prior term vanishes; we keep $-\ell_0(i)$ to remain valid for non-uniform priors.

Fusion rule: measurements vs. predictions

Measurements (UAV/satellite/geo-social) update the current OGM via inverse sensor models.

- Convert raw outputs into a per-cell probability $p^t_{i,s} \in (0, 1)$
- Add a log-odds increment $\delta\ell^t_{i,s} = \log \frac{p^t_{i,s}}{1-p^t_{i,s}}$
- Intuition: **high-confidence observations dominate locally**

Predictions (e.g., hydrodynamics, fire simulation)

- Predictions provide **structured early evidence** over large areas
- Fuse with the current OGM via **logit pooling** (weighted combination in log-odds space)
- Intuition: **predictions guide** until observations override

Flood measurement model: UAV flood segmentation

Aggregation from high-resolution UAV raster to the OGM grid

For cell i, let K_i be the set of georeferenced UAV pixels inside i and $n_i = |K_i|$.

$$\bar{s}_i^{\text{uav}} = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{k \in K_i} s_k^{\text{uav}} \in [0, 1].$$

Map the score to a measurement probability (clamp to $(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)$):

$$p_i^{\text{uav}} = p_{\min}^{\text{uav}} + \left(p_{\max}^{\text{uav}} - p_{\min}^{\text{uav}}\right) \bar{s}_i^{\text{uav}}, \quad 0 < p_{\min}^{\text{uav}} < p_{\max}^{\text{uav}} < 1.$$

Log-odds increment and update

$$\delta \ell_{i,\text{uav}}^t = \log \frac{p_i^{\text{uav}}}{1 - p_i^{\text{uav}}} - \ell_i^0, \qquad \ell_i^t = \ell_i^{t-} + \delta \ell_{i,\text{uav}}^t.$$

Notes

$p_{\min}^{uav}, p_{\max}^{uav}$ encode trust in the segmentation (avoid overconfidence).

Flood measurement model: satellite flood extent

Per-cell probability from a resampled satellite mask

Let $m_i^{\text{sat}} \in [0,1]$ be the resampled satellite mask value at cell i. For valid pixels:

$$p_i^{\text{sat}} = m_i^{\text{sat}} \in (0,1), \qquad \delta\ell_{i,\text{sat}}^t = \log \frac{p_i^{\text{sat}}}{1 - p_i^{\text{sat}}} - \ell_i^0, \qquad \ell_i^t = \ell_i^{t-} + \delta\ell_{i,\text{sat}}^t$$

Cells outside the satellite swath (or invalid pixels) are not updated.

Notes

Probabilities are clamped to $[\epsilon, 1-\epsilon]$ (e.g., $\epsilon \approx 10^{-6}$) to avoid infinite log-odds.

Flood measurement model: geosocial hotspots

From counts/ratios to measurement probability

Let $\tilde{c}_t(i)$ and $\tilde{r}_t(i)$ be normalized post count and activity ratio, and $w_c + w_r = 1$. Define a hotspot score

$$s_t^{\text{soc}}(i) = w_c\,\tilde{c}_t(i) + w_r\,\tilde{r}_t(i) \in [0, 1],$$

then convert to a probability

$$p_t^{\text{soc}}(i) = \epsilon + (1 - 2\epsilon)\,s_t^{\text{soc}}(i), \qquad \delta\ell_{i,\text{soc}}^t = \log\frac{p_t^{\text{soc}}(i)}{1 - p_t^{\text{soc}}(i)} - \ell_i^0, \qquad \ell_i^t = \ell_i^{t-} + \delta\ell_{i,\text{soc}}^t$$

Notes

Geosocial evidence is informative but noisy; weights are typically conservative compared to physical sources.

Flood prediction fusion: hydrodynamic depth (PDM-tech-02 / 3Di)

Depth-to-probability mapping

Convert predicted water depth h_i into occupancy probability via a logistic mapping:

$$p_i^{hyd} = \varepsilon + (1 - 2\varepsilon)\,\frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{h_i - h_{50}}{s}\right)}$$

$$\ell_i^{hyd} = \log\left(\frac{p_i^{hyd}}{1 - p_i^{hyd}}\right) - \ell_i^0$$

Logit pooling (weighted fusion in log-odds space):

$$\ell_i^{new} = (1 - \alpha_{hyd})\,\ell_i^{ogm} + \alpha_{hyd}\,\ell_i^{hyd}, \qquad p_i^{new} = \sigma(\ell_i^{new})$$

Notes

$\alpha_{hyd} \in [0, 1]$ controls reliance on prediction vs. accumulated evidence.

Extending beyond floods: fire occupancy (Maps4Fire)

Fire-related measurements

- UAV: *FireSegmentation* and *BurntSegmentation* (TFA-tech-06)
- Satellite: *EOBurntArea* (TFA-tech-09)
- Geosocial: *HotspotResult* (TFA-tech-11)
- Prediction: *StandardArrivalTime* (PDM-tech-01)

Example weighted combination (active fire vs. burnt evidence)

$$p_m(m_i) = w_{\text{fire}}\, p_{\text{fire}}(m_i) + w_{\text{burnt}}\, p_{\text{burnt}}(m_i), \qquad w_{\text{fire}} + w_{\text{burnt}} = 1.$$

This per-cell likelihood plugs into the same Bayesian occupancy update in log-odds space.

OPM: state, motion model, and asynchronous updates

Geodetic state for each tracked object k maintains a 6D state (geodetic position + velocity)

$$\mathbf{x}_{t,k} = [\lambda_{t,k} \;\; \phi_{t,k} \;\; h_{t,k} \;\; \dot{\lambda}_{t,k} \;\; \dot{\phi}_{t,k} \;\; \dot{h}_{t,k}]^\top.$$

Constant-velocity prediction transition:

$$x_{t+1,k} = Ax_{t,k} + w_t, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \Delta t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \Delta t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Prediction step:

$$\hat{x}_{t|t-1,k} = A\hat{x}_{t-1|t-1,k}, \qquad P_{t|t-1,k} = AP_{t-1|t-1,k}A^\top + Q$$

OPM data association: gating and Hungarian assignment

For detection j at position $p_{t,j}$ and predicted track position $\hat{p}_{t|t-1,k}$:

$$d_{k,j} = d_{hav}(\hat{p}_{t|t-1,k}, p_{t,j})$$

Cost matrix for assignment:

$$C_{k,j} = \alpha \frac{d_{k,j}}{r_{gate}} + \beta (1 - c_{t,j}^{det})$$

Association

Apply gating ($d_{k,j} < r_{gate}$) then solve the assignment with the Hungarian algorithm.

OPM state correction: confidence-aware Kalman update

Measurement model (position):

$$z_{t,j} = Hx_{t,k} + v_t, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Confidence-aware measurement noise:

$$R_{t,j} = \frac{1}{\max(c_{t,j}^{det}, \varepsilon c)} R_{base}$$

Kalman update:

$$S = HPH^\top + R, \quad K = PH^\top S^{-1}, \quad \hat{x}_{t|t} = \hat{x}_{t|t-1} + K(z - H\hat{x}_{t|t-1})$$

TEMA

HISTORICAL AND REAL-TIME TRIALS

Trials timeline

Trials timeline

Trials timeline

Trials timeline

Trials timeline

Trials timeline

Trials timeline

Trials timeline

Trials timeline

Trials timeline

TEMA

CASE STUDY: AHRTAL FLOODS, GERMANY

Case study setup: AOI and common grid

- AOI defined as a polygon; discretized into an OGM grid.
- Initialization: $p_0(i) = 0.5$ (maximum uncertainty) inside AOI.
- Asynchronous fusion as sources arrive: 3Di prediction, UAV segmentation, satellite extent, geo-social hotspots.
- Objective: progressively refine the flood probability map (FPM).

What gets fused in the OGM (inputs + roles)

Evidence roles (hazard-agnostic pattern)

- **Hydrodynamics**: early spatial structure (physics-informed), but predictive uncertainty.
- **Satellite**: wide-area observational correction (coarser + time offsets).
- **UAV segmentation**: local, high-resolution refinement on demand (small footprint, high fidelity).
- **Geosocial**: additive human-centric confirmation; may be spatially coarse.

Key takeaway

OGM/FPM integrates all sources on a common grid using probabilistic updates; it does not treat any source as ground truth.

3Di prediction: depth → flood probability prior

Predicted water depth (3Di)

Mapped flood probability p_i^{hyd}

- Prediction is fused conservatively (logit pooling) to avoid over-committing early.
- Sets the initial large-scale structure before high-resolution observations arrive.

UAV flood segmentation: image → mask → georeferenced eviden

- UAV RGB imagery segmented into flood / non-flood.
- Mask is **georeferenced** onto the AOI (pose + DEM).
- Produces local, high-resolution observational evidence to correct the map.

Satellite flood extent: wide-area observational update

- Satellite surface-water extent product (probabilistic raster).
- Reprojected + resampled to the **5 m FPM grid**.
- Broad coverage complements UAV footprint; corrects regional mismatches.

Geosocial hotspots: human-centric evidence (and limitations)

- Posts aggregated into hotspot cells (counts, activity, stats).
- Converted into a measurement likelihood $p_t^{soc}(i)$.
- Ahrtal limitation: AOI falls in a **single hotspot cell** $\Rightarrow$ coarse spatial signal.

Qualitative evolution: the FPM sharpens as evidence arrives

- Predictions provide early structure; observations refine boundaries and correct local mismatches.
- Geosocial contribution is deliberately moderate but visible in the inset.

Quantitative evolution: uncertainty reduction and change detectio

- Track the entropy of the flood probability map (FPM) to quantify confidence gains.
- Use map-to-map changes (Δ) to detect when new evidence meaningfully updates the picture.
- In Ahrtal, the strongest corrections coincide with UAV and satellite arrivals.

OPM setup: detections $\rightarrow$ georeferenced observations $\rightarrow$ tracker

- UAV person/vehicle detections are georeferenced to (λ, ϕ, h) with confidence.
- Multi-object mode: **gating + Hungarian assignment** for association.
- State estimation: **6D geodetic Kalman filter** (prediction-only during detection gaps).
- Discontinuity rule: reject updates when prediction–measurement discrepancy exceeds $r_{\mathrm{FPM}} = 5$ **m** (aligned with OGM resolution).

Tracking under missed detections: gaps and continuity

- During gaps: prediction-only propagation; filtered state remains continuous.
- When detections return: correction pulls the trajectory back toward measurements.

Key takeaways

- **Generic platform** for NDM: consistent handling of heterogeneous, asynchronous evidence.
- **Two operational products:** OGM (Flood/Fire status) and OPM (multi-object tracking).
- **Robust fusion:** Bayesian log-odds updates for measurements + logit pooling for predictions.
- **Operationalization:** event-driven services + web app cockpit + NGSI-LD interoperability.
- **USE loop:** Fusion $\rightarrow$ Drone planning $\rightarrow$ Drone acquisition $\rightarrow$ Fusion.

THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING, QUESTIONS?