Algorithm Project: Documentation & Report Writing

§1.0 Introduction

- Final element of software development strategy was
 - Presentation including relevant report
 - o Remember reports are a *vital* part of whole process of software development!
- Reports matter
 - o in university, for assessment
 - o in research and development, for dissemination of ideas
 - o in systems development
 - for your boss to convince their boss of your worth
 - to lower subsequent maintenance costs
 - Reports should be shorter rather than longer, but the shorter they are (if complete!) the longer they take to write
 - o e.g. 3 weeks for 50 pages of final honours report!
- Specific tip for report writing
 - keep systematic note of all design decisions, however trivial
 (later, this will in any case be a required part of professional practice)
 - o subsequently, delete those decisions still obvious
 - o rewrite the others in a suitable, cursive style

§1.1 Presentation Style

- As with programs, read all the good examples you can find
- University reports are hard to find, so try (for style as well as content)
 - o Journal of the A.C.M
 - ([American] Association for Computing Machinery)
 - IEEE Software
 - ([American] Institution of Electronic & Electrical Engineers)
- Literary Style?
 - o anything you can write succinctly
 - need not now be written in third person, past tense, passive voice
 "the program was written ... "
- But your reports all reports must
 - o be typed
 - o be grammatical and have correct spelling

- No educated person will have any sympathy with the following, all-too-common errors
 - misused apostrophes they should be used
 - with nouns, to show possession
 - more generally, for ellipsis
 - misuse of "its" and "it's" or of the variations of "they're", "their", "there"

```
its
         of it
         it is or it was
it's
they're they are or they were
their
         of them
```

- place, or, well, as in 'there is....' (whose and who's are respectively just like "its" and "it's")
- non-matching number of subject and verb
- Spelling checkers are a boon, but are from from infallible ...
- Moral? Read and correct your text again, some hours after you'd finished it!

§1.2 Report Overview

Part 1 - short!

Introduction

... what you're going to describe

there

Part 2 - the majority!

The description itself

Part 3 - short!

Summary

... of what you've described

§1.3 The Report Itself

- You will need chapters, and properly headed sections
- For this class, but not in general, some middle chapters can be combined
- Note that almost all your detailed work is consigned to appendices!
- Remember
 - particular projects may force a slightly different structure on you
 - as with programming style, you may have to apply a house style

• Format for Computer Science (and, indeed, most) reports -

Introductory Material §1.3.1 1. Problem Definition §1.3.2 Chapter Related Work 3. Outline Solution §1.3.3 4. Detailed Design 5. Testing & Validation §1.3.4 6. Future Development §1.3.5 7. Conclusion - Solution Summary Appendix I. References §1.3.6 II. Test Material III. User Manual IV. Relevant Code

• For this class, any sensible, cheap binding will suffice - perhaps the plastic covered two prong files sold by the Students' Association?

§1.3.1 Introductory Material

Title Page

Here (other classes or projects may differ somewhat in detail)

- Class Number and Name
- o Project Name
- o Your Name, Registration Number and Degree Course
- Date of submission
- o A signed statement that it's all your work unless marked to the contrary
- Abstract

Typically, 200-300 words

Perhaps something like:

"This report outlines the design and development of a computer software system to ... The program was written in C⁺⁺ to run under the Unix operating system on ... The design and ensuing program are modular in nature and make maximum use of abstract data types and of software re-use. Particular attention is paid to ... The report includes a full user manual, as well as the whole of the code that was written."

Not very good (excepts perhaps for this class!); you will do better - but only with practice. But *think* about it carefully, to understand the difference between an abstract and the descriptive material which forms the introductory chapters of the text.

And, yes, the reference to C⁺⁺ rather than Java is deliberate; otherwise the bit about the operating system would get lost, wouldn't it?

- Contents Page
 - self explanatory!

§1.3.2 Problem Definition & Related Work

- The Problem
 - as defined in the real world
 - working through to the specifications you were given and/or developed; perhaps these should form an appendix?
- It may be appropriate to mention languages and target machines (if they were laid down), but normally that will go into the chapter giving the outline solution.
- Related Work is probably irrelevant for this class
 - but in future it will give you the chance to show, through references and a brief description, where you got your ideas. Remember the earlier comment on plagiarism?

§1.3.3 Outline Solution & Detailed Design

- Outline Solution
 - is an overview
 - may develop particular points of importance or of difficulty
 - covers general techniques, for example use of defensive programming
- Detailed Design is more awkward
 - there are two schools of thought
 - o explain everything slightly generally
 - o skip the easy bits, but explain some parts right down to code level
 - you pays your money and ...!
- What are the roles of structure charts, DFD's [Data Flow Diagrams] and the like?

§1.3.4 Testing & Validation

- Explain how you know that your program works properly
 - Full validation is a lengthy business, concerned with verification, and testing, and with assessment of the software's user and maintenance profiles
 - o In the context of this class, you will be concerned with testing
 - And, in this chapter, with explaining the <u>testing strategies</u> you followed and the sorts of data you used

- Remember that efficient testing will almost certainly require a variety of approaches,
 each one chosen for its appropriateness for different sections of the code
- Note that your detailed test results a selection of the various test cases you applied normally belong in an appendix
 - o Even in the appendix, probably only some of the test cases will be included
 - o Be sure that you annotate your test runs to explain clearly what each test is doing!
- If something doesn't work properly, be honest about it
 - o To do otherwise is simply evidence of poor testing ... or maybe of dishonesty
 - Use the next chapter to outline how, given the opportunity, you might have cured the problem

§1.3.5 Future Development & Conclusion

- Future Development
 - the chance to cover the bits you would like to do differently?
 - the bits you didn't get right?
 - genuine possibilities for extension?
- Seldom if ever should you extend the original specification to a slightly different problem!
- Conclusion
 - "Iacta alea est"... Suetonius, on Julius Caesar

"The die is cast" - reportedly said two thousand years ago when Caesar the gambler crossed the River Rubicon to invade Rome and seize power.

- or, more prosaically,

"This report has described the successful design and development of ... "

See, here meaning that you should more or less repeat! the text of the <u>abstract</u>.

§1.3.6 Appendices

- References
 - o should be referenced from text!
 - Preferably using one of the two standard systems (by dereferencing consecutively numbered footnotes, or - more common in Computer Science - by an alphabertic list which dereferences author-date pairs in the text)
 - o a bibliography is different, although in your reference appendix it may be appropriate to say (for example), "Extensive use was also made of the ADT material in ..."
 - Do ensure you understand the difference between "bibliography" and "list of references"!

- Test Output
 - already dealt with, in §1.3.4.
- User Manual
 - o include locations of relevant program files!
 - o assume a competent machine user ... but do tell them how to run the program, as well as where to find it!
 - o give illustrations of possible inputs and corresponding outputs
 - o cover all possible program responses
 - ... although of course this principle becomes unachievable for bigger programs
- Code
 - should you include it all?(Answer for this class : yes!)
 - o it will, of course, be self-documenting, so that's no problem!
 - it may be preferable to photo-reduce it, if you can afford to access appropriate equipment
 - o remember that in the report your code is merely an appendix, even though the report could not have been written without it!

§1.4 Concluding Comments on Reports

- Yes, I know you will have covered this before
 - for Highers projects
 - or in an HND
 - or in employment
 - or ...
- But good communication, both oral and written, is vital.
 - Without good communication, your talents as a computer scientist or as a person are wholly squandered.

The report should contain the following sections:

• Specification

Describe the task at hand. Make sure to distinguish between the given problem and your own additional goals (if any). Possibly refer to the project description. Be very brief and concise.

• Design

Describe the algorithm(s) that was(were) designed. Avoid describing
how the design was produced, rather, show different versions of the
design if relevant. Use pseudo code to avoid ambiguity.

• Implementation

- Show the implementation. The goal of this section is to show and explain the most important parts of the code. Listing the code with highlighting and possibly line numbering is essential. Explain the code by referring to line numbers, function calls and variable names. Leave out trivial parts (initialization, parameter-tuning, etc...).

• Testing

- Show tests of final code version. Tests include correct input, incorrect input, special cases and stress tests. Method of testing will vary with the problem at hand. This section is **very important**. Display the tests with this format:
 - * Input
 - * Expected output
 - * Actual output
 - * Comments

• Conclusion

 Describe to which degree the product meets the requirements of the project. If relevant, describe how the performance of the product compares to your expectations (or to state of the art).

• Appendices

- Full source code listing
- Extensive test output (if relevant)
- Make scripts, auxilliary scripts, etc... (if relevant)

Language

A scientific report should be written in a scientific language. English is preferable. Passive form is also preferable.

- Passive form (better): The program was written with performance in mind
- Active form (worse): I wrote the program with performance in mind.

Passive form prevents reports with list-like language. Example: "Then I did this...then I did that...Then I wanted to....".

In general, the process is not as relevant as the product. Explain and motivate the design, try to avoid explaining things that didn't work or didn't make it to the final product. It can be beneficial to show different versions of the design, especially when they have different strong points.

Humor is not forbidden, but should be limited to places where it does not add unnecessary text or confuses the reader.

Figures

A rule of thumb: **More figures, less text!**. Figures are extremely helpful in preventing ambiguity and keeping the report *concise and precise*. Keep figures relatively close to their references.

Programming

It can be beneficial to simplify the code and to revisit the program design in order to make code presentation easier. Furthermore, this will add to your understanding of the problem. Understanding your solution better will aid you in describing the implementation in a clear and concise language.