# Linear regression

Machine Learning II 2021-2022 - UMONS Souhaib Ben Taieb

1

Do Exercise 3.3 in LFD.

## Exercise 3.3

Consider the hat matrix  $H = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T$ , where X is an N by d+1 matrix, and  $X^TX$  is invertible.

- (a) Show that H is symmetric.
- (b) Show that  $H^K = H$  for any positive integer K.
- (c) If I is the identity matrix of size N, show that  $(I H)^K = I H$  for any positive integer K.
- (d) Show that trace(H) = d + 1, where the trace is the sum of diagonal elements. [Hint: trace(AB) = trace(BA).]

Figure 1: Source: Abu-Mostafa et al. Learning from data. AMLbook.

## Solution

(a) To show H is symmetric, we have to show  $H^T = H$ .

$$H^{T} = (X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T})^{T}$$

$$= X(X^{T}X)^{-T}X^{T}$$

$$= X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}$$

$$= H$$

- (b) We have to show that  $H^K = H$  for  $K = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$  We will prove that by using induction.
  - For K = 1,  $H^1 = H$ .
  - For K=2,

$$\begin{split} H^2 &= (X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T)(X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T) \\ &= X(X^TX)^{-1}X^TX(X^TX)^{-1}X^T \\ &= X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T \\ &= H \end{split}$$

• Consider, it is true for  $K, H^K = H$ .

• For K = K + 1,

$$H^{K+1} = H^K \cdot H$$

$$= H \cdot H$$

$$= H^2$$

$$= H$$

- (c) If I is the identity matrix of size N, we have to show that  $(I H)^K = I H$  for  $K = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ 
  - For K = 1,  $(I H)^1 = I H$ .
  - For K=2,

$$(I - H)^2 = (I - H)(I - H)$$
$$= I - 2H + H^2$$
$$= I - 2H + H$$
$$= I - H$$

- Consider, it is true for K,  $(I H)^K = I H$ .
- For K+1,

$$(I - H)^{K+1} = (I - H)^K \cdot (I - H)$$
  
=  $(I - H) \cdot (I - H)$   
=  $(I - H)^2$   
=  $(I - H)$ 

(d) We have to prove trace(H) = d + 1,

$$trace(H) = trace(X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T)$$
  
 $= trace(AB)$  [where  $A = X(X^TX)^{-1}$  and  $B = X^T$ ]  
 $= trace(BA)$  [Using Hint]  
 $= trace(X^TX(X^TX)^{-1})$   
 $= trace(I_{d+1})$  [As  $X$  is  $N \times d + 1$  matrix]  
 $= d + 1$ 

Do Exercise 3.4 in LFD.

## Exercise 3.4

Consider a noisy target  $y = \mathbf{w}^{*^{\mathrm{T}}}\mathbf{x} + \epsilon$  for generating the data, where  $\epsilon$  is a noise term with zero mean and  $\sigma^2$  variance, independently generated for every example  $(\mathbf{x}, y)$ . The expected error of the best possible linear fit to this target is thus  $\sigma^2$ .

For the data  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_N, y_N)\}$ , denote the noise in  $y_n$  as  $\epsilon_n$  and let  $\epsilon = [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_N]^{\mathsf{T}}$ ; assume that  $X^{\mathsf{T}}X$  is invertible. By following (continued on next page)

the steps below, show that the expected in-sample error of linear regression with respect to  $\mathcal D$  is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{\text{in}}(\mathbf{w}_{\text{lin}})] = \sigma^2 \left(1 - \frac{d+1}{N}\right).$$

- (a) Show that the in-sample estimate of y is given by  $\hat{y} = Xw^* + H\epsilon$ .
- (b) Show that the in-sample error vector  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}$  can be expressed by a matrix times  $\epsilon$ . What is the matrix?
- (c) Express  $E_{\rm in}(\mathbf{w}_{\rm lin})$  in terms of  $\epsilon$  using (b), and simplify the expression using Exercise 3.3(c).
- (d) Prove that  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{lin}})] = \sigma^2 \left(1 \frac{d+1}{N}\right)$  using (c) and the independence of  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N$ . [Hint: The sum of the diagonal elements of a matrix (the trace) will play a role. See Exercise 3.3(d).]

For the expected out-of-sample error, we take a special case which is easy to analyze. Consider a test data set  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{test}} = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1'), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_N, y_N')\}$ , which shares the same input vectors  $\mathbf{x}_n$  with  $\mathcal{D}$  but with a different realization of the noise terms. Denote the noise in  $y_n'$  as  $\epsilon_n'$  and let  $\epsilon' = [\epsilon_1', \epsilon_2', \dots, \epsilon_N']^{\text{T}}$ . Define  $E_{\text{test}}(\mathbf{w}_{\text{lin}})$  to be the average squared error on  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{test}}$ .

(e) Prove that 
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}, \epsilon'}[E_{\text{test}}(\mathbf{w}_{\text{lin}})] = \sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{d+1}{N}\right)$$
.

The special test error  $E_{\rm test}$  is a very restricted case of the general out-of-sample error. Some detailed analysis shows that similar results can be obtained for the general case, as shown in Problem 3.11.

Figure 2: Source: Abu-Mostafa et al. Learning from data. AMLbook.

## **Solution**

We have,

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(x_n, y_n)_{n=1}^N \quad \text{[where } x_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \text{ and } y_n \in \mathbb{R}\}$$
$$= \{X, y\} \quad \text{[where } N \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d+1} \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}\}$$

Then the in-sample error can be written as,

$$E_{in}(w) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - h(x_n))^2$$

$$= ||y - Xw||^2$$

Now, for linear regression,

$$w_{lin} = \hat{w} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{y} = Xw_{lin} = X\hat{w}$$

$$= X((X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty)$$

$$= Hy$$

(a) The in-sample error estimate is

$$\begin{split} \hat{y} &= Hy \\ &= H(Xw^* + \epsilon) \\ &= HXw^* + H\epsilon \\ &= (X(X^TX)^- - 1X^T)Xw^* + H\epsilon \\ &= Xw^* + H\epsilon \end{split}$$

(b) The in-sample error vector  $\hat{y} - y$  can be expressed as below.

$$\hat{y} - y = (Xw^* + H\epsilon) - (Xw^* + \epsilon)$$
$$= H\epsilon - \epsilon$$
$$= (H - I)\epsilon$$

(c)

$$E_{in}(w_{lin}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \hat{y}_n)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - w_{lin}^T x_n)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} ||y - \hat{y}||^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} ||(H - I)\epsilon||^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \epsilon^T (H - I)^T (H - I)\epsilon$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \epsilon^T (H^T - I)(H - I)\epsilon$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \epsilon^T (H - I)(H - I)\epsilon$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \epsilon^T (H - I)^2 \epsilon$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \epsilon^T (I - H)^2 \epsilon$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \epsilon^T (I - H)^2 \epsilon$$
[Using 3.3 (c)]

(d)

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{in}(w_{lin})] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\frac{1}{N}\epsilon^{T}(I - H)\epsilon]$$

$$\begin{split} &= \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \epsilon^{T} (I - H) \epsilon \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon^{T} \epsilon - \epsilon^{T} H \epsilon \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} (\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon^{T} \epsilon \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon^{T} H \epsilon \right]) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon^{T} \epsilon \right] - \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon^{T} H \epsilon \right]) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon^{T} \epsilon \right] - \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ trace(\epsilon^{T} H \epsilon) \right] \quad [\text{As } \epsilon \text{ is } N \times 1 \text{ matrix and } H \text{ is } N \times N \text{ matrix}] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon^{T} \epsilon \right] - \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ trace(\epsilon^{T} H) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon^{T} \epsilon \right] - \frac{1}{N} trace(\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon \epsilon^{T} H \right]) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon^{T} \epsilon \right] - \frac{1}{N} trace(\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon \epsilon^{T} H \right]) \end{split}$$

Now,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon^{T} \epsilon \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{1} & \epsilon_{2} & \cdots & \epsilon_{n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{1} \\ \epsilon_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{n} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_{i}^{2} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[ \epsilon_{i}^{2} \right] = N \sigma^{2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\epsilon \epsilon^{T}] = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{1} \\ \epsilon_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{1} & \epsilon_{2} & \cdots & \epsilon_{n} \end{pmatrix} \Big]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{1}^{2} & \cdots & \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon_{n} \epsilon_{n} & \cdots & \epsilon_{n}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \Big]$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \sigma^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sigma^{2} I_{n}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{in}(w_{lin})] = \frac{1}{N}N\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{N}trace(\sigma^2 I_n H)$$

$$= \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{N}trace(\sigma^2 H)$$

$$= \sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{N}trace(H)$$

$$= \sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{N}(d+1) \quad \text{[Using 3.3 (d)]}$$

$$= \sigma^2(1 - -\frac{(d+1)}{N})$$

(e)

$$\mathcal{D}_{test} = \{(x_n, y_n')_{n=1}^N \quad \text{[where } x_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \text{ and } y_n' \in \mathbb{R} \text{]}$$
$$= \{X, y'\} \quad \text{[where } N \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d+1} \text{ and } y' \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1} \text{]}$$

So, we have

• For  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $y = Xw^* + \epsilon$ 

• For 
$$\mathcal{D}_{test}$$
,  $y' = Xw^* + \epsilon'$ 

Now,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}'}[E_{test}(w_{lin})] = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}'}[||y' - \hat{y}||^2]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{y,y'}[||y' - \hat{y}||^2]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{y,y'}[||Xw^* + \epsilon' - (Xw^* + H\epsilon)||^2]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}[||\epsilon' - H\epsilon||^2]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}[(\epsilon' - H\epsilon)^T (\epsilon' - H\epsilon)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}[(\epsilon'^T - \epsilon^T H^T)(\epsilon' - H\epsilon)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}[(\epsilon'^T \epsilon' - \epsilon^T H^T \epsilon - \epsilon^T H^T \epsilon' + \epsilon^T H^T H\epsilon)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} (\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}[(\epsilon'^T \epsilon') - \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}[\epsilon^T H^T \epsilon] - \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}[\epsilon^T H^T \epsilon'] + \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}[\epsilon^T H^T H\epsilon)])$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} (\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}[(\epsilon'^T \epsilon') + \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}[\epsilon^T H\epsilon)])$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} (N\sigma^2) + \frac{1}{N} (\sigma^2 (d+1)) = \sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{d+1}{N}\right)$$

Solve Problem 3.11 in LFD.

**Problem 3.11** Consider the linear regression problem setup in Exercise 3.4, where the data comes from a genuine linear relationship with added noise. The noise for the different data points is assumed to be iid with zero mean and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Assume that the 2nd moment matrix  $\Sigma = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T]$  is non-singular. Follow the steps below to show that, with high probability, the out-of-sample error on average is

$$E_{\text{out}}(\mathbf{w}_{\text{lin}}) = \sigma^2 \left( 1 + \frac{d+1}{N} + o(\frac{1}{N}) \right).$$

(a) For a test point  ${\bf x}$ , show that the error  $y-g({\bf x})$  is

$$\epsilon - \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the noise realization for the test point and  $\epsilon$  is the vector of noise realizations on the data.

(b) Take the expectation with respect to the test point, i.e., x and  $\epsilon$ , to obtain an expression for  $E_{\text{out}}$ . Show that

$$E_{\text{out}} = \sigma^2 + \text{trace} \left( \Sigma(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \epsilon \epsilon^T X^T (X^T X)^{-1} \right).$$

[Hints: a = trace(a) for any scalar a; trace(AB) = trace(BA); expectation and trace commute.]

- (c) What is  $\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\epsilon \epsilon^{\mathrm{T}}]$ ?
- (d) Take the expectation with respect to  $\epsilon$  to show that, on average,

$$E_{\text{out}} = \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N} \operatorname{trace} \left( \Sigma (\frac{1}{N} X^{\mathsf{T}} X)^{-1} \right).$$

Note that  $\frac{1}{N}X^{\mathsf{T}}X = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\mathbf{x}_{n}\mathbf{x}_{n}^{\mathsf{T}}$  is an N sample estimate of  $\Sigma$ . So  $\frac{1}{N}X^{\mathsf{T}}X \approx \Sigma$ . If  $\frac{1}{N}X^{\mathsf{T}}X = \Sigma$ , then what is  $E_{\mathrm{out}}$  on average?

(e) Show that (after taking the expectation over the data noise) with high probability,

$$E_{\text{out}} = \sigma^2 \left( 1 + \frac{d+1}{N} + o(\frac{1}{N}) \right).$$

[Hint: By the law of large numbers  $\frac{1}{N}X^TX$  converges in probability to  $\Sigma$ , and so by continuity of the inverse at  $\Sigma$ ,  $\left(\frac{1}{N}X^TX\right)^{-1}$  converges in probability to  $\Sigma^{-1}$ . ]

Figure 3: Source: Abu-Mostafa et al. Learning from data. AMLbook.

## Solution

(a) For a test point  $x_i$ ,

$$y_{i} - g(x_{i}) = x_{i}^{T} w^{*} + \epsilon_{i} - x_{i}^{T} \hat{w}$$

$$= x_{i}^{T} w^{*} + \epsilon_{i} - x_{i}^{T} (X^{T} X)^{-1} X^{T} y$$

$$= x_{i}^{T} w^{*} + \epsilon_{i} - x_{i}^{T} (X^{T} X)^{-1} X^{T} (X w^{*} + \epsilon)$$

$$= x_i^T w^* + \epsilon_i - x_i^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X w^* - x_i^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \epsilon$$

$$= x_i^T w^* + \epsilon_i - x_i^T w^* - x_i^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \epsilon$$

$$= \epsilon_i - x_i^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \epsilon$$

(b) We can compute  $E_{out}$  by taking expectation of  $(y_i - g(x_i))^2$  w.r.t.  $x_i$  and  $\epsilon_i$ .

$$E_{out} = \mathbb{E}_{x_i,\epsilon_i}[(y_i - g(x_i))^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x_i,\epsilon_i}[(\epsilon_i - x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x_i,\epsilon_i}[\epsilon_i^2 - 2\epsilon_i x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon + (x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x_i,\epsilon_i}[\epsilon_i^2] - \mathbb{E}_{x_i,\epsilon_i}[2\epsilon_i x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon] + \mathbb{E}_{x_i,\epsilon_i}[(x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x_i,\epsilon_i}[\epsilon_i^2] + \mathbb{E}_{x_i,\epsilon_i}[(x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)^2] \qquad [\text{As } \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_i}[\epsilon_i] = 0]$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \mathbb{E}_{x_i}[(x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)^2]$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \mathbb{E}_{x_i}[trace((x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)^2)] \qquad [\text{As } (x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)^2 \text{ is a scalar}]$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \mathbb{E}_{x_i}[(x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)(\epsilon^TX(X^TX)^{-1}x_i)]$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \mathbb{E}_{x_i}[trace(x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon\epsilon^TX(X^TX)^{-1}x_i)]$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \mathbb{E}_{x_i}[trace(x_i x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon\epsilon^TX(X^TX)^{-1})]$$

$$= \sigma^2 + trace(\mathbb{E}_{x_i}[x_i x_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon\epsilon^TX(X^TX)^{-1}])$$

$$= \sigma^2 + trace(\mathbb{E}_{x_i}[x_i x_i^T]\mathbb{E}_{x_i}[(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon\epsilon^TX(X^TX)^{-1}])$$

$$= \sigma^2 + trace(\Sigma(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon\epsilon^TX(X^TX)^{-1})$$

(c)

$$\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\epsilon \epsilon^T] = \sigma^2 \times I_n$$

(d) By taking expectation w.r.t.  $\epsilon$ , we obtain,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[E_{out}] = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\sigma^{2} + trace(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\epsilon\epsilon^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1})]$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + trace(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\epsilon\epsilon^{T}]X(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + trace(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\sigma^{2}I_{n}X(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \sigma^{2}trace(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \sigma^{2}trace(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \sigma^{2}\frac{N}{N}trace(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N}trace(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N}trace(I) \qquad [(\frac{X^{T}X}{N}) \approx \Sigma]$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}(d+1)}{N}$$

$$= \sigma^{2}(1 + \frac{(d+1)}{N})$$

(e)

$$\frac{X^TX}{N} \xrightarrow{P} \Sigma$$

$$\begin{split} &(\frac{X^TX}{N})^{-1} \xrightarrow{P} \Sigma^{-1} \\ &(\frac{X^TX}{N})^{-1} = \Sigma^{-1} + o(1) \end{split}$$

Now,

$$E_{out} = \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N} trace \left( \Sigma \left( \frac{X^T X}{N} \right)^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N} trace \left( \Sigma (\Sigma^{-1} + o(1)) \right)$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N} [trace(I_{d+1}) + trace(\Sigma o(1))]$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N} [(d+1) + o(1)]$$

$$= \sigma^2 (1 + \frac{d+1}{N} + o(\frac{1}{N}))$$

Solve Problem 3.14 in LFD.

**Problem 3.14** In a regression setting, assume the target function is linear, so  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w}^{*}$ , and  $\mathbf{y} = Z\mathbf{w}^{*} + \epsilon$ , where the entries in  $\epsilon$  are zero mean, iid with variance  $\sigma^{2}$ . In this problem derive the bias and variance as follows.

- (a) Show that the average function is  $\bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})$ , no matter what the size of the data set. What is the bias?
- (b) What is the variance? [Hint: Problem 3.11]

Figure 4: Source: Abu-Mostafa et al. Learning from data. AMLbook.

## Solution

(a)

$$y_n = f(x) + \epsilon_n = x^T w^* + \epsilon$$
$$y = X w^* + \epsilon$$
$$g^{\mathcal{D}}(x) = x^T \hat{w}$$
$$\hat{w} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$$

$$\bar{g}(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[g^{\mathcal{D}}(x)] 
= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[x^T \hat{w}] 
= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[x^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y] 
= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[x^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (X w^* + \epsilon)] \quad \text{[where } y = X w^* + \epsilon] 
= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[x^T w^* + x^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \epsilon)] 
= \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[x^T w^* + x^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \epsilon)] 
= x^T w^* 
= f(x)$$

Bias = 
$$\mathbb{E}_x[(\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_n}[y_n] - \bar{g}(x))^2]$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}_x[(f(x) - f(x))^2]$   
= 0

(b)

Variance = 
$$\mathbb{E}_{x,\mathcal{D}}[(g^{\mathcal{D}}(x) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[g^{\mathcal{D}}(x)])^2]$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}_{x,\mathcal{D}}[(g^{\mathcal{D}}(x) - \bar{g}(x))^2]$   
=  $\mathbb{E}_{x,\mathcal{D}}[(x^T\hat{w} - x^Tw^*)^2]$   
=  $\mathbb{E}_{x,y}[(x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty - x^Tw^*)^2]$   
=  $\mathbb{E}_{x,\epsilon}[(x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T(Xw^* + \epsilon) - x^Tw^*)^2]$   
=  $\mathbb{E}_{x,\epsilon}[(x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^TXw^* + x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon - x^Tw^*)^2]$   
=  $\mathbb{E}_{x,\epsilon}[(x^Tw^* + x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon - x^Tw^*)^2]$ 

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x,\epsilon}[(x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x,\epsilon}[trace(x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)^2)] \qquad [\text{As } (x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)^2 \text{ is a scalar}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x,\epsilon}[trace((x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)(x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)^T)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x,\epsilon}[(trace(x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)(\epsilon^TX(X^TX)^{-1}x))]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x,\epsilon}[trace((x^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon)(\epsilon^TX(X^TX)^{-1}x))]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x,\epsilon}[trace(xx^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon\epsilon^TX(X^TX)^{-1})]$$

$$= trace(\mathbb{E}_{x,\epsilon}[xx^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon\epsilon^TX(X^TX)^{-1}])$$

$$= trace(\mathbb{E}_x[xx^T\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\epsilon\epsilon^TX(X^TX)^{-1}]])$$

$$= trace(\mathbb{E}_x[xx^T\sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1}]) \qquad [\text{where } \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\epsilon\epsilon] = \sigma^2I]$$

$$= trace(\mathbb{E}_x[xx^T]\sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^2 trace(\Sigma(X^TX)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^2 trace(\Sigma(X^TX)^{-1})$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{N} trace(\Sigma(X^TX)^{-1})$$
[from ex 3 (e)]

Solve Problem 3.15 in LFD.

**Problem 3.15** In the text we derived that the linear regression solution weights must satisfy  $X^TXw = X^Ty$ . If  $X^TX$  is not invertible, the solution  $\mathbf{w}_{\mathsf{lin}} = (X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty$  won't work. In this event, there will be many solutions for w that minimize  $E_{\mathsf{in}}$ . Here, you will derive one such solution. Let  $\rho$  be the rank of X. Assume that the singular value decomposition (SVD) of X is  $X = U\Gamma V^T$ , where  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times \rho}$  satisfies  $U^TU = I_{\rho}$ ,  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1) \times \rho}$  satisfies  $V^TV = I_{\rho}$ , and  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{\rho \times \rho}$  is a positive diagonal matrix.

- (a) Show that  $\rho < d + 1$ .
- (b) Show that  $\mathbf{w_{lin}} = V\Gamma^{-1}U^{T}\mathbf{y}$  satisfies  $X^{T}X\mathbf{w_{lin}} = X^{T}\mathbf{y}$ , and hence is a solution.
- (c) Show that for any other solution that satisfies  $X^TXw = X^Ty$ ,  $||w|_{lin}|| < ||w||$ . That is, the solution we have constructed is the minimum norm set of weights that minimizes  $E_{in}$ .

Figure 5: Source: Abu-Mostafa et al. Learning from data. AMLbook.

## Solution

(a) We know that,  $RANK(X) = \rho$ . Now by the property of rank we can write,  $RANK(X) = RANK(X^TX)$ .  $X^TX$  is a  $(d+1) \times (d+1)$  matrix and  $X^TX$  is not invertible. Therefore,

$$RANK(X^{T}X) < d+1$$

$$RANK(X) < d+1$$

$$\rho < d+1$$

(b) We have  $X = U\Gamma V^T$  and  $w_{lin} = V\Gamma^{-1}U^Ty$ , then,

$$X^{T}Xw_{lin} = V\Gamma U^{T}U\Gamma V^{T}V\Gamma^{-1}U^{T}y$$

$$= V\Gamma^{2}\Gamma^{-1}U^{T}y$$

$$= V\Gamma U^{T}y$$

$$= (U\Gamma V^{T})^{T}y$$

$$= X^{T}y$$

Hence,  $w_{lin}$  is a possible solution.

(c) Let, w be any solution and we can write,

$$w = w_{lin} + (w - w_{lin}) = w_{lin} + \delta$$

Now,

$$||w||^{2} = ||w_{lin} + \delta||^{2}$$

$$= (w_{lin} + \delta)^{T} (w_{lin} + \delta)$$

$$= (w_{lin}^{T} + \delta^{T}) (w_{lin} + \delta)$$

$$= w_{lin}^T w_{lin} + \delta^T w_{lin} + w_{lin}^T \delta + \delta^T \delta$$
  
=  $||w_{lin}||^2 + ||\delta||^2 + \delta^T w_{lin} + w_{lin}^T \delta$ 

Now, w and  $w_{lin}$  both are possible solutions. Therefore,

$$X^{T}X(w - w_{lin}) = X^{T}y - X^{T}y = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow V\Gamma U^{T}U\Gamma V^{T}(w - w_{lin}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow V\Gamma^{2}V^{T}(w - w_{lin}) = 0 \quad [\text{As } U^{T}U = I_{\rho}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma^{-2}V^{T}V\Gamma^{2}V^{T}(w - w_{lin}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow V^{T}(w - w_{lin}) = 0 \quad [\text{As } V^{T}V = I_{\rho}]$$

Again,

$$w_{lin}^{T} \delta = w_{lin}^{T} (w - w_{lin})$$

$$= (V \Gamma^{-1} U^{T} y)^{T} (w - w_{lin})$$

$$= y^{T} U \Gamma^{-1} V^{T} (w - w_{lin}) \quad [As V^{T} (w - w_{lin}) = 0]$$

$$= 0$$

Hence,

$$||w||^{2} = ||w_{lin}||^{2} + ||\delta||^{2} + 0 + 0$$

$$= ||w_{lin}||^{2} + ||\delta||^{2}$$

$$> ||w_{lin}||^{2}$$

So,  $w_{lin}$  is minimum norm set of weights that minimizes  $E_{in}$