# Advanced Statistics DS2003 (BDS-4A) Lecture 09

Instructor: Dr. Syed Mohammad Irteza
Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, FAST
15 March, 2022

### Previous Lecture

- Hypothesis Testing Framework
- Choosing a significance level
- Case of Type-1 and Type-2 errors being costly

# Inference for a Single Proportion (Continued)

Inference on a proportion

# CI vs. HT for proportions

#### Success-failure condition:

- CI: At least 10 observed successes and failures
- HT: At least 10 expected successes and failures, calculated using the null value

#### Standard error:

• CI: calculate using observed sample proportion:

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

HT: calculate using the null value:

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}$$

$$H_0: p = 0.80$$
  $H_A: p > 0.80$ 

$$H_0: p = 0.80$$
  $H_A: p > 0.80$   $SE = \sqrt{\frac{0.80 \times 0.20}{670}} = 0.0154$ 

$$H_0: p = 0.80$$
  $H_A: p > 0.80$   
 $SE = \sqrt{\frac{0.80 \times 0.20}{670}} = 0.0154$   
 $Z = \frac{0.85 - 0.80}{0.0154} = 3.25$ 

$$H_0: p = 0.80$$
  $H_A: p > 0.80$ 

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{0.80 \times 0.20}{670}} = 0.0154$$

$$Z = \frac{0.85 - 0.80}{0.0154} = 3.25$$

$$p - value = 1 - 0.9994 = 0.0006$$

The GSS found that 571 out of 670 (85%) of Americans answered the question on experimental design correctly. Do these data provide convincing evidence that more than 80% of Americans have a good intuition about experimental design?

$$H_0: p = 0.80$$
  $H_A: p > 0.80$ 

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{0.80 \times 0.20}{670}} = 0.0154$$

$$Z = \frac{0.85 - 0.80}{0.0154} = 3.25$$

$$p - value = 1 - 0.9994 = 0.0006$$

Since the p-value is low, we reject  $H_0$ . The data provide convincing evidence that more than 80% of Americans have a good intuition on experimental design.

# Difference of Two Proportions

### Melting ice cap

Scientists predict that global warming may have big effects on the polar regions within the next 100 years. One of the possible effects is that the northern ice cap may completely melt. Would this bother you a great deal, some, a little, or not at all if it actually happened?

- (a) A great deal
- (b) Some
- (c) A little
- (d) Not at all

### **Results from the GSS**

The GSS asks the same question, below are the distributions of responses from the 2010 GSS as well as from a group of introductory statistics students at Duke University:

	GSS	Duke
A great deal	454	69
Some	124	30
A little	52	4
Not at all	50	2
Total	680	105

GSS -> website: GSS General Social Survey | NORC

### Parameter and point estimate

• Parameter of interest: Difference between the proportions of all Duke students and all Americans who would be bothered a great deal by the northern ice cap completely melting.

$$p_{Duke} - p_{US}$$

### Parameter and point estimate

• Parameter of interest: Difference between the proportions of all Duke students and all Americans who would be bothered a great deal by the northern ice cap completely melting.

$$p_{Duke}$$
 -  $p_{US}$ 

Point estimate: Difference between the proportions of sampled
 Duke students and sampled Americans who would be bothered
 a great deal by the northern ice cap completely melting.

$$\hat{p}_{Duke}$$
 -  $\hat{p}_{US}$ 

• The details are the same as before...

- The details are the same as before...
- CI: point estimate ± margin of error

- The details are the same as before...
- CI: point estimate ± margin of error
- HT: Use *Z* = (point estimate null value) / SE to find appropriate p-value.

- The details are the same as before...
- CI: point estimate ± margin of error
- HT: Use *Z* = (point estimate null value) / SE to find appropriate p-value.
- We just need the appropriate standard error of the point estimate  $(SE_{\hat{p}_{Duke}-\hat{p}_{US}})_{V}$  which is the only new concept.

- The details are the same as before...
- CI: point estimate ± margin of error
- HT: Use *Z* = (point estimate null value) / SE to find appropriate p-value.
- We just need the appropriate standard error of the point estimate  $(SE_{\hat{p}_{Duke}-\hat{p}_{US}})_{V}$  which is the only new concept.

Standard error of the difference between two sample proportions

$$SE_{(\hat{p}_1-\hat{p}_2)} = \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}$$

- 1. Independence within groups:
  - The US group is sampled randomly and we're assuming that the Duke group represents a random sample as well.

#### 1. Independence within groups:

- The US group is sampled randomly and we're assuming that the Duke group represents a random sample as well.
- $n_{Duke}$  < 10% of all Duke students and 680 < 10% of all Americans.

#### 1. Independence within groups:

- The US group is sampled randomly and we're assuming that the Duke group represents a random sample as well.
- $n_{Duke}$  < 10% of all Duke students and 680 < 10% of all Americans.

We can assume that the attitudes of Duke students in the sample are independent of each other, and attitudes of US residents in the sample are independent of each other as well.

#### 1. Independence within groups:

- The US group is sampled randomly and we're assuming that the Duke group represents a random sample as well.
- $n_{Duke}$  < 10% of all Duke students and 680 < 10% of all Americans.

We can assume that the attitudes of Duke students in the sample are independent of each other, and attitudes of US residents in the sample are independent of each other as well.

#### 2. Independence between groups:

The sampled Duke students and the US residents are independent of each other.

#### 1. Independence within groups:

- The US group is sampled randomly and we're assuming that the Duke group represents a random sample as well.
- $n_{Duke}$  < 10% of all Duke students and 680 < 10% of all Americans.

We can assume that the attitudes of Duke students in the sample are independent of each other, and attitudes of US residents in the sample are independent of each other as well.

#### 2. Independence between groups:

The sampled Duke students and the US residents are independent of each other.

#### 3. Success-failure:

At least 10 observed successes and 10 observed failures in the two groups.

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
- p	0.657	0.668

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
- p	0.657	0.668

$$(\hat{p}_{Duke} - \hat{p}_{US}) \pm z^* \times \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_{Duke}(1 - \hat{p}_{Duke})}{n_{Duke}} + \frac{\hat{p}_{US}(1 - \hat{p}_{US})}{n_{US}}}$$

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
- p	0.657	0.668

$$(\hat{p}_{Duke} - \hat{p}_{US}) \pm z^* \times \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_{Duke}(1 - \hat{p}_{Duke})}{n_{Duke}} + \frac{\hat{p}_{US}(1 - \hat{p}_{US})}{n_{US}}}$$

$$= (0.657 - 0.668)$$

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
- p	0.657	0.668

$$(\hat{p}_{Duke} - \hat{p}_{US}) \pm z^* \times \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_{Duke}(1 - \hat{p}_{Duke})}{n_{Duke}} + \frac{\hat{p}_{US}(1 - \hat{p}_{US})}{n_{US}}}$$

$$= (0.657 - 0.668) \pm 1.96$$

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
-	0.657	0.668

$$(\hat{p}_{Duke} - \hat{p}_{US}) \pm z^* \times \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_{Duke}(1 - \hat{p}_{Duke})}{n_{Duke}} + \frac{\hat{p}_{US}(1 - \hat{p}_{US})}{n_{US}}}$$

$$= (0.657 - 0.668) \pm 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.657 \times 0.343}{105} + \frac{0.668 \times 0.332}{680}}$$

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
- p	0.657	0.668

$$(\hat{p}_{Duke} - \hat{p}_{US}) \pm z^* \times \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_{Duke}(1 - \hat{p}_{Duke})}{n_{Duke}} + \frac{\hat{p}_{US}(1 - \hat{p}_{US})}{n_{US}}}$$

$$= (0.657 - 0.668) \pm 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.657 \times 0.343}{105} + \frac{0.668 \times 0.332}{680}}$$

$$= -0.011 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0497$$

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
- p	0.657	0.668

$$(\hat{p}_{Duke} - \hat{p}_{US}) \pm z^* \times \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_{Duke}(1 - \hat{p}_{Duke})}{n_{Duke}}} + \frac{\hat{p}_{US}(1 - \hat{p}_{US})}{n_{US}}$$

$$= (0.657 - 0.668) \pm 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.657 \times 0.343}{105}} + \frac{0.668 \times 0.332}{680}$$

$$= -0.011 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0497$$

$$= -0.011 \pm 0.097$$

$$= (-0.108, 0.086)$$

Which of the following is the correct set of hypotheses for testing if the proportion of all Duke students who would be bothered a great deal by the melting of the northern ice cap differs from the proportion of all Americans who do?

- (a)  $H_0: p_{Duke} = p_{US}$  $H_A: p_{Duke} \neq p_{US}$
- (b)  $H_0: \hat{p}_{Duke} = \hat{p}_{US}$  $H_A: \hat{p}_{Duke} \neq \hat{p}_{US}$
- (c)  $H_0: p_{Duke} p_{US} = 0$  $H_A: p_{Duke} - p_{US} \neq 0$
- (d)  $H_0: p_{Duke} = p_{US}$  $H_A: p_{Duke} < p_{US}$

Which of the following is the correct set of hypotheses for testing if the proportion of all Duke students who would be bothered a great deal by the melting of the northern ice cap differs from the proportion of all Americans who do?

- (a)  $H_0: p_{Duke} = p_{US}$  $H_A: p_{Duke} \neq p_{US}$
- (b)  $H_0: \hat{p}_{Duke} = \hat{p}_{US}$  $H_A: \hat{p}_{Duke} \neq \hat{p}_{US}$
- (c)  $H_0: p_{Duke} p_{US} = 0$  $H_A: p_{Duke} - p_{US} \neq 0$
- (d)  $H_0: p_{Duke} = p_{US}$  $H_A: p_{Duke} < p_{US}$

Both (a) and (c) are correct.

# Flashback to working with one proportion

 When constructing a confidence interval for a population proportion, we check if the *observed* number of successes and failures are at least 10.

$$n\hat{p} \ge 10$$
  $n * (1 - \hat{p}) \ge 10$ 

 When conducting a hypothesis test for a population proportion, we check if the *expected* number of successes and failures are at least 10.

$$np_0 \ge 10$$
  $n * (1 - p_0) \ge 10$ 

## Pooled estimate of a proportion

• In the case of comparing two proportions where  $H_0$ :  $p_1 = p_2$ , there isn't a given null value we can use to calculated the *expected* number of successes and failures in each sample.

## Pooled estimate of a proportion

- In the case of comparing two proportions where  $H_0$ :  $p_1 = p_2$ , there isn't a given null value we can use to calculated the *expected* number of successes and failures in each sample.
- Therefore, we need to first find a common (*pooled*) proportion for the two groups, and use that in our analysis.

#### Pooled estimate of a proportion

- In the case of comparing two proportions where  $H_0$ :  $p_1 = p_2$ , there isn't a given null value we can use to calculated the *expected* number of successes and failures in each sample.
- Therefore, we need to first find a common (*pooled*) proportion for the two groups, and use that in our analysis.
- This simply means finding the proportion of total successes among the total number of observations.

#### Pooled estimate of a proportion

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\# \ of \ successes_1 + \# \ of \ successes_2}{n_1 + n_2}$$

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
$\widehat{p}$	0.657	0.668

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
$\hat{p}$	0.657	0.668

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\# of \ successes_1 + \# of \ successes_2}{n_1 + n_2}$$

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
$\widehat{p}$	0.657	0.668

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\# of \ successes_1 + \# of \ successes_2}{n_1 + n_2}$$

$$= \frac{69 + 454}{105 + 680}$$

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
- p	0.657	0.668

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\# of \ successes_1 + \# of \ successes_2}{n_1 + n_2}$$
$$= \frac{69 + 454}{105 + 680} = \frac{523}{785}$$

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
- p	0.657	0.668

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\# of \ successes_1 + \# of \ successes_2}{n_1 + n_2}$$
$$= \frac{69 + 454}{105 + 680} = \frac{523}{785} = 0.666$$

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
$\widehat{p}$	0.657	0.668

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
$\widehat{p}$	0.657	0.668

$$Z = \frac{(\hat{p}_{Duke} - \hat{p}_{US})}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{Duke}} + \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{US}}}}$$

Data	Duke	US
A great deal	69	454
Not a great deal	36	226
Total	105	680
-	0.657	0.668

$$Z = \frac{(\hat{p}_{Duke} - \hat{p}_{US})}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{Duke}} + \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{US}}}}$$
$$= \frac{(0.657 - 0.668)}{\sqrt{\frac{0.666 \times 0.334}{105} + \frac{0.666 \times 0.334}{680}}}$$

$$Z = \frac{(\hat{p}_{Duke} - \hat{p}_{US})}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{Duke}} + \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{US}}}}$$
$$= \frac{(0.657 - 0.668)}{\sqrt{\frac{0.666 \times 0.334}{105} + \frac{0.666 \times 0.334}{680}}} = \frac{-0.011}{0.0495}$$

$$Z = \frac{(\hat{p}_{Duke} - \hat{p}_{US})}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{Duke}} + \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{US}}}}$$

$$= \frac{(0.657 - 0.668)}{\sqrt{\frac{0.666 \times 0.334}{105} + \frac{0.666 \times 0.334}{680}}} = \frac{-0.011}{0.0495} = -0.22$$

$$Z = \frac{(\hat{p}_{Duke} - \hat{p}_{US})}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{Duke}} + \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n_{US}}}}$$

$$= \frac{(0.657 - 0.668)}{\sqrt{\frac{0.666 \times 0.334}{105} + \frac{0.666 \times 0.334}{680}}} = \frac{-0.011}{0.0495} = -0.22$$

$$p - value = 2 \times P(Z < -0.22) = 2 \times 0.41 = 0.82$$

#### Conclusion

- The p-value is 0.82
- We cannot reject  $H_0$ , therefore we say that we don't have enough evidence to reject the notion that  $p_{DUKE} = p_{US}$
- If the p-value would have been less than 0.05, we would have concluded that there is compelling evidence, or statistically significant results to indicate that we may reject H<sub>0</sub>

• Population parameter:  $(p_1 - p_2)$ , point estimate:  $(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2)$ 

- Population parameter:  $(p_1 p_2)$ , point estimate:  $(\hat{p}_1 \hat{p}_2)$
- Conditions:

- Population parameter:  $(p_1 p_2)$ , point estimate:  $(\hat{p}_1 \hat{p}_2)$
- Conditions:
  - independence within groups
    - random sample and 10% condition met for both groups
  - independence between groups
  - o at least 10 successes and failures in each group
    - if not → randomization (Section 6.4)

- Population parameter:  $(p_1 p_2)$ , point estimate:  $(\hat{p}_1 \hat{p}_2)$
- Conditions:
  - independence within groups
    - random sample and 10% condition met for both groups
  - independence between groups
  - at least 10 successes and failures in each group
    - if not → randomization (Section 6.4)

$$SE_{(\hat{p}_1-\hat{p}_2)} = \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}$$

- for CI: use  $\hat{p}_1$  and  $\hat{p}_2$
- for HT:
  - o when  $H_0$ :  $p_1 = p_2$ : use  $\hat{p}_{pool} = \frac{\# suc_1 + \# suc_2}{n_1 + n_2}$
  - when  $H_0$ :  $p_1 p_2$  = (some value other than 0): use  $\hat{p}_1$  and  $\hat{p}_2$ 
    - this is pretty rare

# Reference - standard error calculations

	one sample	two samples
mean	$SE = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$	$SE = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$
proportion	$SE = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$	$SE = \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}$

## Reference - standard error calculations

	one sample	two samples
mean	$SE = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$	$SE = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$
proportion	$SE = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$	$SE = \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}$

• When working with means, it's very rare that  $\sigma$  is known, so we usually use s.

## Reference - standard error calculations

	one sample	two samples
mean	$SE = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$	$SE = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$
proportion	$SE = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$	$SE = \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}$

- When working with means, it's very rare that  $\sigma$  is known, so we usually use s.
- When working with proportions,
  - o if doing a hypothesis test, p comes from the null hypothesis
  - $\circ$  if constructing a confidence interval, use  $\hat{p}$  instead

#### Sources

• openintro.org/os (Chapter 6)

- Recommended Viewing:
  - An Introduction to Inference for Two Proportions YouTube