CHAPTER 18: CONCURRENCY CONTROL TECHNIQUES

Answers to Selected Exercises

18.20 Prove that the basic two-phase locking protocol guarantees conflict serializability of schedules. (Hint: Show that, if a serializability graph for a schedule has a cycle, then at least one of the transactions participating in the schedule does not obey the two-phase locking protocol.)

Answer:

(This proof is by contradiction, and assumes binary locks for simplicity. A similar proof can be made for shared/exclusive locks.)

Suppose we have n transactions T1, T2, ..., Tn such that they all obey the basic two-phase locking rule (i.e. no transaction has an unlock operation followed by a lock operation). Suppose that a non-(conflict)-serializable schedule S for T1, T2, ..., Tn does occur; then, according to Section 17.5.2, the precedence (serialization) graph for S must have a cycle. Hence, there must be some sequence within the schedule of the form: S: ...; [o1(X); ...; o2(X);] ...; [o2(Y); ...; o3(Y);] ...; [on(Z); ...; o1(Z);]... where each pair of operations between square brackets [o,o] are conflicting (either [w, w], or [w, r], or [r,w]) in order to create an arc in the serialization graph. This

w], or [w, r], or [r,w]) in order to create an arc in the serialization graph. This implies that in transaction T1, a sequence of the following form occurs: T1: ...; o1(X); ...; o1(Z); ...

Furthermore, T1 has to unlock item X (so T2 can lock it before applying o2(X) to follow the rules of locking) and has to lock item Z (before applying o1(Z), but this must occur after Tn has unlocked it). Hence, a sequence in T1 of the following form occurs: T1: ...; o1(X); ...; unlock(X); ...; lock(Z); ...; o1(Z); ...

This implies that T1 does not obey the two-phase locking protocol (since lock(Z) follows unlock(X)), contradicting our assumption that all transactions in S follow the two-phase locking protocol.

18.22 Prove that strict two-phase locking guarantees strict schedules.

Answer:

Since no other transaction can read or write an item written by a transaction T until T has committed, the condition for a strict schedule is satisfied.

18.24 Prove that cautious waiting avoids deadlock.

Answer:

In cautious waiting, a transaction Ti can wait on a transaction Tj (and hence Ti becomes blocked) only if Tj is not blocked at that time, say time b(Ti), when Ti waits. Later, at some time b(Tj) > b(Ti), Tj can be blocked and wait on another transaction Tk only if Tk is not blocked at that time. However, Tj cannot be blocked by waiting on an already blocked transaction since this is not allowed by the protocol. Hence, the wait-for graph among the blocked transactions in this system will follow the blocking times and will never have a cycle, and so deadlock cannot occur.

18.25 Apply the timestamp ordering algorithm to the schedules of Figure 17.8(b) and (c), and determine whether the algorithm will allow the execution of the schedules.

Chapter 18: Concurrency Control Techniques

Figure 17.8 Another example of serializability testing. (a) The read and write operations of three transactions T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 . (b) Schedule E. (c) Schedule F.

Transaction T ₁		
$read_item(X);$		
write_item(X);		
read_item(Y);		
write_item(Y);		

Transaction T_2
read_item(Z);
read_item(Y);
write_item(Y);
read_item(X);
write_item(X);

Transaction T_3		
read_item(Y);		
read_item(Z);		
write_item(Y);		
write_item(Z);		

(b)	
	Time

(a)

	Transaction T ₁	Transaction T ₂	Transaction T ₃
	read_item(X); write_item(X);	read_item(Z); read_item(Y); write_item(Y);	read_item(Y); read_item(Z); write_item(Y);
	road itom(V):	read_item(X);	write_item(Z);
•	read_item(Y); write_item(Y);	write_item(X);	

Schedule E

(c)		
	Time	

Transaction T ₁	Transaction T ₂	Transaction T ₃
read_item(X);		<pre>read_item(Y); read_item(Z);</pre>
write_item(X);		write_item(Y); write_item(Z);
	read_item(Z);	0.00
read_item(Y);		
write_item(Y); ▼	read_item(Y); write_item(Y); read_item(X); write_item(X);	

Schedule F

Answer:

Let us assume a clock with linear time points 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., and that the original read and write timestamps of all items are 0 (without loss of generality).

read_TS(X) = read_TS(Y) = read_TS(Z) = 0 write TS(X) = write TS(Y) = write TS(Z) = 0

Let us call the schedules in Figure 17.8(b) Schedule E or SE, and that in Figure 17.8(c) Schedule F or SF. The two schedules can be written as follows in shorthand notation: SE:

r2(Z); r2(Y); w2(Y); r3(Y); r3(Z); r1(X); w1(X); w3(Y); w3(Z); r2(X); r1(Y); w1(Y); w2(X);

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

SF:

r3(Y); r3(Z); r1(X); w1(X); w3(Y); w3(Z); r2(Z); r1(Y); w1(Y); r2(Y); w2(Y); r2(X); w2(X);

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

```
Assume that each operation takes one time unit, so that the numbers under the operations
indicate the time when each operation occurred. Also assume that each transaction
timestamp corresponds to the time of its first operations in each schedule, so the
transaction timestamps are as follows (Note: These values do not change during the
schedule, since they are assigned as unique identifiers to the transactions):
Schedule E Schedule F
TS(T1) = 6 TS(T1) = 3
TS(T2) = 1 TS(T2) = 7
TS(T3) = 4 TS(T3) = 1
(a) Applying timestamp ordering to Schedule E:
Initial values (new values are shown after each operation):
read TS(X)=0,read TS(Y)=0,read TS(Z)=0,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Z)=0
TS(T1)=6, TS(T2)=1, TS(T3)=4 (These do not change)
T2: read item(Z)
TS(T2) > write TS(Z)
Execute read item(Z)
Set read_TS(Z) <- max(read_TS(Z),TS(T2)) = 1
read TS(X)=0,read TS(Y)=0,read TS(Z)=1,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Z)=0
T2: read item(Y)
TS(T2) > write TS(Y)
Execute read item(Y)
Set read_TS(Y) <- max(read_TS(Y),TS(T2)) = 1
read_TS(X)=0,read_TS(Y)=1,read_TS(Z)=1,write_TS(X)=0,write_TS(Y)=0,write_TS(Z)=0
T2: write item(Y)
TS(T2) = read TS(Y) and TS(T2) > write TS(Y)
Execute write item(Y)
write TS(Y) \leftarrow max(write TS(Y), TS(T2)) = 1
read TS(X)=0,read TS(Y)=1,read TS(Z)=1,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=1,write TS(Z)=0
T3: read item(Y)
TS(T3) > write TS(Y)
Execute read item(Y)
read TS(Y) \leftarrow max(read TS(Y), TS(T3)) = 4
read TS(X)=0,read TS(Y)=4,read TS(Z)=1,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=1,write TS(Z)=0
T3: read item(Z)
TS(T3) > write TS(Z)
Execute read item(Z)
read TS(Z) \leftarrow max(read TS(Z), TS(T3)) = 4
read_TS(X)=0,read_TS(Y)=4,read_TS(Z)=1,write_TS(X)=0,write_TS(Y)=1,write_TS(Z)=0
T1: read item(X)
TS(T1) > write TS(X)
Execute read item(X)
read TS(X) \leftarrow max(read TS(X), TS(T1)) = 6
read TS(X)=6,read TS(Y)=4,read TS(Z)=1,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=1,write TS(Z)=0
T1: write item(X)
TS(T1) = read TS(X) and TS(T1) > write TS(X)
Execute write_item(X)
write TS(X) \leftarrow max(write TS(X), TS(T1)) = 6
read_TS(X)=6,read_TS(Y)=4,read_TS(Z)=1,write_TS(X)=6,write_TS(Y)=1,write_TS(Z)=0
T3: write item(Y)
TS(T3) = read_TS(Y) and TS(T3) > write_TS(Y)
Execute write item(Y)
write TS(Y) \leftarrow max(write TS(Y), TS(T3)) = 4
read TS(X)=6,read TS(Y)=4,read TS(Z)=1,write TS(X)=6,write TS(Y)=4,write TS(Z)=0
T3: write item(Z)
TS(T3) > read TS(Z) and TS(T3) > write TS(Z)
```

```
Execute write_item(Z) write_TS(Z) <- max(write_TS(Z),TS(T3)) = 4 read_TS(X)=6,read_TS(Y)=4,read_TS(Z)=1,write_TS(X)=6,write_TS(Y)=4,write_TS(Z)=4 T2: read_item(X) TS(T2) < write_TS(X) Abort and Rollback Y2, Reject read_item(X) Result: Since T3 had read the value of Y that was written by T2, T3 should also be aborted and rolled by the recovery technique (because of cascading rollback); hence, all effects of T2 and T3 would also be erased and only T1 would finish execution.
```

```
(b) Applying timestamp ordering to Schedule F:
Initial values (new values are shown after each operation):
read TS(X)=0,read TS(Y)=0,read TS(Z)=0,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Z)=0
TS(T1)=3, TS(T2)=7, TS(T3)=1 (These do not change)
T3: read item(Y)
TS(T3) > write TS(Y)
Execute read_item(Y)
Set read TS(Y) \leftarrow max(read TS(Y), TS(T3)) = 1
read_TS(X)=0,read_TS(Y)=1,read_TS(Z)=0,write_TS(X)=0,write_TS(Y)=0,write_TS(Z)=0
T3: read item(Z)
TS(T3) > write TS(Z)
Execute read item(Z)
Set read_TS(Z) <- max(read_TS(Z),TS(T3)) = 1
read TS(X)=0,read TS(Y)=1,read TS(Z)=1,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Z)=0
T1: read item(X)
TS(T1) > write TS(X)
Execute read item(X)
read TS(X) \leftarrow max(read TS(X), TS(T1)) = 3
read TS(X)=3,read TS(Y)=1,read TS(Z)=1,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Z)=0
T1: write item(X)
TS(T1) = read_TS(X) and TS(T1) > write_TS(X)
Execute write item(X)
write TS(X) \leftarrow max(write TS(X),TS(T1)) = 3
read_TS(X)=3,read_TS(Y)=1,read_TS(Z)=1,write_TS(X)=3,write_TS(Y)=0,write_TS(Z)=0
T3: write item(Y)
TS(T3) = read TS(Y) and TS(T3) > write TS(Y)
Execute write item(Y)
write TS(Y) \leftarrow max(write TS(Y), TS(T3)) = 1
read TS(X)=3,read TS(Y)=1,read TS(Z)=1,write TS(X)=3,write TS(Y)=1,write TS(Z)=0
T3: write item(Z)
TS(T3) = read_TS(Z) and TS(T3) > write_TS(Z)
Execute write item(Z)
write_TS(Z) \leftarrow max(write_TS(Z), TS(T3)) = 1
read_TS(X)=3,read_TS(Y)=1,read_TS(Z)=1,write_TS(X)=3,write_TS(Y)=1,write_TS(Z)=1
T2: read item(Z)
TS(T2) > write TS(Z)
Execute read item(Z)
Set read TS(Z) \leftarrow max(read TS(Z), TS(T2)) = 7
read TS(X)=3,read TS(Y)=1,read TS(Z)=7,write TS(X)=3,write TS(Y)=1,write TS(Z)=1
T1: read item(Y)
TS(T1) > write TS(Y)
Execute read item(Y)
Set read_TS(Y) <- max(read_TS(Y), TS(T1)) = 3
read_TS(X)=3,read_TS(Y)=3,read_TS(Z)=7,write_TS(X)=3,write_TS(Y)=1,write_TS(Z)=1
```

```
T1: write item(Y)
TS(T1) = read_TS(Y) and TS(T1) > write_TS(Y)
Execute write item(Y)
write TS(Y) \leftarrow max(read TS(Y), TS(T1)) = 3
read TS(X)=3,read TS(Y)=3,read TS(Z)=7,write TS(X)=3,write TS(Y)=3,write TS(Z)=1
T2: read item(Y)
TS(T2) > write TS(Y)
Execute read item(Y)
Set read_TS(Y) <- max(read_TS(Y), TS(T2)) = 7
read TS(X)=3,read TS(Y)=7,read TS(Z)=7,write TS(X)=3,write TS(Y)=3,write TS(Z)=1
T2: write item(Y)
TS(T2) = read TS(Y) and TS(T2) > write TS(Y)
Execute write item(Y)
write TS(Y) \leftarrow max(write TS(Y), TS(T2)) = 7
read_TS(X)=3,read_TS(Y)=7,read_TS(Z)=7,write_TS(X)=3,write_TS(Y)=7,write_TS(Z)=1
T2: read item(X)
TS(T2) > write TS(X)
Execute read item(X)
Set read TS(X) \leftarrow max(read TS(X), TS(T2)) = 7
read_TS(X)=7,read_TS(Y)=7,read_TS(Z)=7,write_TS(X)=3,write_TS(Y)=3,write_TS(Z)=1
T2: write item(X)
TS(T2) = read TS(X) and TS(T2) > write TS(X)
Execute write item(X)
write TS(X) \leftarrow max(write TS(X), TS(T2)) = 7
read TS(X)=7,read TS(Y)=7,read TS(Z)=7,write TS(X)=7,write TS(Y)=7,write TS(Z)=1
Result: Schedule F executes successfully.
18.26 Repeat Exercise 18.25, but use the multiversion timestamp ordering method.
Answer:
Let us assume the same timestamp values as in the solution for Exercise 18.22 above. To
refer to versions, we use X, Y, Z to reference the original version (value) of each item,
and then use indexes (1, 2, ...) to refer to newly written version (for example, X1, X2,
...).
(a) Applying multiversion timestamp ordering to Schedule E:
Initial values (new values are shown after each operation):
read TS(X)=0,read TS(Y)=0,read TS(Z)=0,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Z)=0
TS(T1)=6, TS(T2)=1, TS(T3)=4 (These do not change)
T2: read item(Z)
Execute read item(Z)
Set read TS(Z) \leftarrow max(read TS(Z), TS(T2)) = 1
read TS(X)=0,read TS(Y)=0,read TS(Z)=1,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Z)=0
T2: read item(Y)
Execute read item(Y)
Set read TS(Y) <- max(read_TS(Y),TS(T2)) = 1
read TS(X)=0,read TS(Y)=1,read TS(Z)=1,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Z)=0
T2: write item(Y)
TS(T2) = read TS(Y)
Execute write item(Y) (by creating a new version Y1 of Y)
write_TS(Y1) \leftarrow TS(T2) = 1,
read TS(Y1) \leftarrow TS(T2) = 1
read TS(X)=0, read TS(Y)=1, read TS(Y1)=1, read TS(Z)=1,
```

```
write TS(X)=0, write TS(Y)=0, write TS(Y)=1, write TS(Z)=0
 ead item(Y)
   bute read item(Y) by reading the value of version Y1
read TS(Y1) \leftarrow max(read TS(Y1), TS(T3)) = 4
read TS(X)=0.read TS(Y)=1.read TS(Y1)=4.read TS(Z)=1.
write_TS(X)=0, write_TS(Y)=0, write_TS(Y1)=1, write_TS(Z)=0
T3: read item(Z)
Execute read item(Z)
read_TS(Z) \leftarrow max(read_TS(Z), TS(T3)) = 4
read TS(X)=0, read TS(Y)=1, read TS(Y1)=4, read TS(Z)=4,
write_TS(X)=0, write_TS(Y)=0, write_TS(Y1)=1, write_TS(Z)=0
T1: read item(X)
Execute read item(X)
read TS(X) \leftarrow max(read TS(X), TS(T1)) = 6
read TS(X)=6, read TS(Y)=1, read TS(Y1)=4, read TS(Z)=4,
write TS(X)=0, write TS(Y)=0, write TS(Y1)=1, write TS(Z)=0
T1: write item(X)
Execute write_item(X) (by creating a new version X1 of X)
write TS(X) \leftarrow TS(T1) = 6,
read_TS(X) \leftarrow TS(T1) = 6
read_TS(X)=6,read_TS(X1)=6,read_TS(Y)=1,read_TS(Y1)=4,read_TS(Z)=4,
write TS(X)=0, write TS(X1)=6, write TS(Y)=0, write TS(Y1)=1, write TS(Z)=0
T3: write item(Y)
Execute write item(Y) (by creating a new version Y2 of Y)
write TS(Y2) \leftarrow TS(T3) = 4,
read TS(Y2) \leftarrow TS(T3) = 4
read TS(X)=6, read TS(X1)=6, read TS(Y)=1, read TS(Y1)=4, read TS(Y2)=4,
read TS(Z)=4,
write TS(X)=0, write TS(X1)=6, write TS(Y)=0, write TS(Y1)=1, write TS(Y2)=4,
write TS(Z)=0
T3: write item(Z)
Execute write item(Z) (by creating a new version Z1 of Z)
write TS(Z1) \leftarrow TS(T3) = 4,
read TS(Z1) \leftarrow TS(T3) = 4
read TS(X)=6, read TS(X1)=6, read TS(Y)=1, read TS(Y1)=4, read TS(Y2)=4,
read_TS(Z)=4,read_TS(Z1)=4,
write TS(X)=0,write TS(X1)=6,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Y1)=1,write TS(Y2)=4,
write_TS(Z)=0,write TS(Z1)=4
T2: read item(X)
Execute read item(X) by reading the value of the initial version X
read TS(X) \leftarrow max(read TS(X), TS(T3)) = 6
read_TS(X)=6, read_TS(X1)=6, read_TS(Y)=1, read_TS(Y1)=4, read_TS(Y2)=4,
read TS(Z)=4,read_TS(Z1)=4,
write TS(X)=0, write TS(X1)=6, write TS(Y)=0, write TS(Y1)=1, write TS(Y2)=4,
write TS(Z)=0, write TS(Z1)=4
T1: read item(Y)
Execute read item(Y) by reading the value of version Y2
read_TS(Y2) \leftarrow max(read_TS(Y2),TS(T3)) = 6
read TS(X)=6,read TS(X1)=6,read TS(Y)=1,read TS(Y1)=4,read TS(Y2)=6,
read TS(Z)=4, read TS(Z1)=4,
write_TS(X)=0,write_TS(X1)=6,write_TS(Y)=0,write_TS(Y1)=1,write_TS(Y2)=4,
write TS(Z)=0, write TS(Z1)=4
T1: write item(Y)
Execute write_item(Y) (by creating a new version Y3 of Y)
write TS(Y3) \leftarrow TS(T3) = 4,
read TS(Y2) \leftarrow TS(T3) = 4
```

Result: Since T3 had read the value of Y that was written by T2, T3 should also be aborted and rolled by the recovery technique (because of cascading rollback); hence, all effects of T2 and T3 would also be erased and only T1 would finish execution.

```
(b) Applying timestamp ordering to Schedule F:
Initial values (new values are shown after each operation):
read TS(X)=0,read TS(Y)=0,read TS(Z)=0,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Z)=0
TS(T1)=3, TS(T2)=7, TS(T3)=1 (These do not change)
T3: read item(Y)
Execute read item(Y)
Set read_TS(Y) <- max(read_TS(Y), TS(T3)) = 1
read TS(X)=0,read TS(Y)=1,read TS(Z)=0,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Z)=0
T3: read item(Z)
Execute read item(Z)
Set read TS(Z) \leftarrow max(read TS(Z), TS(T3)) = 1
read_TS(X)=0,read_TS(Y)=1,read_TS(Z)=1,write_TS(X)=0,write_TS(Y)=0,write_TS(Z)=0
T1: read item(X)
Execute read item(X)
read TS(X) \leftarrow max(read TS(X), TS(T1)) = 3
read TS(X)=3,read TS(Y)=1,read TS(Z)=1,write TS(X)=0,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Z)=0
T1: write item(X)
Execute write item(X) by creating a new version X1 of X
write TS(X1) \leftarrow TS(T1) = 3, read TS(X1) \leftarrow TS(T1) = 3
read TS(X)=3, read TS(X1)=3, read TS(Y)=1, read TS(Z)=1,
write TS(X)=0, write TS(X1)=3, write TS(Y)=0, write TS(Z)=0
T3: write item(Y)
Execute write item(Y) by creating a new version Y1 of Y
write_TS(Y1) < TS(T3) = 1, read_TS(Y1) < TS(T3) = 1
read TS(X)=3, read TS(X1)=3, read TS(Y)=1, read TS(Y1)=1, read TS(Z1)=1,
write TS(X)=0,write TS(X1)=3,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Y1)=1,write TS(Z)=0
T3: write item(Z)
Execute write item(Z) by creating a new version Z1 of Z
write TS(Z1) \leftarrow TS(T3) = 1, read TS(Z1) \leftarrow TS(T3) = 1
read TS(X)=3, read TS(X1)=3, read TS(Y)=1, read TS(Y1)=1, read TS(Z)=1,
read TS(Z1)=1,
write_TS(X)=0, write_TS(X1)=3, write_TS(Y)=0, write_TS(Y1)=1, write_TS(Z)=0,
write TS(Z1)=1
T2: read item(Z)
Execute read item(Z) by reading the value of version Z1
Set read TS(Z1) \leftarrow max(read TS(Z1), TS(T2)) = 7
read TS(X)=3, read TS(X1)=3, read TS(Y)=1, read TS(Y1)=1, read TS(Z)=1,
read TS(Z1)=7,
write TS(X)=0, write TS(X1)=3, write TS(Y)=0, write TS(Y1)=1, write TS(Z)=0,
write TS(Z1)=1
T1: read item(Y)
Execute read item(Y) by reading the value of version Y1
Set read_TS(Y1) <- max(read_TS(Y1),TS(T1)) = 3
read TS(X)=3, read TS(X1)=3, read TS(Y)=3, read TS(Y1)=1, read TS(Z)=1,
```

```
read TS(Z1)=7,
write TS(X)=0, write TS(X1)=3, write TS(Y)=0, write TS(Y1)=1, write TS(Z)=0,
write_TS(Z1)=1
T1: write item(Y)
Execute write item(Y) by creating a new version Y2 of Y
write_TS(Y2) <- TS(T1) = 3, read_TS(Y2) <- TS(T1) = 3
read TS(X)=3, read TS(X1)=3, read TS(Y)=3, read TS(Y1)=1, read TS(Y2)=3,
read_TS(Z)=1,read_TS(Z1)=7,
write_TS(X)=0, write_TS(X1)=3, write_TS(Y)=0, write_TS(Y1)=1, write_TS(Y2)=3,
write TS(Z)=0, write TS(Z1)=1
T2: read item(Y)
Execute read item(Y) by reading the value of version Y2
Set read TS(Y2) \leftarrow max(read TS(Y2), TS(T2)) = 7
read_TS(X)=3, read_TS(X1)=3, read_TS(Y)=3, read_TS(Y1)=1, read_TS(Y2)=7,
read TS(Z)=1, read TS(Z1)=7,
write TS(X)=0, write TS(X1)=3, write TS(Y)=0, write TS(Y1)=1, write TS(Y2)=3,
write TS(Z)=0, write TS(Z1)=1
T2: write item(Y)
Execute write item(Y) by creating a new version Y3 of Y
write TS(Y3) \leftarrow TS(T2) = 7, read_TS(Y3) \leftarrow TS(T2) = 7
read_TS(X)=3, read_TS(X1)=3, read_TS(Y)=3, read_TS(Y1)=1, read_TS(Y2)=7,
read TS(Y3)=7, read TS(Z)=1, read TS(Z1)=7.
write TS(X)=0,write TS(X1)=3,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Y1)=1,write TS(Y2)=3,
write TS(Y3)=7, write TS(Z)=0, write TS(Z1)=1
T2: read item(X)
Execute read item(X) by reading the value of version X1
Set read TS(X1) \leftarrow max(read TS(X1), TS(T2)) = 7
read TS(X)=3, read TS(X1)=7, read TS(Y)=3, read TS(Y1)=1, read TS(Y2)=7,
read TS(Y3)=7, read TS(Z)=1, read TS(Z1)=7,
write_TS(X)=0, write_TS(X1)=3, write_TS(Y)=0, write_TS(Y1)=1, write_TS(Y2)=3,
write TS(Y3)=7, write TS(Z)=0, write TS(Z1)=1
T2: write item(X)
Execute write item(X) by creating a new version X2 of X
write TS(X2) < -TS(T2) = 7, read TS(X2) < -TS(T2) = 7
read TS(X)=3, read TS(X1)=7, read TS(X2)=7, read TS(Y)=3, read TS(Y1)=1,
read_TS(Y2)=7, read_TS(Y3)=7, read_TS(Z)=1, read_TS(Z1)=7,
write TS(X)=0,write TS(X1)=3,write TS(X2)=7,write TS(Y)=0,write TS(Y1)=1,
write TS(Y2)=3, write TS(Y3)=7, write TS(Z)=0, write TS(Z1)=1
```

Result: Schedule F executes successfully.