

Object-oriented Programming


Pure Virtual Functions & Abstract Classes

- In object-oriented programming...

**BETTER LATE
THAN ~~NEVER~~
EARLY**

RECAP: Virtual Functions

- Used to enforce *Late Binding* or *Runtime Binding*
- The compiler dynamically chooses the correct function to call based on the type of object reference used for the call



Abstract Class

- There are cases in which it's useful to define classes that are never instantiated. Such classes are called **abstract base classes** or simply **abstract classes**
- Abstract base classes are incomplete — derived classes must define the “missing pieces”

Abstract Class

- An abstract class provides a base class from which other classes can inherit
- Classes that can be used to instantiate objects are called **concrete classes**
- An abstract can inherit another abstract class

Example

- Suppose that we have an application that works with **2D** shapes
- We have a class called **2D** with a function **draw()**. But... what shape would the function draw? Should **draw()** be implemented in base class??
- We can extend the class with derived classes such as **Square**, **Triangle** & **Circle**. And provide implementation for **draw()**

Abstract Class

- Abstract base classes are too generic to define real objects
- Concrete classes provide the specifics that make it reasonable to instantiate objects
- Abstract classes support the *principle of Polymorphism*

Abstract Class

- Although **we cannot create objects of an abstract class**, we can use the *abstract base class* to declare pointers containing objects of its *derived class*
- Abstract class may contain concrete functions, which can be used (called) using child class instances

Pure Virtual Functions

- A class is made abstract by declaring one or more of its virtual functions to be “**pure**”
- A pure virtual function is specified by placing “**= 0**” in its declaration
- Example: **virtual void draw() = 0;**

Virtual vs Pure Virtual

- A virtual function has an implementation in the base class; a pure virtual function does not have an implementation in the base class
- Virtual functions *can be* overridden by the derived classes; pure virtual functions *must be* overridden by the derived classes

Example

```
class Employee  
{
```

```
    public:  
    virtual void work( ) = 0;  
};
```

```
class Pilot: public Employee  
{
```

```
    public:  
    void work( ) { cout << "Flies a plane"; }  
};
```

```
class Technician: public Employee  
{
```

```
    public:  
    void work( ) { cout << "Checks airplane for faults"; }  
}
```

Issue

- Q: Can abstract classes be used with multiple inheritance?
- A: Technically **yes**, but there is a risk that they could worsen the diamond problem
 - *Reason: Pure virtual functions in super class (grandparent) must be implement in ALL child classes. Hence, even virtual inheritance would be useless.*

Case Study

A company pays its employees weekly.

The employees are of four types: **Salaried employees** are paid a fixed weekly salary regardless of the number of hours worked, **hourly employees** are paid by the hour and receive overtime pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours, **commission employees** are paid a percentage of their sales and **base-salary-plus-commission employees** receive a base salary plus a % of their sales. For the current pay period, the company has decided to reward base-salary-plus-commission employees by adding 10 percent to their base salaries.