Testing for Linear Kernel SVM.

Use svm:LinearSVC module for a faster implementation than svm.SVC when testing for parameter C of the Linear Kernel

Approach:

- 1. Search for a range for testing using the GridsearchCV
- 2. Zoom on range until accuracy rises and falls.
- 3. Best parameter for C is at the peak

Test results for different C range:

For range detection

```
In [30]: clf = GS(svm.LinearSVC(),dict( C=np.logspace(-4,
0, 15)),n_jobs=-1).fit(train, train_y)
In [31]: means = clf.cv results ['mean test score']
    ...: stds = clf.cv_results_['std_test_score']
    ...: for mean, std, params in zip(means, stds,
clf.cv_results_['params']):
            print("%0.3f (+/-%0.03f) for %r"
    . . . :
                        % (mean, std * 2, params))
0.888 (+/-0.003) for {'C': 0.0001}
0.878 (+/-0.008) for {'C': 0.00019306977288832496}
0.875 (+/-0.005) for {'C': 0.00037275937203149379}
0.867 (+/-0.014) for {'C': 0.00071968567300115217}
0.861 (+/-0.006) for {'C': 0.0013894954943731374}
0.843 (+/-0.066) for {'C': 0.0026826957952797246}
0.858 (+/-0.002) for {'C': 0.0051794746792312128}
0.851 (+/-0.037) for {'C': 0.01}
0.864 (+/-0.019) for {'C': 0.019306977288832496}
0.851 (+/-0.019) for {'C': 0.037275937203149381}
0.842 (+/-0.025) for {'C': 0.071968567300115138}
0.852 (+/-0.011) for {'C': 0.13894954943731375}
0.859 (+/-0.008) for {'C': 0.26826957952797248}
0.855 (+/-0.010) for {'C': 0.51794746792312074}
0.846 (+/-0.011) for {'C': 1.0}
In [32]: clf.best_params_
Out[32]: {'C': 0.0001}
```

First Zoom on range

```
IPython console
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           ×
  Console 1/A
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  Ů.
   [ 0 1635 33 ..., 6 63 12]
[ 19 10 1237 ..., 21 50 14]
                         3 29 ..., 1449 15 108]
5 50 ..., 6 1024 22]
                                   50 ..., 6 1024 22]
7 ..., 61 49 1186]]
  In [52]: clf = svm.LinearSVC( C=0.0001).fit(train,train_y)
 In [54]: clf = GS(svm.LinearSVC(),dict( C=np.logspace(-6, 0, 15)),n_jobs=-1).fit(train, train_y)
 In [55]: means = clf.cv_results_['mean_test_score']
    ...: stds = clf.cv_results_['std_test_score']
    ...: for mean, std, params in zip(means, stds, clf.cv_results_['params']):
    ...:    print("%0.3f (+/-%0.03f) for %r"
    ...:    % (mean, std * 2, params))
0.906 (+/-0.003) for {'C': 9.999999999999999-0-07}
0.904 (+/-0.001) for {'C': 2.68269579527972740-06}
0.902 (+/-0.001) for {'C': 7.19685673001151370-06}
0.899 (+/-0.001) for {'C': 1.93069772888324960-05}
0.894 (+/-0.002) for {'C': 5.17947467923121250-05}
0.897 (+/-0.006) for {'C': 0.00013894954943731373}
0.861 (+/-0.019) for {'C': 0.00037275937203149379}
0.862 (+/-0.020) for {'C': 0.001}
0.828 (+/-0.077) for {'C': 0.0071968567300115137}
0.849 (+/-0.012) for {'C': 0.0071968567300115137}
0.849 (+/-0.012) for {'C': 0.01300977288832496}
0.850 (+/-0.017) for {'C': 0.051794746792312128}
0.848 (+/-0.017) for {'C': 0.051794746792312128}
0.859 (+/-0.015) for {'C': 0.37275937203149379}
0.853 (+/-0.005) for {'C': 1.0}
                ..: print()
  0.863 (+/-0.005) for {'C': 1.0}
 In [56]: clf.best_params_
Out[56]: {'C': 9.9999999999999995e-07}
```

Peak Best C

Testing for Rbf kernel

Since cross validation takes too long time using LibSVC,

the optimal approach to try naively default parameter with C=1, and gamma set to auto and find precision. This gave a precision of 0.93. meaning better combination with C=1

Finding the exteme for the parameter low or high (On low C's, High gamma chosen), also the time is significantly increased as dealing with 64 most variant features.

```
IPython console
                                                                                                                                                                                                  ×
Console 1/A
                                                                                                                                                                                                 Ċ.
                                                                                                                                                                                            In [13]: p_train = pca.fit_transform(train)
In [14]: pca.fit_transform(test)
-4.95779813e-04, -2.33123306e-01, 2.56946477e-01], [5.69535404e-01, 1.49414408e+00, 3.06421289e-01, 3.45091259e-01],
          [ 1.26663559e+00, -3.73722373e+00, 2.40171170e-01, ...
9.10279977e-02, 1.43748799e-01, -3.09191415e-01],
[ 1.30092761e+00, -2.39982311e-01, -2.68950933e+00, ...
-4.10833915e-01, -3.50129891e-01, 3.37071682e-01],
[ -1.45429500e+00, -1.61655536e+00, -3.07826224e-01, ...
4.91443081e-01, 2.25218407e-02, -4.65111830e-01]])
In [15]: p test =pca.fit transform(test)
In [16]: %time grid = GS(svm.SVC(cache_size = 600), param_grid=dict( gamma=[1.0], C=[0.01,0.001,1]),n_jobs=-1 ).fit(p_train,
Wall time: 15min 17s
In [17]: grid.best_score_
Out[17]: 0.21630430756694694
In [18]: grid.best_params_
Out[18]: {'C': 1, 'gamma': 1.0}
In [19]: %time grid = GS(svm.SVC(cache_size = 600), param_grid=dict( gamma=[1.0], C=np.logspace(-4,1,5)),n_jobs=-1
).fit(p_train, train_y)
Wall time: 20min 53s
In [20]: grid.best_params_
Out[20]: {'C': 10.0, 'gamma': 1.0}
In [21]: grid.best_score_
Out[21]: 0.22523056409496647
```

High C and low gamma test

```
| In [25]: %time grid = GS(svm.SVC(cache_size = 600), param_grid=dict( gamma=[0.01,0.1], C=[1.0]),n_jobs=-1 ).fit(p_train, train_y)
| Wall time: 4min 40s
| In [26]: means = grid.cv_results_['mean_test_score']
| ...: stds = grid.cv_results_['std_test_score']
| ...: for mean, std, params in zip(means, stds, grid.cv_results_['params']):
| ...: print("%0.3f (+/-%0.03f) for %r"
| ...: % (mean, std * 2, params))
| 0.964 (+/-0.003) for {'C': 1.0, 'gamma': 0.01} |
| 0.967 (+/-0.003) for {'C': 1.0, 'gamma': 0.1} |
| In [27]:
```

Zoom in on range

Test results

```
0.98
                      0.99
                              0.99
                                      1507
        0
                      0.99
                              0.99
              0.99
                                      1679
        1
        2
              0.96
                      0.99
                              0.97
                                      1455
                              0.97
                                      1544
              0.98
                      0.97
              0.98
                      0.98
                              0.98
                                      1410
        5
              0.98
                      0.97
                              0.98
                                      1359
              0.99
                      0.98
                              0.99
                                      1457
              0.98
                      0.98
                              0.98
                                      1590
        8
              0.97
                      0.97
                              0.97
                                      1474
              0.97
                      0.95
                              0.96
                                      1526
avg / total
              0.98
                      0.98
                              0.98
                                     15001
```