#### **Terms**

- Interference graph —
- Basic block a sequence of statements with one program point of entry (at the start of the block) and one point of exit (at the end of the block) ... i.e. there is no side exists.
- Super block A basic block that allows for side exists.
- Normal loop —
- Back edge an edge a -> b such that bDOMa
- $\bullet~$   $\mathit{SSA}$  Single static assignment. Each use has only one corresponding def.
- Extended SSA —
- Phi Functions a phi function encodes which edges are being entered into the basic block and picks values depending on which edge is entered.
- Dominator a node d dominates n (written dDOMn or  $d \gg n$ ) if every path from the start node to n contains d
- $\bullet$  Immediate Dominator —
- Dominance Frontier —

## Presentation

#### Points-to Analysis in Almost Linear Time (Steensgaard)

**Definitions** Let a, b, and c be program variables, we define:

- $a \ points-to \ b$  there is a statement of the form a=&b or a=c such that c=&b
- a aliases b there is a variable c such that a points-to c and b points-to c
- a flows-to c c points-to a
- Flow sensitivity —
- Context sensitivity —
- Object sensitivity —
- Unification —
- Heap Modeling —
- Modeling Aggregates —

Main Idea Compute the flow and context insensitive points-to set in linear time. This method was the first to be able to process hundreds of thousands of lines of C code. Compared to Andersen (subset based method) it is less precise.

Algorithm Steensgaard introduces a simple language

Note that this language captures a lot of the essence of pointer behavior in C. If one has the following C program for example:

```
int func(int a, int b)
```

He also introduces a simple type system:

```
\alpha ::= \tau \times \lambda
\tau ::= \bot \times \operatorname{ref}(\alpha)
\lambda ::= \bot \times \operatorname{lam}(\alpha_1 ... \alpha_n)(\alpha_{n+1} ... \alpha_{n+m})
```

The algorithm is based on unification. Written in datalog (prolog):

```
wellTyped(x = y) = pointsTo().
```

Conclusions

# **Papers**

Data Dependences (High Performance Compilers for Parallel Computing Chapter 5)

**Definitions** Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two statements, we define:

- IN(S) The set of variables used in  $S_1$
- OUT(S) The set of variables written in Subscript S
- Flow Dependence  $(S_1\delta^f S_2)$  variable written and then used (RAW) ...  $OUT(S_1) \cap IN(S_2) \neq \emptyset$
- Anti-Dependence  $(S_1\delta^a S_2)$  variable used and then written (WAR) ...  $IN(S_1) \cap OUT(S_2) \neq \emptyset$
- Output-Dependence  $(S_1\delta^o S_2)$  variable written and then written (WAW) ...  $OUT(S_1) \cap OUT(S_2) \neq \emptyset$
- Input Dependence  $(S_1\delta^i S_2)$  variable is used and then used ...  $IN(S_1) \cap IN(S_2) \neq \emptyset$
- Dependence  $(S_1\delta^*S_2)$   $S_1\delta^fS_2\vee S_1\delta^aS_2\vee S_1\delta^oS_2$
- $\bullet$  Address Based Dependence —
- Value Based Dependence —
- Index Variable Iteration Vector  $(i^{iv} = (i_1 \ i_2 \ \vdots \ i_n))$  —
- Direction Vector —
- Distance Vector —
- Iteration Space —

# Main Idea

## Algorithm

#### Conclusions

Data Dependences (High Performance Compilers for Parallel Computing Chapter 9)

Main Idea

Algorithm

Conclusions

A Data Locality Optimizing Algorithm
Main Idea
Algorithm
Conclusions
Parameterized Object Sensitivity for Points-to Analysis for Java
Main Idea
Algorithm
Conclusions
Code generation schema for modulo scheduled loops
Main Idea
Algorithm
Conclusions
An Overview of the PL.8 Compiler
Main Idea Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.
Algorithm
Conclusions
LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis & Transformation
Main Idea

## Algorithm

#### Conclusions

#### Global Data Flow Analysis and Iterative Algorithms

#### Main Idea

- Distributive —
- Constant Propagation not distributive.

#### **Definitions**

- Post order vist left child, right child, then root
- Reverse post order reverse order of the post order traversal
- Reaching Definitions a forward may problem

One can represent this as a lattice with  $L=2^u$  with u being the set of all variables along with their labels generated in the procedure  $(variable \times label)$ . The meet operator  $\wedge$  is  $\cup$  and  $\bot$  is the empty set  $\emptyset$  and  $\top$  being the set of all expressions u. For a node n the transfer function  $f_n$  is  $f_n = Gen_{var}[n] \cup (x \cap Kill_{var}[n])$ 

• Available Expressions — Forward must problem

One can represent this as a lattice with  $L=2^u$  with u being the set of all expressions computed in the procedure. The meet operator  $\wedge$  is  $\cap$  and  $\bot$  is the empty set  $\emptyset$  and  $\top$  being the set of all expressions u. For a node n the transfer function  $f_n$  is  $f_n = Gen_{expression}[n] \cup (x \cap Kill_{expression}[n])$ 

- Dominator Forward must problem
- Live Variable Backward may problem
- Very Busy Backward must problem
- Earilest —
- Anticipable Expressions —
- Def-Use —
- Use-Def —
- Constant Propagation —

Algorithm Kam and Ullman introduce a depth-first iterative algorithm

```
In[start] = \bot
for j = 2 to k do
    // if \top \in L use In[j] = \top
    In[j] = /\_{q \in pred*(j)} f_q(In[q])
end
change = true
while change do
    change = false
    for j = 2 to k do // in rPostOrder
        temp = /\_{q \in pred(j)} f_q(In[q])
        if temp != In[j]
            change = true
            In[j] = temp
        end
end
```

With pred\* defined as  $\{q \mid q \in pred(j) \text{ and } q < j \text{ in rPostOrder}\}.$ 

Kildall proved that this iterative algorithm converges and computes the maximum fixed point solution. He also showed that  $In[n] \le MOP[n]$  meaning that the solution is safe and if the transfer function is distributive then MOP = MFP. Kam and Ulman showed that if the transfer function is monotone, then MOP >= MFP.

In practice it takes a few iterations for this loop to converge.

Conclusions

Lazy Code Motion

Main Idea

Algorithm

Conclusions

Efficiently computing static single assignment form and the control dependence graph

Main Idea

Algorithm

Conclusions

# Program Analysis via Graph Reachability

Main Idea Represent data flow as a CFL and use reachability to compute the solution. The following program, for example,

```
func p(g) {
    return g + 1;
}
int x = 1;
int y = 1;
p(x);
p(y);
```

is represented by

```
x = 1; y = 1; (_p x + 1)_p (_p y + 1)_p
```

You can express data flow equations and pointer analysis using CFL reachability.

# Algorithm

# Conclusions

# Exploiting Superword Level Parallelism with Multimedia Instruction Sets

Main Idea Collect chunks of expressions and fuse them to generate vector instructions. For example, if you have the following set of statements:

```
a = x + s
b = y + t
c = z + u
d = w + v
```

then the compiler pass will generate use vectorized add

```
xyzw = float4(x,y,z,w)
stuv = float4(s,t,u,v)
abcd = xyzw + stuv
```

The difficultly happens when you have divergence and have to introduce dummy expressions to faciliate vectorization. The packing/unpacking is also slightly tricky.

# Algorithm

Conclusions

# Other References

Pointer Analysis: Haven't We Solved This Problem Yet?

Main Idea

Algorithm

Conclusions