

BAYERO UNIVERSITY, KANO
FIRST SEMESTER 2020/2021 SESSION
GSP 2205 PHILOSOPHY AND LOGIC
MODULE 5.

- ▶ LOGICAL TERMS
- ▶ LAWS OF THOUGHT
- ▶ NATURE AND FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF LOGIC

BY
ABDULHADI MOHAMMED HAMZA



WHAT IS LOGIC

- ▶ Logic is a non-empirical science of reasoning.
- ▶ As a science or study of careful reasoning by formal method (Longman).
- ▶ As a science of pure reasoning a way of reasoning or arguing (Webster).
- ▶ As a field of study dealing with criteria for the evaluation of an argument (Encyclopedia).
- ▶ As a science or art of making a distinction between straight and crooked reasoning (Irving Copi 1982).
- ▶ Is the study of the principles and methods used in distinguishing valid argument from those that are invalid.



WHAT IS A PROPOSITION

- ▶ Proposition is a declarative sentence which is either true or false but not both.
- ▶ Logical statement are propositional statement.
- ▶ Simple proposition

Ex 1 Kano is in Nigeria.

Ex 2 Jigawa is a local government in Kano.

Ex 3 $2 + 2 = 5$

Ex 4 The sky is rich.

Ex 5 How are you Ali?

Ex 6 Come to our party!

Ex 7 BUK is in Nigeria.

Clearly Ex 1, 7 are True, and Ex 2, 3 are False while Ex 4, 5, 6 are **not** logical statement.

- ▶ Compound proposition : is a proposition constructed or formed by combining two or more simple proposition by using some logical terms.



WHAT ARE LOGICAL TERMS

- ▶ The basic logical terms are
 1. Negation (Not , \neg or \sim).
 2. Disjunction (Or, \vee).
 3. Conjunction (And, \wedge).
 4. Conditional (Implies, \rightarrow).
 5. Bi- Conditional (If and only if, \leftrightarrow).
- ▶ All Logical terms are **Syncategorematic terms**.



DEFINITIONS

► **NEGATION**

Negation of P is symbolically represented as $\sim P$ and defined as

- ❖ If P is true, then $\sim P$ is false; If P is false, then $\sim P$ is true.
- ❖ The truth value of the negation statement is always the opposite of the original truth value statement.

► **DISJUNCTION**

P OR Q is symbolically represented as $P \vee Q$ and defined as

- ❖ If P is true or Q is true or both P and Q are true, then $P \vee Q$ is true otherwise $P \vee Q$ is false.
- ❖ The disjunction of two statement is false only if each component is false.

► **CONJUNCTION**

P AND Q is symbolically represented as $P \wedge Q$ and defined as

- ❖ If P is true AND Q is true, then $P \wedge Q$ is true otherwise $P \wedge Q$ is false.
- ❖ The conjunction of two statement is true only if each component is true.



DEFINITION CONT`

► **CONDITIONAL**

Any statement of the form “If P then Q” or “P implies Q”.

Conditional statement are symbolically represented as $P \rightarrow Q$

- ❖ The Conditional $P \rightarrow Q$ is true unless P is true and Q is false.
- ❖ The word $P \rightarrow Q$ state that a true statement can not imply a false statement.

► **Forms of conditional statements**

- ❖ Converse ($Q \rightarrow P$)
- ❖ Inverse ($\sim P \rightarrow \sim Q$)
- ❖ Contrapositive ($\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P$)



DEFINITION CONT`

► BI-CONDITIONAL

Any statement of the form “P if and only if Q” or “P iff Q”.

Bi-conditional statement are symbolically represented as $P \leftrightarrow Q$

- ❖ If P and Q have the same truth values, then $P \leftrightarrow Q$ is true. If P and Q have opposite truth values, then $P \leftrightarrow Q$ is false.
- ❖ The biconditional statement can also be defined $(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P)$.

► TAUTOLOGY, CONTRADICTION AND CONTINGENCY

- ❑ A TAUTOLOGY is a compound statement that is always **True (T)** no matter what the truth value of the proposition that occur in it.
- ❑ A CONTRADICTION is a compound statement that is always **False (F)** no matter what the truth value of the proposition that occur in it.
- ❑ A CONTINGENCY is a proposition which is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.



LAWS OF THOUGHT

- ▶ Laws are considered as the rule that guide everyone`s thinking thought, expression and decision.
- ▶ Aristotle gives necessary conditions for thought.
- ❖ **The law of identity** The law states that, A is A. In other words, everything is the same as itself. For example, human is human. Further, $3-2=1$, if what the number 3 represents have the same identity with what the number 2 represents.
- ❖ **The law of non-contradiction** The law states that nothing can both be and not be at the same time and in the same sense. For example, the statements: “Ali is Nigerian” and “Ali is not Nigerian” cannot both be true in the same context.
- ❖ **The law of excluded middle** The law states that every statement is either true or false. In-fact this law is the principle for every proposition.



NATURE AND FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF LOGIC

- ▶ Is the study of the principles and methods used in distinguishing valid argument from those that are invalid.
- ▶ Argument is simply defined as the collection of statements and a conclusion.
- ▶ The statements are called premises,
- ▶ where the premises and the conclusion are separated by either phrase or mark.

For example:

If you study hard, then you will pass GSP2205 (premise) You study hard (premise) So, you pass GSP2205 (conclusion)

- ▶ Some words that identified conclusion are therefore, hence, so and in conclusion etc



FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF LOGIC

- ▶ Since not all arguments are valid, we need to identify the principles that will ensure the validity of an argument.
- ▶ For an argument to be valid,
- ▶ it must satisfy the laws of thought and in addition, an argument needs to satisfy the following fundamental principles of logic:
 - ❖ No argument with all true premises but has a false conclusion is valid.
 - ❖ If an argument has all true premises but has a false conclusion, then it is invalid.
 - ❖ If an argument is valid, then every argument with the same form is also valid.
 - ❖ If an argument is invalid, then every argument with the same form is also invalid.



NATURE OF AN ARGUMENT

- ▶ The nature of every argument is either valid or invalid.
- ▶ It is possible for a valid argument to have:
 - ❖ All true premises and a true conclusion
 - ❖ Some false premises and true conclusion
 - ❖ All false premises and a true conclusion
 - ❖ All false premises and a false conclusion.

Note: that it is not possible for a valid argument to have all true premises and a false conclusion.



Thanks

► **For listening.**

