# Compiler Qualification Exam

## Terms

- Interference graph A graph G = (V, E) where V is the set of variables and an edge  $v_i \to v_j$  exists iff  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ 's live ranges overlap. The interference graph is usually used to perform register allocation, since a register cannot be used for two different variables live a program point.
- Basic block a sequence of statements with one program point of entry (at the start of the block) and one point of exit (at the end of the block) ... i.e. there is no side exists. More formally, a sequence of statements  $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n$  forms a basic block iff  $s_i$  dominates  $s_j$  if i > j and  $s_i$  is not a jump when i < n.
- Super block a basic block with side exists allowed. Can be used to optimize program layout (avoid unessary jumps).
- Normal loop a loop with an edge  $a \to b$  where the head of the edge dominates its tail (b DOM a)
- Back edge an edge  $a \to b$  such that b DOM a
- SSA A property of the IR form such that a virtual register is only assigned once. This implies that there is only one def for each virtual register. It simplifies a lot of analysis. In live range analysis, for example, one needs to look at the preceding def to find the def use chain.
- Extended SSA —
- Phi Functions a phi function encodes which edges are being entered into the basic block and picks values depending on which edge is entered.
- Dominator a node d dominates n (written d DOM n or  $d \gg n$ ) if every path from the start node to n contains d. d strictly dominates n if d DOM n and  $d \neq n$ .
- $\bullet$  Immediate Dominator —
- Dominance Frontier The dominance frontier of x is the set of all nodes w such that x dominates the predecessor of w and x does not strictly dominate w.
- Def-Use Chain A datastructure consisting of a a definition D of the variable and all uses U of that variable that can reach the use without being killed.

• Use-Def Chain — A datastructure consisting of a a use U of the variable and all definitions D of that variable that may reach the use without being killed. Note  $d \in Defs(u)iffu \in Uses(d)$ . The Defs chain can be computed using reaching definitions and then inverted to compute the Uses. For example

```
    if (cond)
    x = ...
    else
    x = ...
    end
```

 $6. \ldots = x$ 

then  $Use - Def(x_6) = S_6 \times S_2$ ,  $S_4$  since both defs in  $S_2$  and  $S_4$  can reach  $S_6$ 

Both the def-use and use-def can be computed using data flow analysis. For the def-use set, we can compute the set

```
Let Kill(S_i : x = ...) = \{S_i : x\}
Let Gen(S_i : ... = x) = \{S_i : x\}
```

Initialize Def\_IN(BB\_i) = {} and Def\_Out(BB\_i) = Gen(BB\_i) and solve the
following iteratively:

```
Def_IN(BB_i) = U_{BB_p \in pred(BB_i)} Def_OUT(BB_p)
Def_OUT(BB_i) = Gen(BB_i) U (Def_IN(BB_i) \ Kill(BB_i))
```

This will calculate the kill and gen set for a basic IR language

```
ClearAll[kill]
kill[Statement[n_, Instruction["Store", {x_, ___}]]] := x
kill[BasicBlock[_, stmts_]] := DeleteDuplicates[kill /@ stmts]
kill[Program[bbs_]] := kill /@ bbs
kill[___] := {}
ClearAll[gen]
gen[Statement[n_, Instruction[_, {_, uses__}]]] := uses
gen[BasicBlock[_, stmts_]] := DeleteDuplicates[gen /@ stmts]
gen[Program[bbs_]] := gen /@ bbs
gen[___] := {}
```

For a given program

```
prog = Program[{
    BasicBlock[1, {
        Statement[1, Instruction["Store", {x, p}]],
        Statement[1, Instruction["Store", {z, p}]]
    }],
    BasicBlock[2, {
        Statement[2, Instruction["Store", {x, q}]]
    }],
    BasicBlock[3, {
        Statement[3, Instruction["Store", {z, x}]]
    }]
}];
```

The kill set for the basic blocks are  $\{\{x, z\}, \{x\}, \{z\}\}\}$  and the gen set are  $\{\{p\}, \{q\}, \{x\}\}\}$ . Then one can calculate the in and out sets by finding the fixed points using the above transfer function.

## Presentation

#### Points-to Analysis in Almost Linear Time (Steensgaard)

**Definitions** Let a, b, and c be program variables, we define:

- a points-to b there is a statement of the form a = & b or a = c such that c = & b
- a aliases b there is a variable c such that a points-to c and b points-to c
- a flows-to c c points-to a
- Flow sensitivity —
- Context sensitivity —
- Object sensitivity —
- Path sensitivity —
- Unification —
- Heap Modeling —
- Modeling Aggregates —

Main Idea Compute the flow and context insensitive points-to set in linear time. This method was the first to be able to process hundreds of thousands of lines of C code. Compared to Andersen (subset based method) it is less precise.

Algorithm Steensgaard introduces a simple language

Note that this language captures a lot of the essence of pointer behavior in C. If one has the following C program for example:

```
int func(int a, int b)
```

He also introduces a simple type system:

```
\begin{split} \alpha &:= \tau \times \lambda \\ \tau &:= \bot \times \operatorname{ref}(\alpha) \\ \lambda &:= \bot \times \operatorname{lam}(\alpha_1 ... \alpha_n)(\alpha_{n+1} ... \alpha_{n+m}) \end{split}
```

The algorithm is based on unification. Written in datalog (prolog):

```
wellTyped(x = y) = pointsTo().
```

#### Conclusions

## **Papers**

Data Dependences (High Performance Compilers for Parallel Computing Chapter 5)

**Definitions** Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two statements, we define:

- IN(S) The set of variables used in  $S_1$
- OUT(S) The set of variables written in Subscript S
- Flow Dependence  $(S_1\delta^f S_2)$  variable written and then used (RAW) ...  $OUT(S_1) \cap IN(S_2) \neq \emptyset$

- Anti-Dependence  $(S_1\delta^a S_2)$  variable used and then written (WAR) ...  $IN(S_1) \cap OUT(S_2) \neq \emptyset$
- Output-Dependence  $(S_1\delta^o S_2)$  variable written and then written (WAW) ...  $OUT(S_1)\cap OUT(S_2)\neq\emptyset$
- Input Dependence  $(S_1\delta^i S_2)$  variable is used and then used ...  $IN(S_1) \cap IN(S_2) \neq \emptyset$
- Dependence  $(S_1\delta^*S_2)$   $S_1\delta^fS_2\vee S_1\delta^aS_2\vee S_1\delta^oS_2$
- $\bullet \ \ Address \ Based \ Dependence --$
- Value Based Dependence —
- Index Variable Iteration Vector  $(i^{iv} = (i_1 \ i_2 \ \vdots \ i_n))$  —
- ullet Direction Vector —
- Distance Vector —
- Iteration Space —

Main Idea

Algorithm

Conclusions

Data Dependences (High Performance Compilers for Parallel Computing Chapter 9)

Main Idea

Algorithm

Conclusions

A Data Locality Optimizing Algorithm

Main Idea

Algorithm

Parameterized Object Sensitivity for Points-to Analysis for Java Main Idea Algorithm Conclusions Code generation schema for modulo scheduled loops Main Idea Algorithm Conclusions An Overview of the PL.8 Compiler Main Idea Simplify compiler development by introducing a seperation of concerns. This just means that you make each part of the compiler into an independent component that you can debug and optimize seperatly. The downside of seperation of concerns is that you may have to compute a pass more than once. Algorithm None. Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis & Transformation
Algorithm  Conclusions  Code generation schema for modulo scheduled loops  Main Idea  Algorithm  Conclusions  An Overview of the PL.8 Compiler  Main Idea Simplify compiler development by introducing a seperation of concerns. This just means that you make each part of the compiler into an independent component that you can debug and optimize seperatly. The downside of seperation of concerns is that you may have to compute a pass more than once.  Algorithm None.  Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
Conclusions  Code generation schema for modulo scheduled loops  Main Idea  Algorithm  Conclusions  An Overview of the PL.8 Compiler  Main Idea Simplify compiler development by introducing a seperation of concerns. This just means that you make each part of the compiler into an independent component that you can debug and optimize seperatly. The downside of seperation of concerns is that you may have to compute a pass more than once.  Algorithm None.  Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LIVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
Conclusions  Code generation schema for modulo scheduled loops  Main Idea  Algorithm  Conclusions  An Overview of the PL.8 Compiler  Main Idea Simplify compiler development by introducing a seperation of concerns. This just means that you make each part of the compiler into an independent component that you can debug and optimize seperatly. The downside of seperation of concerns is that you may have to compute a pass more than once.  Algorithm None.  Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
Code generation schema for modulo scheduled loops  Main Idea  Algorithm  Conclusions  An Overview of the PL.8 Compiler  Main Idea Simplify compiler development by introducing a seperation of concerns. This just means that you make each part of the compiler into an independent component that you can debug and optimize seperatly. The downside of seperation of concerns is that you may have to compute a pass more than once.  Algorithm None.  Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
Main Idea  Algorithm  Conclusions  An Overview of the PL.8 Compiler  Main Idea Simplify compiler development by introducing a seperation of concerns. This just means that you make each part of the compiler into an independent component that you can debug and optimize seperatly. The downside of seperation of concerns is that you may have to compute a pass more than once.  Algorithm None.  Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
An Overview of the PL.8 Compiler  Main Idea Simplify compiler development by introducing a seperation of concerns. This just means that you make each part of the compiler into an independent component that you can debug and optimize seperatly. The downside of seperation of concerns is that you may have to compute a pass more than once.  Algorithm None.  Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
Conclusions  An Overview of the PL.8 Compiler  Main Idea Simplify compiler development by introducing a seperation of concerns. This just means that you make each part of the compiler into an independent component that you can debug and optimize seperatly. The downside of seperation of concerns is that you may have to compute a pass more than once.  Algorithm None.  Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
An Overview of the PL.8 Compiler  Main Idea Simplify compiler development by introducing a seperation of concerns. This just means that you make each part of the compiler into an independent component that you can debug and optimize seperatly. The downside of seperation of concerns is that you may have to compute a pass more than once.  Algorithm None.  Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
Main Idea Simplify compiler development by introducing a seperation of concerns. This just means that you make each part of the compiler into an independent component that you can debug and optimize seperatly. The downside of seperation of concerns is that you may have to compute a pass more than once.  Algorithm None.  Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
concerns. This just means that you make each part of the compiler into an independent component that you can debug and optimize seperatly. The downside of seperation of concerns is that you may have to compute a pass more than once.  Algorithm None.  Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
Conclusions Seperation of concerns means that one can develop passes that do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
do not depend on each other — essentially turning the optimization phases into a dataflow sequence.  LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis &
Main Idea
Algorithm

#### Conclusions

#### Global Data Flow Analysis and Iterative Algorithms

#### Main Idea

- Distributive —
- Constant Propagation not distributive.

#### **Definitions**

- Post order vist left child, right child, then root
- Reverse post order reverse order of the post order traversal
- Reaching Definitions a forward may problem

One can represent this as a lattice with  $L=2^u$  with u being the set of all variables along with their labels generated in the procedure  $(variable \times label)$ . The meet operator  $\wedge$  is  $\cup$  and  $\bot$  is the empty set  $\emptyset$  and  $\top$  being the set of all expressions u. For a node n the transfer function  $f_n$  is  $f_n = Gen_{var}[n] \cup (x \cap Kill_{var}[n])$ 

• Available Expressions — Forward must problem

One can represent this as a lattice with  $L=2^u$  with u being the set of all expressions computed in the procedure. The meet operator  $\wedge$  is  $\cap$  and  $\bot$  is the empty set  $\emptyset$  and  $\top$  being the set of all expressions u. For a node n the transfer function  $f_n$  is  $f_n = Gen_{expression}[n] \cup (x \cap Kill_{expression}[n])$ 

- Dominator Forward must problem
- Live Variable Backward may problem
- Very Busy Backward must problem
- Earilest —
- Anticipable Expressions —
- Def-Use —
- Use-Def —
- Constant Propagation —

Algorithm Kam and Ullman introduce a depth-first iterative algorithm

```
In[start] = \bot
for j = 2 to k do
    // if \top \in L use In[j] = \top
    In[j] = /\_{q \in pred*(j)} f_q(In[q])
end
change = true
while change do
    change = false
    for j = 2 to k do // in rPostOrder
        temp = /\_{q \in pred(j)} f_q(In[q])
        if temp != In[j]
            change = true
            In[j] = temp
        end
end
```

With pred\* defined as  $\{q \mid q \in pred(j) \text{ and } q < j \text{ in rPostOrder}\}.$ 

Kildall proved that this iterative algorithm converges and computes the maximum fixed point solution. He also showed that  $In[n] \le MOP[n]$  meaning that the solution is safe and if the transfer function is distributive then MOP = MFP. Kam and Ulman showed that if the transfer function is monotone, then MOP >= MFP.

In practice it takes a few iterations for this loop to converge.

## Conclusions

Lazy Code Motion

Main Idea

# Algorithm

#### Conclusions

Efficiently computing static single assignment form and the control dependence graph

**Main Idea** Compute where to place the  $\phi$  functions by computing the dominance frontier of the node.

**Algorithm** A node n dominates m if all paths from the start node to m contain the node n. The dominance graph is composed of

We can compute the dominance graph using a data flow algorithm with  $Dom(start)=\emptyset$  and Dom(n)=

#### Conclusions

## Program Analysis via Graph Reachability

**Main Idea** Represent data flow as a CFL and use reachability to compute the solution. The following program, for example,

```
func p(g) {
    return g + 1;
}
int x = 1;
int y = 1;
p(x);
p(y);

is represented by

x = 1 ; y = 1 ; (_p x + 1 )_p (_p y + 1 )_p
```

You can express data flow equations and pointer analysis using CFL reachability.

# Algorithm

# Exploiting Superword Level Parallelism with Multimedia Instruction Sets

Main Idea Construct SLP expressions that can be mapped onto SIMP operations by looking at statements within a basic block and combining them if they use the same operation. Optimizations in the scheduler can be made to avoid packing/unpacking of the data.

#### **Definitions**

• Isomorphic Statements — are statments that perform the same operations in the same order. The SLP algorithm executes these statments in parallel using a technique called *statement packing*. For example:

$$a = b + c * z[i + 0] d = e + f * z[i + 1] r = s + t * z[i + 2] w = x + y * z[i + 3]$$

can be transformed to

$$\{a, d, r, w\} = \{b, e, s, x\} + Simd \{c, f, t, y\} * Simd \{z[i+0], z[i+1], z[i+2], z[i+3]\}$$

- A pack is an n-tuple,  $\langle s_1, \ldots, s_n \rangle$ , with  $s_1, \ldots, s_n$  are independent isomorphic statements in a basic block.
- A PackSet is a set of packs.
- A pair is a pack of size two  $\rangle s_{left}, s_{right} \rangle$ .
- Vectorization is a special case of SLP where you try to vectorize the same statement across loop iterations. SLP tries to vectorize different statements within the same loop iteration.

#### **Algorithm** A high level flow of the transformation is:

- 1. Unroll loop to transform vector parallelism into SLP
- 2. Alignment analysis to align each load and store some architectures do not allow unaligned memory accesses
- 3. Transform IR into low level form and perform a series of standard compiler optimizations.

The SLP detection/transformation algorithm starts by by looking at independent pairs of statments that contain adjacent memory references. This is done using alignment information and array analysis (in practice nearly every memory reference is adjacent to at most two other references). The statements on the right are transformed into the ones on the left (Identify adjacent memory references).

```
UnPacked
                                                Packed
(1): a = b + c*d[i+0];
                          (2) : c = 3;
                                                (1) : a = b + c*d[i+0];
(2): c = 3;
                          (3) : b = a + c;
                                                (4) : x = y + z*d[i+1];
(3): b = a + c;
                          (5): z = 2;
                          (6) : y = x + z;
                                                (4) : x = y + z*d[i+1];
(4): x = y + z*d[i+1];
                          (8) : u = 1;
                                                (7) : s = t + u*d[i+2];
                          (9) : t = s + u;
(5): z = 2;
(6): y = x + z;
(7): s = t + u*d[i+2];
(8): u = 1;
(9): t = s + u;
```

The algorithm then flows the existing def - use chains of existing entries.

```
UnPacked
(2) : c = 3;
(1) : a = b + c*d[i+0];
(4) : x = y + z*d[i+1];
(5) : z = 2;
(6) : x = y + z*d[i+1];
(7) : s = t + u*d[i+2];
(3) : b = a + c;
(6) : y = x + z;
(6) : y = x + z;
(9) : t = s + u;
```

The algorithm then flows the existing use - def chains of existing entries.

```
(1) : a = b + c*d[i+0];
(4) : x = y + z*d[i+1];
(6) : x = y + z*d[i+1];
(7) : s = t + u*d[i+2];
(3) : b = a + c;
(6) : y = x + z;
(6) : y = x + z;
(9) : t = s + u;
(2) : c = 3;
(5) : z = 2;
```

```
(5) : z = 2; (6) : u = 1;
```

The algorithm then merges groups containing the same operations

```
(1) : a = b + c*d[i+0];
(4) : x = y + z*d[i+1];
(7) : s = t + u*d[i+2];

(3) : b = a + c;
(6) : y = x + z;
(9) : t = s + u;

(2) : c = 3;
(5) : z = 2;
(6) : u = 1;
```

The scheduler then looks at the dependence and schedules the operations as SIMD instructions

```
{a, x, s} = {b, y, t} + {c, z, u} * {d[i+0], d[i+1], d[i+2]} {c, z, u} = {3, 2, 1} {b, y, t} = {a, x, s} + {c, z, u}
```

#### Implementation

**Conclusions** Collect chunks of expressions and fuse them to generate vector instructions. For example, if you have the following set of statements:

```
a = x + s
b = y + t
c = z + u
d = w + v
```

then the compiler pass will generate use vectorized add

```
xyzw = float4(x,y,z,w)
stuv = float4(s,t,u,v)
abcd = xyzw + stuv
```

The difficultly happens when you have divergence and have to introduce dummy expressions to faciliate vectorization. The packing/unpacking is also slightly tricky.

Packing and unpacking costs may dominate the SIMD operation, the SLP algorithm detects when packed data produced as a result of one computation can be used directly as a source in another computation, hiding some of the packing/unpacking costs.

#### A Fast Fourier Transform Compiler

Main Idea They mention a few advantages of the special purpose FFTW compiler:

- Performance They are able to generate very performant code that beats hand optimized code. There code is optimal containing over 2400 lines of code including 912 additions and 248 multiplications.
- Correctness Since the algorithm is encoded in a high level language, and the code simplifications are simple algebraic rules, it is easy to prove correctness. In cases where the output was not correct, this was due to a bug in the compiler.
- Rapid Turnaround They are able to modify the compiler and regenerate the entire library in a very short time frame.
- Effectiveness Since the compiler is problem specific, it heavily optimize specific cases. The algebraic simplifications, for example, rely on the DFT being a linear transformation.
- Derive New Algorithm Through a combination of fusing different algorithms for different input sizes, the genfft compiler was able to discover new algorithms.

/A I	gor	11	nm
$\Delta$	201	10	

Implementation

Conclusions

A Comparison of Empirical and Model-Driven Optimization

Main Idea

Algorithm

Instrumentation Program Analysis Tools with Dynamic
Main Idea
Algorithm
Conclusions
Trace-based Just-in-Time Type Specialization for Dynamic Languages
Main Idea
Algorithm
Conclusions
Improvements to Graph Coloring Register Allocation
Main Idea
Algorithm
Conclusions
Automatic Generation of Peephole Superoptimizers
Main Idea
Algorithm
Conclusions
Automatic Predicate Abstraction of C Programs
Main Idea

## Algorithm

#### Conclusions

# Saturn: A Scalable Framework for Error Detection Using Boolean Satisfiability

#### Main Idea

## Notes

- A common problem is all SAT based solvers is their inability to analyze loops. That's because it is not possibel in most cases to construct a finite boolean formula representing a fully unrolled loop.
- The following statements about a flowgraph are equivalent (http://rgrig.blogspot.com/2009/10/dtfloatleftclesummary-of-some.html): It is reducible.
  - Every back edge has its source dominated by its target, for all DFS trees.
  - It has a unique DFS dag.
  - It can be transformed into a single node by repeated application of the transformations T1 and T2:
    - $\ast$  T1: Remove a cycle-edge.
    - \* T2: Pick a non-initial node y that has only one incoming edge x  $\rightarrow$  y and glue nodes x and y.

# Algorithm

#### Conclusions

ABCD: Eliminating Array Bounds Checks on Demand

Main Idea

Algorithm

# Other References

References

Pointer Analysis: Haven't We Solved This Problem Yet?

Main Idea

Algorithm