Lesson 1, week 12, class 23

Juan A Fdez del Pozo (CIG-UPM)

December 2, 2015

Contens

Introduction

Outline of Contens

Introduction

Decision Analysis

Decision Analysis → Decision Support Systems

- Complex problems: uncertainty, risk, (multi)objectives, dependencies among variables, preferences over outcomes, ...
- The Models are a tool for problem representation, (computational) evaluation and (deep) understanding to think on the problem.
- Prescriptive approach: Descriptive + Normative.
- Better decisions: coherent and rational process. Not guess the future or improve our luck.

Inmanuel Kant

"All our knowledge begins from sense (Observation), passes understanding (description and modeling) and ends in reason (inference)"

Decision Analysis

Decision Analysis Cycle

Dialogue between analist and decision maker (DM)

- 1 Problem Identification
- 2 Tree of Goals (Static or Dynamic)
- 3 Decision Alternative set
- 4 Decision Model
 - Structure
 - ii Uncertatinty
 - iii Preferences
- 5 Optimal Alternative Evaluation
- 6 Sensitivity Analysis
- 7 Validation No {goto 1,2,3 or 4} / Yes {next}
- 8 Optimal Alternative Implementation

A. Einstein

"Everything should be made as simple as possible, but not one bit simpler" 💂

Uncertainty → Probability (Frequentist and Subjective)

Frequentist Probability

Experiments: repetive framework, Assesing using data

Subjective Probability

Bets: degree of belief, personal judgment, Assesing by experts (Cognitive heuristics \rightarrow biased assignments)

Bayes Theorem \rightarrow Belief Revision (Learning)

		present	absent
• Diagnostic test $(+/-)$ over a process or outcome	+	TP	FP
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	FN	TN

- Sensitivity (TPR = P(+|present) = TP/(TP + FN)) and Specificity (TNR = P(-|absent) = TN/(TN + FP)); FNR = 1 TPR, FPR = 1 TNR
- Bayes: posterior probability, $P(present|+) = \frac{P(+|present)P(present)}{P(+|present)P(present)+P(+|absent)P(absent)}$

Preferences → Utility

Utility

DM should balance preferences over consequences and uncertainty over outcomes. Our utility model is for discrete variables and it is a table over the attibutes

- Utility Theory: Axioms (A1-A7), Properties (scale, positive affine transformations, $u(x_1) = 1, u(x_n) = 0$)
- X, $x_i \succsim x_j \Leftrightarrow u(x_i) \ge u(x_j) \forall x_i, x_j \in X$
- Under uncertainty the decision maker must choice between lotteries for each alternative and the consecuences (prizes)
- Assesing utitly function, compare sure prizes $<1, x_s>$ and reference lottery $< p, x_1; 1-p, x_n>$, Methods:
 - certainty equivalence: search for x_s
 - probability equivalence: search for p
- Assesing multi-attribute Utility

A. Machado

"Every fool confuses value with price"

Probabilistic graphical models: Graph theory + Probability Theory + Decision Theory

Probabilistic graphical models are an elegant framework which combines uncertainty (probabilities) and logical structure (independence constraints) to compactly represent complex, real-world phenomena. The framework is quite general in that many of the commonly proposed statistical models (Kalman filters, hidden Markov models, Ising models) can be described as graphical models. Graphical models have enjoyed a surge of interest in the last two decades, due both to the flexibility and power of the representation and to the increased ability to effectively learn and perform inference in large networks. (Daphne Koller, Nir Friedman, Lise Getoor and Ben Taskar)

- Decision Tables (Decsion, one)
- Decision Trees (Decsion, sequential)
- Bayesian Networks (Diagnosis, aposteriory)
- ullet Influence Diagrams (Decsion, general, ID = BN + Decisions + Utility)

Graphical Models for Decision Making

•

References and Software Tools

Decison Making

- French, S., Maule, J., Papamichail, N. (2009) Decision Behavior, Analysis and Support, Cambridge U.P.
- Clemen, R.T. (1996) Making Hard Decisions, Duxbury Press
- J. Pearl. Probabilistic Reasoning in Intelligent Systems. Morgan Kaufmann, San Mateo, CA, 1988.
- Report MSR-TR-95-06, Microsoft Research, Seattle, WA, 1996. [5] F. V. Jensen. Bayesian Networks and Decision Graphs. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

References and software tools

Graphical models

- Howard, R.A., Matheson, J.E. (2005) Influence Diagrams, Decision Analysis, 2, 3, 127-143
- Bielza, C., Gómez, M., Shenoy, P.P. (2011) A Review of Representation Issues and Modelling Challenges with Influence Diagrams, Omega, 39, 227-241
- Bielza, C., Gómez, M., Shenoy, P.P. (2010) Modelling Challenges with Influence Diagrams: Constructing Probability and Utility Models, Decision Support Systems, 49, 354-364
- R.D. Shachter, Evaluating influence diagrams, Op. Res., 34 (1986), pp. 871–882
- M. Henrion, Propagation of uncertainty by probabilistic logic sampling in Bayes' networks, J. Lemmer, L.N. Kanal (Eds.), Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 2, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1988) Vol. 2

References and software tools

Software Tools

 R Core Team (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/.

Packages:

bnlearn, deal, pcalg, catnet, mugnet, bayesclass \rightarrow learning gRbase, gRain \rightarrow inference

- GeNie
- Bayesia
- Netica
- Hugin
- ...

Outline

- Introduction
- Decision tables and decision trees.
- Beyesian networks
- Influence diagrams
- Practice: problems + models + evaluation + analysis
- Qualification: deliver solution of practical exercises

¿Remarks and Questions?

IDA 2015 12/01/2015, CIG