

Learning theory

Machine Learning II
2021-2022 - UMONS
Souhaib Ben Taieb

1 Exercise 1

Solve Problem 2.8 in LFD.

Problem 2.8 Which of the following are possible growth functions $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ for some hypothesis set:

$$1+N; \quad 1+N+\frac{N(N-1)}{2}; \quad 2^N; \quad 2^{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor}; \quad 2^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}; \quad 1+N+\frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{6}.$$

Figure 1: Source: Abu-Mostafa et al. Learning from data. AMLbook.

Solution

There are two cases for the growth function:

- $d_{VC} = \infty$ and $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^N$ for all N .
- d_{VC} is finite and $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) \leq N^{d_{VC}} + 1$

Now let's see the growth functions:

- $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N$
Here, $d_{VC} = 1$ as $m_{\mathcal{H}}(2) = 3 < 2^2$. Therefore, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ must be bounded by $N^1 + 1$ which is true. Hence, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N$ is a possible growth function.
- $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$
Here, $d_{VC} = 2$ as $m_{\mathcal{H}}(3) = 7 < 2^3$. Therefore, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ must be bounded by $N^2 + 1$ for all N , which is true here. Hence, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$ is a possible growth function.
- $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^N$
Here, $d_{VC} = \infty$. Hence, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^N$ is a possible growth function.
- $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor}$
Here, $d_{VC} = 1$ as $m_{\mathcal{H}}(2) = 2 < 2^2$. Therefore, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ must be bounded by $N^1 + 1$ for all N . Consider an example $N = 25$, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(25) = 32 \geq 25 + 1$. So, the bound $N^1 + 1$ for all N is not true here. Hence, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor}$ is **not** a possible growth function.
- $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}$
Here, $d_{VC} = 0$ as $m_{\mathcal{H}}(1) = 1 < 2^1$. Therefore, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ must be bounded by $N^0 + 1 = 2$ for all N . Consider an example $N = 4$, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(4) = 4 \geq 2$. So, the bound $N^0 + 1$ for all N is not true here. Hence, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}$ is **not** a possible growth function.
- $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{6}$
Here, $d_{VC} = 1$ as $m_{\mathcal{H}}(2) = 3 < 2^2$. Therefore, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ must be bounded by $N^1 + 1$ for all N . Consider an example $N = 3$, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(3) = 5 \geq 3^1 + 1$. So, the bound $N^0 + 1$ for all N is not true here. Hence, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{6}$ is **not** a possible growth function.

2 Exercise 2

Solve Problem 2.3 in LFD.

Problem 2.3 Compute the maximum number of dichotomies, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$, for these learning models, and consequently compute d_{VC} , the VC dimension.

- (a) Positive or negative ray: \mathcal{H} contains the functions which are +1 on $[a, \infty)$ (for some a) together with those that are +1 on $(-\infty, a]$ (for some a).
- (b) Positive or negative interval: \mathcal{H} contains the functions which are +1 on an interval $[a, b]$ and -1 elsewhere or -1 on an interval $[a, b]$ and +1 elsewhere.
- (c) Two concentric spheres in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathcal{H} contains the functions which are +1 for $a \leq \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2} \leq b$.

Figure 2: Source: Abu-Mostafa et al. Learning from data. AMLbook.

Solution

- (a) **Positive or negative ray:** The growth function for positive rays is $N + 1$. Now, for negative rays we get $N - 1$ numbers of new dichotomies (the opposite of the ones from positive rays - two dichotomies where all points are +1 and where all are -1. Hence,

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = N + 1 + N - 1 = 2N$$

$$d_{VC} = 2 \quad [\text{As } m_{\mathcal{H}}(3) = 6 < 2^3]$$

- (b) **Positive or negative interval:** The growth function for positive interval is $\binom{N+1}{2} + 1$ as we can place interval ends in two of $N + 1$ spots. Similarly, growth function for negative interval is $\binom{N+1}{2} + 1$. Now, we need to subtract the number of dichotomies covered by both the positive and negative interval. The overlap is $2N$ where all the positive and negative points are grouped separately (Consider for $N = 3$, 6 dichotomies are covered by both). Hence,

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = \binom{N+1}{2} + 1 + \binom{N+1}{2} + 1 - 2N = N^2 - N + 2$$

$$d_{VC} = 3 \quad [\text{As } m_{\mathcal{H}}(4) = 14 < 2^4]$$

Now, we need to add the number of dichotomies for negative interval. For negative interval, we can place interval ends in two of $N - 1$ spots as we need to subtract two spots where all points are +1 and all are -1. Therefore, for negative interval, the number of dichotomies is $\binom{N-1}{2}$. Hence,

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = \binom{N+1}{2} + 1 + \binom{N-1}{2} = N^2 - N + 2$$

$$d_{VC} = 3 \quad [\text{As } m_{\mathcal{H}}(4) = 14 < 2^4]$$

- (c) **Two concentric spheres in \mathbb{R}^d :** We can map two concentric sphere from \mathbb{R}^d to $[0, \infty)$ by using the function below:

$$f : (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \mapsto r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2}$$

Now, the problem of two concentric circles in \mathbb{R}^d is equivalent to the problem of positive interval. Hence,

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = \binom{N+1}{2} = \frac{N^2}{2} + \frac{N}{2} + 1$$

$$d_{VC} = 2 \quad [\text{As } m_{\mathcal{H}}(3) = 7 < 2^3]$$

3 Exercise 3

Solve Problem 2.4 in LFD.

Problem 2.4 Show that $B(N, k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i}$ by showing the other direction to Lemma 2.3, namely that

$$B(N, k) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i}.$$

To do so, construct a specific set of $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i}$ dichotomies that does not shatter any subset of k variables. *[Hint: Try limiting the number of -1 's in each dichotomy.]*

Figure 3: Source: Abu-Mostafa et al. Learning from data. AMLbook.

Solution

Let's assume that we have N points and $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^N$. Now, we focus on the dichotomies that contains $(k-1)$ -1 's. These dichotomies are:

- Number of dichotomies that doesn't contain -1 : $\binom{N}{0} = 1$.
- Number of dichotomies that contain one -1 : $\binom{N}{1} = N$.
- Number of dichotomies that contain two -1 's : $\binom{N}{2}$.
- Number of dichotomies that contain three -1 's : $\binom{N}{3}$.
- ...
- Number of dichotomies that contain $(k-1)$ -1 's : $\binom{N}{k-1}$.

In total there are $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i}$ such dichotomies. Moreover, these dichotomies do not shatter any subset of k variables and the set does not have any dichotomy which contains k (-1) s. Hence,

$$B(N, k) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i}$$

Now, Sauer's lemma is:

$$B(N, k) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i}$$

Therefore, we can conclude that,

$$B(N, k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i}$$

4 Exercise 4

Solve Problem 2.5 in LFD.

Problem 2.5 Prove by induction that $\sum_{i=0}^D \binom{N}{i} \leq N^D + 1$, hence

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) \leq N^{d_{\text{vc}}} + 1.$$

Figure 4: Source: Abu-Mostafa et al. Learning from data. AMLbook.

Solution

To prove the inequality, let's look at the following cases:

- For $D = 0$,

$$1 = \binom{N}{0} \leq N^0 + 1$$

- Consider the inequality is true for D ($D \geq 1$),

$$\sum_{i=0}^D \binom{N}{i} \leq N^D + 1$$

- Now, we need to prove that it is true for $D + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{D+1} \binom{N}{i} &= \sum_{i=0}^D \binom{N}{i} + \binom{N}{D+1} \\ &\leq N^D + 1 + \binom{N}{D+1} \\ &\leq N^D + 1 + \frac{N!}{(D+1)!(N-D-1)!} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we want to prove that $\frac{N!}{(N-D-1)!} \leq N^{D+1}$,

$$\frac{N!}{(N-D-1)!} = \prod_{i=0}^D (N-i) \leq N^{D+1}$$

Therefore, we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{D+1} \binom{N}{i} &\leq N^D + 1 + \frac{N^{D+1}}{(D+1)!} \\ &\leq N^D + 1 + \frac{N^{D+1}}{2} \quad [\text{As } D \geq 1, \text{ we have } (D+1)! \geq 2 \iff \frac{1}{(D+1)!} \leq \frac{1}{2}] \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have assumed $N \geq D + 1$ (otherwise, $\binom{N}{D+1} = 0$). So, $N \geq 2$ and consequently

$$\frac{1}{N} \leq \frac{1}{2} \iff \frac{N^D}{N^{D+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \iff N^D \leq \frac{N^{D+1}}{2}$$

Hence we can write,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{D+1} \binom{N}{i} &\leq N^D + 1 + \frac{N^{D+1}}{2} \\
&\leq \frac{N^{D+1}}{2} + 1 + \frac{N^{D+1}}{2} \\
&\leq N^{D+1} + 1
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have proved $\sum_{i=0}^D \binom{N}{i} \leq N^D + 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{d_{VC}} \binom{N}{i} \\
&\leq N^{d_{VC}} + 1
\end{aligned}$$

5 Exercise 5

Solve Problem 2.13 in LFD..

Problem 2.13

- (a) Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_M\}$ with some finite M . Prove that $d_{\text{VC}}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \log_2 M$.
- (b) For hypothesis sets $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_K$ with finite VC dimensions $d_{\text{VC}}(\mathcal{H}_k)$, derive and prove the tightest upper and lower bound that you can get on $d_{\text{VC}}(\cap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k)$.
- (c) For hypothesis sets $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_K$ with finite VC dimensions $d_{\text{VC}}(\mathcal{H}_k)$, derive and prove the tightest upper and lower bounds that you can get on $d_{\text{VC}}(\cup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k)$.

Figure 5: Source: Abu-Mostafa et al. Learning from data. AMLbook.

Solution

- (a) Let, $d_{VC} = d$, then, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(d) = 2^d$ (by definition). Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_{\mathcal{H}}(d) &= \max_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d} |\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)| \\
 &= \max_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d} |\{(h(x_1), h(x_2), \dots, h(x_d)) : h \in \mathcal{H}\}| \\
 &= \max_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d} |\{(h(x_1), h(x_2), \dots, h(x_d)) : h \in \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_M\}\}| \\
 &\leq |\mathcal{H}| = M
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can write,

$$\begin{aligned}
 2^d &\leq M \\
 \iff d &\leq \log_2(M)
 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) At worst, we have $\cap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k = \{h\}$. Here, trivially VC dimension is 0 as $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1$ for all N . So, we can write $d_{VC}(\cap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \geq 0$.
Now, we will prove that,

$$d_{VC}(\cap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \leq \min_{1 \leq k \leq K} d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

To prove that let's assume,

$$d_{VC}(\cap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) > \min_{1 \leq k \leq K} d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k) = d$$

It means that $\cap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k$ can shatter $d+1$ points, let x_1, \dots, x_{d+1} be those points. Now, we may write,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{-1, +1\}^{d+1} &= \cap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k(x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}) \\
 &= \{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_{d+1})) : h \in \cap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k\} \\
 &\subseteq \{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_{d+1})) : h \in \mathcal{H}_k\} \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, K
 \end{aligned}$$

If we compute the cardinality of these sets, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{d+1} &\leq |\{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_{d+1})) : h \in \mathcal{H}_k\}| \leq 2^{d+1} \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, K \\ \Rightarrow |\{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_{d+1})) : h \in \mathcal{H}_k\}| &= 2^{d+1} \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, K \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, any \mathcal{H}_k can shatter $d+1$ points.

Now, let $\min_{1 \leq k \leq K} d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k) = d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_{k_0})$. Then we have,

$$d = d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_{k_0}) \geq d+1$$

which is not possible. Hence,

$$0 \leq d_{VC}(\cap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \leq \min_{1 \leq k \leq K} d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

(c) Let, $d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k) = d_k$ for all $k = 1, \dots, K$. This implies \mathcal{H}_k shatters d_k points x_1, \dots, x_{d_k} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \{-1, +1\}^{d_k} &= \{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_{d_k})) : h \in \mathcal{H}_k\} \\ &\subset \{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_{d_k})) : h \in \cup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k\} \end{aligned}$$

Now if we compute the cardinality of these sets, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{d_k} &\leq |\{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_{d_k})) : h \in \cup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k\}| \leq 2^{d_k} \\ \Rightarrow |\{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_{d_k})) : h \in \cup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k\}| &= 2^{d_k} \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, K \end{aligned}$$

More simply we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\cup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k}(d_k) &= 2^{d_k} \quad \forall k \\ \Rightarrow d_{VC}(\cup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) &\geq d_k \quad \forall k \\ \Rightarrow d_{VC}(\cup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) &\geq \max_{1 \leq k \leq K} d_k = \max_{1 \leq k \leq K} d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k) \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider $K = 2$ and $d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_1) = d_1$ and $d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_2) = d_2$. The number of dichotomies generated by $\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2$ is at most the sum of the dichotomies generated by \mathcal{H}_1 and by \mathcal{H}_2 . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2} &\leq m_{\mathcal{H}_1} + m_{\mathcal{H}_2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{d_1} \binom{N}{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{d_2} \binom{N}{i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{d_1} \binom{N}{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{d_2} \binom{N}{N-i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{d_1} \binom{N}{i} + \sum_{i=N-d_2}^N \binom{N}{i} \\ &< \sum_{i=0}^{d_1} \binom{N}{i} + \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{N-d_2-1} \binom{N}{i} + \sum_{i=N-d_2}^N \binom{N}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^N \binom{N}{i} = 2^N \end{aligned}$$

$\forall N$ such that $d_1 + 1 \leq N - d_2 - 1 \iff N \geq d_1 + d_2 + 1$. So, we can deduce that

$$d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2) \leq d_1 + d_2 + 1$$

Now, we will prove by induction,

$$d_{VC}(\cup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \leq K - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^K d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

- For $K = 2$,

$$d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2) \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^2 d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k) \quad [\text{Already proven}]$$

- Consider it is true for $K - 1$,

$$d_{VC}(\cup_{k=1}^{K-1} \mathcal{H}_k) \leq K - 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

- For K ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{VC}(\cup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) &= d_{VC}((\cup_{k=1}^{K-1} \mathcal{H}_k) \cup \mathcal{H}_K) \\ &\leq 1 + d_{VC}(\cup_{k=1}^{K-1} \mathcal{H}_k) + d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_K) \\ &\leq 1 + K - 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k) + d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_K) \\ &\leq K - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^K d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain,

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq K} d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k) \leq d_{VC}(\cup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \leq K - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^K d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$