

# Foundation of Logic

---

## Foundation of Logic

# What is logic?

---

*"Logic is the beginning of wisdom, not the end"*

The branch of philosophy concerned with analysing the patterns of reasoning by which a conclusion is drawn from a set of premises, without reference to meaning or context is known as logic.

***(Collins English Dictionary)***

# Why is logic important?

---

- Logic is a **formal method for reasoning**.
- Logic is a formal language for **deducing** knowledge from a small number of explicitly stated **premises** (or hypotheses, axioms, facts).
- Logic provides a formal framework for **representing knowledge**.
- Logic differentiates between the **structure** and **content** of an argument.

# What is an argument?

---

- An argument is just a **sequence of statements**.
- Some of these statements, the **premises**, are assumed to be true and serve as a basis for accepting another statement of the argument, called the **conclusion**.

# Deduction and Inference

---

- If the conclusion is justified, based solely on the premises, the process of reasoning is called **deduction**.
- If the validity of the conclusion is based on *generalisation* from the premises, based on strong but inconclusive evidence, the process is called **inference** (sometimes called **induction**).

# Two examples

---

- **Deductive** argument:

*"Alexandria is a port or a holiday resort. Alexandria is not a port. Therefore, Alexandria is a holiday resort."*

- **Inductive** argument

*"Most students who did not do the tutorial questions will fail the exam. John did not do the tutorial questions. Therefore John will fail the exam."*

# Foundation of Logic

---

Mathematical Logic is a tool for working with compound statements. It includes:

- A formal language for expressing them.
- A methodology for objectively reasoning about their truth or falsity.
- It is the foundation for expressing formal proofs in all branches of mathematics.

We will talk about two logical systems:

- Propositional logic
- Predicate logic

# Propositional Logic

***Propositional Logic*** is the logic of compound statements built from simpler statements using so-called ***Boolean connectives***.

Some applications in computer science:

- Design of digital electronic circuits.
- Expressing conditions in programs.
- Queries to databases & search engines.

**Definition:** A *proposition* is simply:

- a *statement* (*i.e.*, a declarative sentence)
  - *with some definite meaning*
- having a *truth value* that's either *true* (T) or *false* (F)
  - it is never both, neither, or somewhere "in between!"
  - however, you might not *know* the actual truth value.



# Propositions in Propositional Logic

---

- Simple types of statements, called propositions, are treated as atomic building blocks for more complex statements.
- Atoms:  $p, q, r, \dots$   
(Corresponds with simple English sentences, e.g. **'I had salad for lunch'**)
- Complex propositions : built up from atoms using operators:  $p \wedge q$   
(Corresponds with compound English sentences, e.g., **"I had salad for lunch and I had steak for dinner."**)

# Some Popular Boolean Operators

---

<u>Formal Name</u>	<u>Nickname</u>	<u>Arity</u>	<u>Symbol</u>
Negation operator	NOT	Unary	$\neg$
Conjunction operator	AND	Binary	$\wedge$
Disjunction operator	OR	Binary	$\vee$
Exclusive-OR operator	XOR	Binary	$\oplus$
Implication operator	IMPLIES	Binary	$\rightarrow$
Biconditional operator	IFF	Binary	$\leftrightarrow$

# The Negation Operator

---

The unary *negation operator* “ $\neg$ ” (*NOT*) transforms a prop. into its *negation*.

*E.g.* If  $p =$  “I have brown hair.”

then  $\neg p =$  “I do **not** have brown hair.”

The *truth table* for NOT:

**T**  $\equiv$  True; **F**  $\equiv$  False

$p$	$\neg p$
T	F
F	T

# The Conjunction Operator

The binary *conjunction operator* “ $\wedge$ ” (*AND*) combines two propositions to form their logical *conjunction*.

*E.g.* If  $p$  = “I will have salad for lunch.” and  $q$  = “I will have steak for dinner.”, then  $p \wedge q$  = “I will have salad for lunch and I will have steak for dinner.”

- **Note that a conjunction  $p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n$  of  $n$  propositions will have  $2^n$  rows in its truth table.**

$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$
F	F	F
F	T	F
T	F	F
T	T	T

# The Disjunction Operator

The binary *disjunction operator* " $\vee$ " (*OR*) combines two propositions to form their logical *disjunction*.

$p$  = "My car has a bad engine."

$q$  = "My car has a bad carburetor."

$p \vee q$  = "Either my car has a bad engine, or my car has a bad carburetor."

- Note that  $p \vee q$  means that  $p$  is true, or  $q$  is true, **or both** are true!
- So, this operation is also called *inclusive or*, because it **includes** the possibility that both  $p$  and  $q$  are true.

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$
F	F	F
F	T	<b>T</b>
T	F	<b>T</b>
T	T	<b>T</b>

# Nested Propositional Expressions

---

- Use parentheses to *group sub-expressions*:  
“I just saw my old friend, and either he’s grown or I’ve shrunk.” =  $f \wedge (g \vee s)$   
 $(f \wedge g) \vee s$  would mean something different  
 $f \wedge g \vee s$  would be ambiguous
- By convention, “ $\neg$ ” takes *precedence* over both “ $\wedge$ ” and “ $\vee$ ”.

# Tautologies/Contradictions/Contingencies

---

A *tautology* is a compound proposition that is **true** *no matter what* the truth values of its atomic propositions are!

Ex.  $p \vee \neg p = 1$

A *contradiction* is a compound proposition that is **false** *no matter what* the truth values of its atomic propositions are!

Ex.  $p \wedge \neg p = 0$

All other propositions are *contingencies*:

Some rows give T, others give F.

# Logical Equivalence

Compound proposition  $p$  is *logically equivalent* to compound proposition  $q$ , written  $p \Leftrightarrow q$ , **IFF**  
 $p$  and  $q$  contain the same truth values  
in all rows of their truth tables

We will also say: they express the same truth function (= the same function  
**from** values for atoms **to** values for the whole formula).

*Ex.* Prove that  $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$ .

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$	$\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
F	F	F	T	T	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	T
T	T	T	F	F	F	T



# The *Exclusive Or* Operator

The binary *exclusive-or operator* " $\oplus$ " (*XOR*) combines two propositions to form their logical "exclusive or".

$p$  = "I will earn an A in this course,"

$q$  = "I will drop this course,"

$p \oplus q$  = "I will either earn an A in this course, or I will drop it (but not both!)"

- Note that  $p \oplus q$  means that  $p$  is true, or  $q$  is true, but **not both**!
- This operation is called *exclusive or*, because it **excludes** the possibility that both  $p$  and  $q$  are true.

$p$	$q$	$p \oplus q$
F	F	F
F	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	F

# The *Implication* Operator

The *implication*  $p \rightarrow q$  states that  $p$  implies  $q$ .

*i.e.*, if  $p$  is true, then  $q$  is true; but if  $p$  is not true, then  $q$  could be either true or false.

*e.g.*, let  $p$  = "You study hard."

$q$  = "You will get a good grade."

$p \rightarrow q$  = "If you study hard, then you will get a good grade."

- $p \rightarrow q$  is **false** only when ( $p$  is true but  $q$  is **not** true)
- $p \rightarrow q$  does **not** say that  $p$  causes  $q$ !
- $p \rightarrow q$  does **not** require that  $p$  or  $q$  are true!

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
F	F	T
F	T	T
T	F	<b>F</b>
T	T	T

# Implications between real sentences

---

- “If this lecture ever ends, then the sun has risen this morning.” *True or False?*
- “If Tuesday is a day of the week, then I am a penguin.” *True or False?*
- “If  $1+1=6$ , then Bush is president.” *True or False?*
- “If the moon is made of green cheese, then I am richer than Bill Gates.” *True or False?*

# Biconditional Truth Table

- $p \leftrightarrow q$  means that  $p$  and  $q$  have the **same** truth value.
- Note this truth table is the exact **opposite** of  $\oplus$ 's!  
Thus,  $p \leftrightarrow q$  means  $\neg(p \oplus q)$
- $p \leftrightarrow q$  does **not** imply that  $p$  and  $q$  are true, or that either of them causes the other.

$p$	$q$	$p \leftrightarrow q$
F	F	T
F	T	F
T	F	F
T	T	T

# Converse/Contrapositive

---

Some terminology, for an implication  $p \rightarrow q$ :

- Its *converse* is:  $q \rightarrow p$ .
- Its *contrapositive*:  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ .

# Logical Equivalences

---

- *Identity:*  $p \wedge \mathbf{T} \Leftrightarrow p$      $p \vee \mathbf{F} \Leftrightarrow p$
- *Domination:*  $p \vee \mathbf{T} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}$      $p \wedge \mathbf{F} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{F}$
- *Idempotence:*  $p \vee p \Leftrightarrow p$      $p \wedge p \Leftrightarrow p$
- *Double negation:*  $\neg\neg p \Leftrightarrow p$
- *Commutativity:*  $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p$      $p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p$
- *Associativity:*  $(p \vee q) \vee r \Leftrightarrow p \vee (q \vee r)$   
 $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \Leftrightarrow p \wedge (q \wedge r)$

# More Equivalence Laws

- *Distributive:*  $p \vee (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$   
 $p \wedge (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
- *De Morgan's Laws:*  
 $\neg(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q$   
 $\neg(p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \wedge \neg q$
- *Trivial tautology/contradiction:*  
 $p \vee \neg p \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}$        $p \wedge \neg p \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{F}$



Augustus  
De Morgan  
(1806-1871)

# Predicate Logic

- *Predicate logic* is an extension of propositional logic that permits quantification over classes of entities.
- Propositional logic (recall) treats *simple propositions* (sentences) as atomic entities.
- A 'predicate' is just a property
- Predicates define relationships between any number of entities using qualifiers:

$\forall$  "for all", "for every"

$\exists$  "there exists"

**Remember:**

$\forall x$  'for every  $x$ ', or 'for All  $x$ '

$\exists x$  'there is an  $x$ ' or 'there Exists an  $x$ '



# Applications of Predicate Logic

---

- It is one of the most-used formal notations for writing mathematical *definitions*, *axioms*, and *theorems*.
- For example, in *linear algebra*, a *partial order* is introduced saying that a relation  $R$  is *reflexive* and *transitive* – and these notions are defined using predicate logic.
- Basis for many Artificial Intelligence systems.
- Predicate-logic like statements are supported by some of the more sophisticated *database query engines*.

# Propositional/Predicate logic

---

- In propositional logic, we could not simply say whether a formula is TRUE; what we could say is whether it is TRUE with respect to a given assignment of TRUE/FALSE to the Atoms in the formula
- e.g.,  $p \rightarrow q$  is TRUE with respect to the assignment  $p = \text{TRUE}$ ,  $q = \text{TRUE}$
- In predicate logic, we say that a formula is TRUE (FALSE) with respect to a **model**.