

# Code Review

## Functionality Reviewed

- **Signup Functionality** (/signup route in Flask application).
- 

## 1. Code Review Plan

### Checklist:

#### 1. Functionality:

- Does the code handle both GET and POST requests correctly?
- Are all user roles (Customer, Salesperson, Admin) handled properly?

#### 2. Security:

- Are SQL queries parameterized to prevent SQL injection?
- Is user input validated for missing or invalid data?
- Is password hashing implemented?

#### 3. Error Handling:

- Does the code handle database connection errors gracefully?
- Are invalid roles handled with appropriate feedback?

#### 4. Code Quality:

- Are there redundant or unnecessary lines of code?
- Is the code modular and reusable?

#### 5. Post-Signup Behavior:

- Does the user get redirected appropriately after successful signup?

## Code Review

### 2. Findings

Review Criteria	Observation	Recommendation
GET and POST Handling	The functionality supports both `GET` and `POST` methods correctly.	No changes needed.
Role Handling	The code handles roles correctly by routing data to the appropriate database table based on the user role.	Add a default case to handle unexpected roles explicitly with a custom error message.
SQL Queries	Queries use parameterized placeholders (`?`) to prevent SQL injection.	Consider using hashed passwords instead of storing plaintext passwords.
Validation	No validation is done to check if the email already exists in the database.	Add a query to check if the email is unique before inserting a new record.
Error Handling	Errors are handled using a `try...except` block, but the error message is directly returned to the user, exposing database-related information.	Log the error internally and return a user-friendly message like <b>'Something went wrong. Try again later.'</b>
Code Quality	The code is clean, but the SQL query logic for roles is repeated.	Refactor the code to make it modular by moving the role-based logic to a helper function.
Redirection	After successful signup, the user is redirected to the homepage (`/`).	No changes needed.

## Code Review

### 3. Updates to Code

#### Before Review:

```
if role == 'Customer':
    query = "INSERT INTO Customer (Name, Email, Password) VALUES (?, ?, ?)"
elif role == 'Salesperson':
    query = "INSERT INTO SalesPerson (Name, Email, Password) VALUES (?, ?, ?)"
elif role == 'Admin':
    query = "INSERT INTO Admin (Name, Email, Password) VALUES (?, ?, ?)"
else:
    return "Invalid role selected"
```

#### After Review (Refactor the role handling logic to reduce redundancy):

```
role_table_mapping = {
    'Customer': 'Customer',
    'Salesperson': 'SalesPerson',
    'Admin': 'Admin'
}
if role in role_table_mapping:
    query = f"INSERT INTO {role_table_mapping[role]} (Name, Email, Password) VALUES (?, ?, ?)"
else:
    return "Invalid role selected"
```

#### Before Review (No Email Uniqueness Check):

```
cursor.execute(query, (name, email, password))
```

#### After Review (With Email Uniqueness Check):

```
check_email_query = f"SELECT COUNT(*) FROM {role_table_mapping[role]} WHERE Email = ?"
cursor.execute(check_email_query, (email,))
if cursor.fetchone()[0] > 0:
    return "Email already exists. Please use a different email."

cursor.execute(query, (name, email, password))
```

## Code Review

### Before Review (Error Messages Expose Details):

```
except Exception as e:  
    return f"Error: {e}"
```

### After Review (Friendly Error Messages):

```
except Exception as e:  
    print(f"Error during signup: {e}")  
    return "An error occurred. Please try again later."
```

## 4. Results

- **Issues Resolved:**
  - Prevents duplicate email signups.
  - Improved security with proper error handling.
  - Simplified and modular role handling.
- **Remaining Concerns:**
  - Passwords are stored as plaintext (implement hashing in future iterations).